Online Appendix: Who Gets a Swiss Passport? A Natural Experiment in Immigrant Discrimination

Jens Hainmueller – Massachusetts Institute of Technology Dominik Hangartner – London School of Economics & University of Zurich

September 2012

Abstract

This online appendix provides additional information referenced in the main paper.

Jens Hainmueller, Associate Professor, Department of Political Science, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139. E-mail: jhainm@mit.edu. Dominik Hangartner, Lecturer, Department of Methodology, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, and Institute of Political Science, Affolternstrasse 56, 8050 Zurich. E-mail: d.hangartner@lse.ac.uk.

Appendix A: Descriptive Statistics

Applicant Characteristics	Mean	SD
Year: 80's (0/1)	0.21	
Year: 90's $(0/1)$	0.35	
Year: 00's (0/1)	0.36	
$\overline{\text{Male }}(\overline{0/1})$	0.68	
Married $(0/1)$	0.55	
Kids $(0/1)$	0.44	
Age: 21-40 Years $(0/1)$	0.44	
Age: 41-60 Years $(0/1)$	0.31	
Age: $60 + \text{Years}(0/1)$	0.04	
Attractive $(0/1)$	0.53	
Applications $(\#)$	1.13	0.41
Born in CH $(0/1)$	0.23	
Years since Arrival $(\#/10)$	1.92	0.81
Refugee $(0/1)$	0.16	
Education: Middle $(0/1)$	-0.55	
Education: High $(0/1)$	0.09	
Skill: Middle $(0/1)$	0.44	
Skill: High $(0/1)$	0.14	
Unemployed $(0/1)$	0.04	
Language: Perfect $(0/1)$	-0.88	
Language: Good $(0/1)$	0.09	
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	0.01	
Integration: 'Assimilated' $(0/1/2)$	0.50	0.71
Integration: 'Integrated' $(0/1/2)$	0.36	0.57
Integration: 'Adjusted' $(0/1)$	0.02	
Integration: 'No Difference' $(0/1)$	0.09	
Richer (northern & western) European Countries $(0/1)$	-0.21	
Southern European Countries $(0/1)$	0.18	
Central & Eastern Europe $(0/1)$	0.06	
(former) Yugoslavia $(0/1)$	0.31	
Turkey $(0/1)$	0.15	
Asian Countries $(0/1)$	0.07	
Other Non-European Countries $(0/1)$	0.02	

Table A.1: Descriptive Statistics

Note: Means and standard deviations (for non-binary variables) shown for the estimation sample that includes all municipalities N = 2, 429.

APPENDIX B: ROBUSTNESS CHECKS

This appendix presents various robustness checks from additional specifications.

• Table B.1 and B.2 present a variety of robustness checks for the benchmark models including replications with year fixed effects, quadratic time trends, and linear and quadratic municipality specific time trends for the sub-samples of all, large, and polling place municipalities. The outcome variable in table B.1 is the proportion voting 'no', the outcome variable in table B.2 the binary rejection measure.

- Table B.3 presents additional robust checks for the main model controlling for the share of applicants from (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey in the past years and the number of applicants on the same ballot.
- Table B.4 presents robustness checks for the taste-based interactions using several antiimmigrant referenda from 1982, 1983, and 1988, respectively.
- Table B.5 presents a robustness checks for the taste-based interactions using the local unemployment rate.
- Table B.6 replicates the benchmark model to see if the origin disadvantage differs between Yugoslavian applicants from countries with a high and low shares of muslims.
- Table B.7 presents the interactions of the share of applicants from (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey in the past years and the country of origin effects.
- Figure B.1 presents the municipality specific country of origin effects that are estimated by fitting a streamlined version of the benchmark model to each municipality sub-sample.
- Figure B.2 displays boxplots that summarize the distribution of estimates of the country of origin effects (relative to applicants from Richer European countries) across 15,000 regressions. For each regression, we first randomly sampled the number of control variables uniformly from the set of all control variables from the benchmark model plus all first order interactions and squared terms (for the continuous variables), 738 in total. In a second step, we sample the selected number of control variables from the set of all control variables form the set of all control variables.

