

# Lecture 13

TTIC 41000: Algorithms for Massive Data  
Toyota Technological Institute at Chicago  
Spring 2021

Instructor: Sepideh Mahabadi

# This Lecture

- Testing properties of distributions

# Sublinear Time Algorithms

- The input is so huge that even reading all of it is not feasible
- Solve the problem accessing a *small* portion of the input
  - Need to specify the access model: what queries can be asked?
    - Random Access
      - E.g., For an array, given  $i$ , return the  $i$ th entry of a matrix, i.e.,  $A[i]$
      - For a graph, query the adjacency graph: given  $u, v$ , return  $A[u][v]$ , i.e., does there exist an edge between  $u$  and  $v$
      - Adjacency List: given  $u, i$ , return the  $i$ th neighbor of the vertex  $u$  (or Null if  $\deg(u) < i$ )
    - **Sample**
      - **Algorithm receives a random sample from a specific distribution**
  - Parameters of interest
    - Number of queries asked
    - Actual runtime (could be sublinear, polynomial, or even exponential)

# Model

- There is an unknown distribution  $p$  over a domain of size  $[n]$ 
  - We can receive iid samples from  $p$
  - Let  $p_i$  be the probability of outputting  $i$
- Interested to know if  $p$  has a property or far from having the property
  - E.g. being uniform
  - Being close to another distribution  $q$
  - Monotonicity, Unimodal,  $k$ -modal,  $k$ -flat, ...
- Need to specify the distance measure, i.e.,  $L_1$  or  $L_2$ , or KL-divergence, ...
- Sublinear number of samples in  $n$ ?

# Testing Uniformity

Is a lottery fair?

# Problem Definition

- There is an unknown distribution  $p$  over a domain of size  $[n]$ 
  - We can receive iid samples from  $p$
  - Let  $p_i$  be the probability of outputting  $i$
- Goal:
  - pass uniform distribution
  - Fail distributions that are  $\epsilon$ -far from uniform
    - $L_1$  distance:  $\|p - U\|_1 = \sum_i |p_i - \frac{1}{n}| > \epsilon$
    - $L_2$  distance:  $\|p - U\|_2^2 = \sum_i \left(p_i - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 > \epsilon^2$
- Sample complexity in terms of  $n$  and  $\epsilon$ ?

# Naïve approach

- Take  $m$  samples
  - Compute the empirical distribution  $p'$ , i.e.,  $p'_i = (\text{\#times } i \text{ appears})/m$
  - If  $\|p' - U\|_1 > \epsilon$  fail
  - Otherwise pass
- 
- Problem: need  $\Omega(n)$  samples for this to work using Chernoff

# Estimation in $L_2$ distance using Collision probability

- What is the probability of collision for two samples?
  - $\Pr_{s,t \in p} [s = t] = \sum_{a \in [n]} p(a)^2 = \|p\|_2^2$
- What is the collision probability of  $U$ ?
  - $1/n$
- Algorithm: approximate collision probability and compare to  $1/n$ 
  - $\|p - U\|_2^2 = \sum_{a \in [n]} \left(p(a) - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2 = \sum_{a \in [n]} p(a)^2 - (2/n) \sum_a p(a) + \sum_a \frac{1}{n^2}$
  - $= \sum_a p(a)^2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{n} = \|p\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{n}$
- Sufficient to get an additive  $\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}$  error for  $L_2^2$ 
  - If  $p = U$ , then  $\|p\|_2^2$  is  $1/n$
  - If  $\|p - U\|_2 > \epsilon$  then  $\|p\|_2^2 > \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon^2$
  - So let the **threshold** for deciding be  $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}$



# How many samples? How to use samples?

- Naïve idea: Take  $2s$  samples and count the number of collisions between every consecutive pair.
  - The pairs are independent
- More efficiently: take  $s$  samples and compare the collision between “all” pairs
  - Have some dependence now
  - Use variance to bound accuracy