Dependent Variable							Prop	ortion 'no	votes (%)					
Model Number	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Municipality Sample:			(-)		(-)	(-)		(-)	(-)	(-)	Polling	Polling	Polling	Polling	Polling
	All	All	All	All	All	Large	Large	Large	Large	Large	Place	Place	Place	Place	Place
Male $(0/1)$	0.74	0.87	0.73	0.58	0.38	1.52	1.41	1.39	0.86	0.64	1.22	1.39	1.14	0.88	0.58
	(0.61)	(0.58)	(0.54)	(0.45)	(0.42)	(0.92)	(0.98)	(0.78)	(0.78)	(0.78)	(0.56)	(0.46)	(0.48)	(0.33)	(0.34)
Married $(0/1)$	0.36	-0.12	0.33	0.07	0.11	0.66	0.08	0.62	-0.26	-0.19	0.88	0.27	0.88	0.66	0.85
(1,1) $(0,1)$	(0.80)	(0.81)	(0.81)	(0.79)	(0.85)	(1.16)	(1.18)	(1.20)	(1.35)	(1.41)	(0.93)	(0.93)	(0.97)	(0.75)	(0.75)
Children $(0/1)$	0.90 (1.05)	0.98 (1.06)	0.90 (1.06)	0.57 (0.76)	0.39 (0.79)	0.90 (1.81)	0.79 (1.62)	0.87 (1.82)	0.95 (1.29)	0.56 (1.09)	1.08 (1.33)	1.09 (1.27)	1.05 (1.29)	0.31 (0.92)	0.01 (0.97)
Age: 21-40 Years	1.13	1.38	1.12	1.05	1.07	2.17	2.47	2.14	2.24	1.92	1.25	1.43	1.31	0.80	1.06
Age. 21-40 Teals	(0.77)	(0.82)	(0.75)	(0.85)	(0.76)	(1.26)	(1.20)	(1.28)	(1.33)	(1.07)	(0.79)	(0.84)	(0.80)	(0.82)	(0.80)
Age: 41-60 Years	2.28	2.55	2.28	1.95	1.40	3.49	3.88	3.47	2.83	2.22	2.11	2.30	2.13	1.30	1.01
6	(0.72)	(0.81)	(0.69)	(0.89)	(0.87)	(0.89)	(1.17)	(0.96)	(1.47)	(1.25)	(0.63)	(0.71)	(0.62)	(0.76)	(0.82)
Age: 60+ Years	1.30	1.96	1.27	0.83	0.42	1.11	1.30	0.97	0.72	0.00	1.01	1.82	0.99	-0.04	-0.33
	(1.71)	(1.56)	(1.67)	(1.52)	(1.49)	(2.60)	(2.73)	(2.65)	(2.59)	(2.41)	(2.07)	(1.87)	(2.01)	(1.48)	(1.52)
Attractive (0/1)	0.53	0.42	0.54	0.48	0.68	0.65	0.55	0.74		0.58 _	0.56	0.49	0.66	-0.41	-0.70
	(0.99)	(0.93)	(1.00)	(0.73)	(0.74)	(1.81)	(1.55)	(1.82)	(1.18)	$(\overline{1}.\overline{19})^{-}$	$(\overline{1}.0\overline{5})^{-}$	(0.97)	(1.07)	(0.75)	(0.76)
Applications (#)	-0.10	0.01	-0.14	-0.82	-0.95	-0.85	-0.70	-0.88	-0.84	-1.16	-0.29	-0.08	-0.42	-0.88	-1.16
\mathbf{P}_{res} is Switzerland (0/1)	(0.78) -2.07	(0.78) -1.83	(0.74) -2.05	(0.57) -1.87	(0.60) -2.30	(0.61) -3.04	(0.57) -2.49	(0.64) -3.11	(0.53) -2.75	(0.64) -3.31	(0.85) -2.06	(0.81) -1.91	(0.82) -2.10	(0.53) -2.38	(0.57) -2.70
Born in Switzerland $(0/1)$	(0.75)	(0.77)	(0.76)	(0.64)	(0.69)	(0.89)	(1.12)	(0.92)	(0.85)	(0.89)	(0.81)	(0.79)	(0.82)	(0.67)	(0.64)
Years since Arrival $(\#/10)$	-1.75	-1.78	(0.76) -1.75	(0.64) -1.68	-1.64	-1.14	-1.20	-1.11	-1.51	-1.61	-1.70	-1.68	-1.63	-1.51	-1.38
$(\pi/10)$	(0.39)	(0.44)	(0.42)	(0.44)	(0.42)	(0.51)	(0.77)	(0.56)	(0.67)	(0.66)	(0.42)	(0.49)	(0.42)	(0.46)	(0.45)