# Algorithm

- Take  $s$  samples  $X_1, \dots, X_s$
- For  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$ , let  $\sigma_{i,j}$  be 1 if  $X_i = X_j$  and 0 otherwise
- Output  $A = \frac{\sum_{i < j} \sigma_{i,j}}{\binom{s}{2}}$

Need to show

- It works in expectation
- It works with good probability

# Analyzing the expectation

- $\mathbb{E}[A] = \frac{\binom{s}{2} \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{i,j}]}{\binom{s}{2}} = \Pr[\sigma_{i,j} = 1] = \|p\|_2^2$
- Chebyshev  $\Pr[|A - \mathbb{E}[A]| > \rho] \leq \text{Var}[A]/\rho^2$
- For additive approximation set  $\rho = \epsilon$
- For multiplicative approximation set  $\rho = \epsilon \|p\|_2^2$
- Bound  $\text{Var}[A]$  and show that  $\frac{\text{Var}[A]}{\epsilon^2 \|p\|_2^4} \ll 1$  if  $s = \Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\epsilon^2}\right)$
- Better bound is possible if we have a bound on the max prob of any element

# Bounding the variance

Lemma:  $Var[\sum_{i,j} \sigma_{i,j}] \leq 2 \left( \binom{s}{2} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$

- $\bar{\sigma}_{i,j} = \sigma_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[\sigma_{i,j}]$
- $Var[\sum_{i,j} \sigma_{i,j}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{i,j} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j} \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i < j} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 + \sum_{i < j, k < l} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j} \bar{\sigma}_{k,l} + \sum_{i < j, i < l} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j} \bar{\sigma}_{i,l} + \sum_{i < j, k < j} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j} \bar{\sigma}_{k,j} \right]$
- $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i < j} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j}^2 \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i < j} \sigma_{i,j}^2 \right] = \binom{s}{2} \cdot \|p\|_2^2$
- $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i < j, k < l} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j} \bar{\sigma}_{k,l} \right] = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \mathbb{E}[\bar{\sigma}_{i,j}] \mathbb{E}[\bar{\sigma}_{k,l}] = 0$  by independence of samples.
- $\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i < j, i < l} \bar{\sigma}_{i,j} \bar{\sigma}_{i,l} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{i,j,l} \sigma_{i,j} \sigma_{i,l} \right] \leq \binom{s}{3} \sum_x p(x)^3 \leq \frac{s^3}{6} \|p\|_3^3 \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( \binom{s}{2} \|p\|_2^2 \right)^{3/2}$
- $Var[\sum_{i,j} \sigma_{i,j}] \leq \binom{s}{2} \cdot \|p\|_2^2 + 0 + \sqrt{3} \left( \binom{s}{2} \|p\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq 2 \left( \binom{s}{2} \|p\|_2^2 \right)^{3/2}$
- $\frac{Var[A]}{\epsilon^2 \|p\|_2^4} \leq \frac{2 \left( \binom{s}{2} \|p\|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{s}{2}}}{\epsilon^2 \|p\|_2^4} \leq 2 \binom{s}{2}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|p\|_2^{-1} \epsilon^{-2} \leq 1/3$  if  $s = \Omega\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\epsilon^2}\right)$

Overview of other properties

# Closeness of two distributions

- Algorithm knows  $q$  and wants to realize if  $p$  and  $q$  are close or far.
- Reduction to uniformity testing
  - Relabel the domain so that  $q$  is monotone (we know  $q$ ) so this can be done
  - Partition the domain into  $O(\log n)$  parts, so that each group is almost flat
    - Differ by  $(1 + \epsilon)$  multiplicative
    - $q$  is close to uniform in each part
  - Test
    - $p$  is close to uniform in each part
    - $p$  has the right weight in each bucket

# Bucketing

- $R_0 = \left\{ j: q(j) < \frac{1}{n \log n} \right\}$ 
  - Total probability of them is only  $1/\log n$  which is less than  $\epsilon$
- $R_i = \left\{ j: \frac{(1+\epsilon)^{i-1}}{n \log n} \leq q(j) < \frac{(1+\epsilon)^i}{n \log n} \right\}$ 
  - All probabilities are within a  $(1 + \epsilon)$  factor of each other
  - Total number of buckets is only  $\frac{\log n}{\epsilon}$
- Let  $Z$  be the following distribution
  - Pick bucket  $i$  with probability  $\sum_{j \in R_i} q(j)$
  - Pick an element uniformly at random from bucket  $i$
- We show that  $Z$  and  $q$  are close

# Single bucket

- Let
  - $q_i$  be  $q$  conditioned on  $i$ -th bucket
  - $U_i$  be uniform on the bucket
  - $\ell$  the number of elements in the bucket
- Lemma:  $q_i$  and  $U_i$  are  $\epsilon$ -close under  $L_1$  distance and  $\epsilon^2/\ell$ -close over  $L_2^2$  distance
  - Let  $x_1, \dots, x_\ell$  be the conditional probabilities
  - Clearly,  $x_1 \leq \frac{1}{\ell} \leq x_\ell$  and so  $x_\ell \leq (1 + \epsilon)x_1 \leq (1 + \epsilon)/\ell$  and  $x_1 \geq \frac{1}{\ell(1+\epsilon)} \geq \frac{1-\epsilon}{\ell}$
  - So  $\left|x_j - \frac{1}{\ell}\right| \leq \epsilon/\ell$  and thus the  $L_1$  distance is at most  $\epsilon$  and the  $L_2^2$  is at most  $\frac{\epsilon^2}{\ell}$
  - So  $\|q_i\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon^2)/\ell$



# Single bucket algorithm

- Algorithm: Estimate  $\|p_i\|_2^2$  and fail if  $> \frac{1+\epsilon^2}{|R_i|}$
- Lemma: if  $\|p_i\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon^2)/|R_i|$  then  $\|q_i - p_i\|_1 \leq 2\epsilon$ 
  - Both  $q_i$  and  $p_i$  are close to uniform
  - Use triangle inequality

# Overall algorithm

- Bucket  $q$
- Calculate total weight of  $q$  in each bucket
- Estimate total weight  $p$  assigns to each bucket ( $O(\log n)$  samples)
- If  $L_1$  distance between bucket weights is more than  $\epsilon$ , reject
- For each bucket with weight more than  $\epsilon/2k$  where  $k$  is the number of buckets
  - Estimate collision probability  $p_i$  (need  $O(\frac{\sqrt{nk} \log n}{\epsilon^2})$  samples of  $p$ )
  - Fail if the estimate is bigger than  $(1 + \epsilon^2)/|R_i|$

# Correctness

- One way is clear
- If  $p$  and  $q$  pass the test
  - Total weight of skipped buckets is at most  $\epsilon$
  - $p_i$  is  $\epsilon$ -close to  $q_i$  in each bucket
  - Bucket weight of  $p$  and  $q$  are  $\epsilon$ -close
- Overall they will be  $O(\epsilon)$  – close
- Testing identity can be reduced to  $O(\log n)$  uniformity testing

# Other properties

- ❑ Testing closeness: both  $q$  and  $p$  are unknown and we can get samples from them, requires  $\Theta\left(n^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$ 
  - Two phase approach:
    - Sample to detect heavy elements of both
    - Estimate distance of heavy elements and light elements separately
- ❑ Approximating distance between two distributions (if  $\|p - q\|_1 < \epsilon$  or  $\Omega(1)$ ) requires nearly linear samples)
  - Estimating  $\|p - q\|_1$  requires  $\Theta\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$  samples.
- ❑ Testing independence where we receive samples from the joint distribution over  $[n] \times [m]$ , the goal is to check if the marginal are independent
  - Can be done in  $\tilde{O}\left(n^{\frac{2}{3}}m^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$  assuming  $n > m$
- ❑ ...