Refugee $(0/1)$	-0.27	-0.41	-0.31	-0.44	-0.32	2.60	2.63	2.41	1.06	1.06	0.90	0.50	0.80	0.14	0.32
	(1.34)	(1.30)	(1.34)	(1.02)	(1.01)	(0.99)	(1.18)	(0.96)	(0.74)	(0.48)	(1.10)	(1.17)	(1.12)	(1.11)	(1.03)
Education: Middle (0/1)	-0.53	-0.57	-0.53	-0.60	-0.71	-1.06	-0.80	-1.12	-0.87	-0.87	-0.61	-0.63	-0.59	-0.60	-0.74
	(0.49)	(0.52)	(0.47)	(0.50)	(0.51)	(0.66)	(0.97)	(0.63)	(0.98)	(0.88)	(0.55)	(0.58)	(0.54)	(0.52)	(0.54)
Education: High $(0/1)$	-1.20	-1.55	-1.16	-0.98	-0.91	-2.40	-2.42	-2.21	-1.66	-1.71	-1.35	-1.83	-1.33	-1.00	-0.90
	(0.93)	(0.92)	(0.87)	(0.77)	(0.76)	(1.57)	(1.72)	(1.43)	(1.56)	(1.47)	(1.12)	(1.04)	(1.02)	(0.82)	(0.82)
Medium Skilled $(0/1)$	-0.81	-0.71	-0.81	-0.71	-0.84	-1.78	-1.70	-1.81	-1.56	-1.45	-0.68	-0.50	-0.75	-0.71	-0.76
High Skilled (0/1)	(0.60) -2.61	(0.66) -2.46	(0.59) -2.60	(0.57) -2.67	(0.57) -2.67	(0.63) -2.59	(0.88) -2.22	(0.62) -2.62	(0.78) -1.98	(0.86) -1.61	(0.66) -2.46	(0.70) -2.32	(0.65) -2.51	(0.56) -2.52	(0.54) -2.39
High Skilled (0/1)	(0.77)	(0.78)	(0.77)	(0.76)	(0.80)	(0.99)	(1.02)	(1.05)	(1.01)	(1.12)	(0.94)	(0.92)	(0.93)	(0.83)	(0.84)
Unemployed $(0/1)$	5.60	5.40	5.60	5.34	4.92	9.17	8.61	9.05	6.64	6.45	5.42	5.34	5.35	5.36	4.53
chemployed (0/1)	(2.65)	(2.55)	(2.63)	(2.44)	(2.57)	(4.13)	(3.72)	(3.98)	(3.54)	(3.70)	(2.71)	(2.60)	(2.71)	(2.42)	(2.52)
Language: Excellent (0/1)	-1.12	0.79	-1.12	-1.54	2.62	- 1.92	- 1.65	- 1.80	- 0.30	0.62-	-0.52	-0.25	-0.59	1.52 -	2.61
88 (-/-)	(2.01)	(1.82)	(1.97)	(1.90)	(2.09)	(2.92)	(2.61)	(2.78)	(2.28)	(2.44)	(2.22)	(1.95)	(2.13)	(2.06)	(2.16)
Language: Good $(0/1)$	-0.55	0.02	-0.53	-1.09	-2.18	1.59	1.96	1.44	0.64	-0.60	-0.31	0.48	-0.33	-1.15	-2.18
	(1.65)	(1.68)	(1.64)	(1.69)	(1.76)	(2.15)	(1.94)	(2.22)	(1.39)	(1.64)	(1.76)	(1.73)	(1.74)	(1.76)	(1.76)
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	20.35	21.48	20.37	21.83	22.63	18.89	19.93	18.63	22.10	22.79	20.09	21.29	19.97	21.90	22.35
	(9.78)	(9.53)	(9.80)	_ (9.02)	_ (8.91)_	(10.12)	(9.80)	(10.10)	(9.12)	_(8.63)_	(9.74)	<u>(9.59)</u>	(9.72)	(8.78)	_ (8.45)
Integration: 'Assimilated' (0-2)	-1.90	-1.93	-1.87	-1.90	-1.89	-0.60	-0.55	-0.59	-1.63	-1.95	-1.70	-1.78	-1.87	-1.66	-1.64
	(0.99)	(1.00) -0.48	(1.01) -0.21	(0.74)	(0.68) -0.86	(0.49)	(0.68) -0.71	(0.54)	(0.62)	(0.69) -1.05	(1.25) -0.42	(1.22) -0.77	(1.25)	(0.74)	(0.66) -1.00
Integration: 'Integrated' (0-2)	-0.24 (0.73)	(0.48)	(0.21)	-0.81 (0.70)	(0.79)	-0.39 (1.67)	(1.48)	-0.33 (1.69)	-1.32 (1.04)	(0.83)	(0.71)	(0.82)	-0.29 (0.71)	-1.05 (0.74)	(0.81)
Integration: 'Adjusted' $(0/1)$	-0.14	-0.62	0.00	-0.26	-0.62	-3.63	-4.65	-3.21	-3.41	-3.15	-0.74	-0.98	-0.55	-0.42	-0.72
integration: indjusted (0/1)	(2.15)	(2.31)	(2.12)	(1.48)	(1.01)	(1.28)	(1.54)	(1.69)	(1.14)	(1.30)	(1.89)	(2.07)	(1.98)	(1.24)	(0.83)
Integration: 'Indistinguishable' $(0/1)$	-3.14	-3.28	-3.18	-3.39	-2.05	-2.26	-2.83	-2.54	-2.60	-2.07	-2.68	-3.14	-2.70	-3.36	-1.89
	(1.20)	(1.06)	(1.31)	(1.07)	(1.30)	(1.92)	(1.93)	(2.21)	(1.68)	(1.53)	(1.30)	(1.20)	(1.50)	(1.07)	(1.32)
Southern European Countries (0/1)	-1.41	-1.71	-1.41	-2.06	-2.45	-1.16	-1.42	-1.16	-1.53	-2.00	-1.54	-1.82	-1.31	-2.35	-2.15
	(1.07)	(1.01)	(1.05)	(1.06)	(0.92)	(1.60)	(1.60)	(1.54)	(1.58)	(1.27)	(1.06)	(1.11)	(1.09)	(1.03)	(1.02)
Central & Eastern Europe $(0/1)$	6.18	6.21	6.19	5.11	4.82	8.15	8.42	8.24	6.53	6.42	6.40	6.58	6.70	4.72	5.28
	(1.18)	(1.06)	(1.23)	(1.02)	(0.99)	(1.37)	(1.47)	(1.38)	(1.15)	(0.98)	(1.29)	(1.15)	(1.37)	(0.97)	(1.12)
(former) Yugoslavia $(0/1)$	14.59	14.40	14.58	13.74	13.29	15.63	15.65	15.59	(1.26)	14.79	15.55	15.37	15.87	14.46	14.83
Turkey $(0/1)$	(1.00) 13.26	(1.12) 13.28	(1.10) 13.26	(1.08) 12.50	(1.11) 12.17	(1.42) 13.18	(1.49) 13.64	(1.51) 13.05	(1.36) 11.93	(1.45) 11.83	(1.09) 13.64	(1.18) 13.67	(1.16) 14.05	(1.16) 12.65	(1.18) 13.09
IUINCY (0/1)	(1.23)	(1.21)	(1.21)	(12.50) (1.18)	(1.11)	(1.79)	(1.68)	(1.65)	(1.76)	(1.48)	(1.31)	(1.35)	(14.05)	(1.25)	(1.22)
Asian Countries $(0/1)$	3.29	3.43	3.26	3.02	2.53	2.79	2.87	2.65	2.89	2.58	2.85	2.96	3.42	2.49	2.96
(*/-/	(1.38)	(1.15)	(1.35)	(0.99)	(0.95)	(1.77)	(1.60)	(1.84)	(1.19)	(1.16)	(1.57)	(1.38)	(1.62)	(1.26)	(1.33)
Other Non-European Countries $(0/1)$	6.85	7.10	6.88	6.37	5.80	7.39	7.67	7.58	6.76	6.19	5.74	5.83	6.24	5.14	5.56
-	(1.43)	(1.34)	(1.41)	(1.32)	(1.06)	(2.51)	(2.07)	(2.43)	(1.67)	(1.17)	(1.90)	(1.95)	(1.98)	(1.65)	(1.34)
Municipality Fixed Effects	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Decade Fixed Effects	yes					yes					yes				
Year Fixed Effects		yes		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes
Quadratic Time Trend			yes					yes					yes		
Municipality Specific Time Trends				yes					yes					yes	
Municipality Specific Quadratic Time Trends	9.400	0 400	0 400	0 400	yes	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000	yes	1.017	1.017	1.017	1.017	yes
Observations R^2	2,429	2,429	2,429	2,429	2,429	1,208	1,208	1,208	1,208	1,208	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917
D.	0.67	0.68	0.67	0.75	0.78	0.64	0.67	0.64	0.74	0.76	0.58	0.61	0.58	0.71	0.74

Table B.1: Robustness Checks for Benchmark Model: Proportion Voting 'No' (%)

Note: Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors (clustered by municipality) shown from OLS regressions. Models 1-5, 6-10, and 11-15 are based on all ballot box municipalities, large municipalities, and polling place municipalities respectively. Reference categories for the various contrasts are: an indicator for the years 1970-1979, applicants with age < 20 years, low education, in low skilled jobs, "sufficient" command of one of the Swiss languages, who are familiar with "Swiss traditions and customs", and originating from a rich European country.

Table B.2: Robustness Checks for Benchmark Model: Rejected (0/1)

Dependent Variable								Rejecte							
Model Number	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Municipality Sample:											Polling	Polling	Polling	Polling	Polling
	All	All	All	All	All	Large	Large	Large	Large	Large	Place	Place	Place	Place	Place
Male $(0/1)$	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.01	0.00	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Married $(0/1)$	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.03
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Children (0/1)	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02	-0.00	-0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Age: 21-40 Years (0/1)	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
1 (1 00 TT (0 (1))	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)
Age: 41-60 Years (0/1)	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05
A 00 - 37 (0 (1)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Age: $60 + $ Years $(0/1)$	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.11
	$\left - \frac{(0.07)}{2} \right $	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.06)	-(0.07)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.09)	_ (0.08)	(0.09)	(0.08)	(0.09)
Attractive (0/1)	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.05	-0.04	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.04	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.05	-0.04
A 11 (11)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Applications (#)	0.02	0.02	0.02	-0.03	-0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01	-0.01	-0.03	-0.01	-0.00	-0.01	-0.04	-0.06
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Born in Switzerland $(0/1)$	-0.07	-0.06	-0.07	-0.07	-0.08	-0.08	-0.09	-0.08	-0.09	-0.10	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05	-0.06	-0.07
Verse since $A_{min} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)$	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)
Years since Arrival $(\#/10)$	-0.03	-0.03	-0.03	-0.02	-0.03	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{r}}$	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)
Refugee $(0/1)$	-0.04	-0.05	-0.04	-0.06	-0.05	-0.01	-0.02	-0.02	-0.04	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02 (0.06)	-0.01 (0.06)	-0.03	-0.03 (0.06)
	$\left[-\frac{(0.05)}{2} \right]$	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)	-(0.05)	-(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.08)	(0.07)	(0.06)			(0.06)	
Education: Middle $(0/1)$	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05	-0.04	-0.04	-0.02	-0.02	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01	-0.05	-0.04	-0.05	-0.04	-0.04
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Education: High $(0/1)$	-0.09	-0.09	-0.09	-0.07	-0.08	-0.11	-0.11	-0.11	-0.09	-0.10	-0.09	-0.10	-0.09	-0.07	-0.08
M. I. GLUL 1 (0/1)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Medium Skilled $(0/1)$	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01	-0.04	-0.03	-0.04	-0.04	-0.04	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.01
H^{*}_{1} G^{*}_{1} $(G/1)$	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)
High Skilled $(0/1)$	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.08	-0.08	-0.08	-0.08	-0.07	-0.06	-0.05	-0.06	-0.07	-0.07
$\mathbf{U} = 1 \cdot (0 \cdot 1)$	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Unemployed $(0/1)$	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.13	0.29	0.27	0.29	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.13
	$\left \begin{array}{c} (0.06) \\ 0.06 \end{array} \right $	(0.05)	(0.06)	-(0.05)	-(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.06)	(0.07)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)	_ (0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Language: Excellent (0/1)	$\begin{bmatrix} 0.03 \\ 0.16 \end{bmatrix}$	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	$\overline{0.17}$	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05
$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{r}} + $	(0.16)	(0.17)	(0.16)	(0.17)	(0.18)	(0.26)	(0.25)	(0.26)	(0.24)	(0.25)	(0.18)	(0.18)	(0.18)	(0.18)	(0.19)
Language: Good $(0/1)$	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.10
Languages Incomficient (0/1)	(0.17)	(0.18)	(0.18)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.23)	(0.22)	(0.22)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)	(0.17)
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	0.20	0.24	0.20	0.29	0.31	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.27	0.30	0.23	0.27	0.22	0.30	0.32
	$\begin{bmatrix} -(0.17) \\ -\overline{0} & 0\overline{5} \end{bmatrix}$	- (0.17)	(0.17)	$-\frac{(0.16)}{0.04}$	$-\frac{(0.15)}{0.04}$	$-\frac{(0.20)}{2}$	(0.20)	(0.21)	(0.19)	$-\frac{(0.19)}{0.05}$	-(0.15)	$-\frac{(0.15)}{0.02}$	(0.15)	$-\frac{(0.14)}{0.04}$	- (0.14)
Integration: 'Assimilated' (0-2	-0.05	-0.05	-0.05	-0.04	-0.04	-0.03	-0.04	-0.03	-0.04	-0.05	-0.03	-0.03	-0.04	-0.04	-0.04
	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Integration: 'Integrated' (0-2)	-0.00	-0.01	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.02	-0.05	-0.02	-0.05	-0.03	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.00	-0.00
	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Integration: 'Adjusted' $(0/1)$	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.00	-0.03	0.01	-0.04	-0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04
T	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.07)	(0.07)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.07)
Integration: 'Indistinguishable' $(0/1)$	-0.13	-0.14	-0.14	-0.11	-0.08	-0.17	-0.21	-0.18	-0.16	-0.14	-0.10	-0.11	-0.11	-0.09	-0.06
	$\left - \frac{(0.04)}{0.01} \right $	- (0.05)	(0.05)	$-\frac{(0.06)}{0.02}$	$-\frac{(0.06)}{-0.02}$	$-\frac{(0.06)}{-0.02}$ -	(0.05)	(0.06)	$- \frac{(0.04)}{0.05}$	$-\frac{(0.05)}{-0.04}$	-(0.05)	$-\frac{(0.05)}{0.02}$ -	- (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) - (0.05) -	$-\frac{(0.06)}{-0.04}$ -	$- \frac{(0.06)}{-0.03}$
Southern European Countries (0/1)	-0.01	-0.01	-0.00	-0.03			-0.02	-0.02	-0.05		-0.03	-0.03	-0.03		
Control & Fratern Funner (0/1)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02) 0.12	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.03) 0.12
Central & Eastern Europe $(0/1)$	0.09	0.10 (0.04)	0.10 (0.04)	0.08 (0.04)	0.08 (0.04)	0.11	0.13 (0.07)	(0.12) (0.07)	0.10	0.13	0.12 (0.05)	0.13 (0.04)	0.12	0.11	(0.05)
$(0, \ldots)$ V $(0, 1)$	(0.04)					(0.07)			(0.06)	(0.07)			(0.05)	(0.04)	
(former) Yugoslavia (0/1)	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.40
T 1 (0 (1)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.05)
Turkey $(0/1)$	0.29	0.30	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.29	0.30	(0.29)	0.28	0.31	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.36
Asian Countries $(0/1)$	(0.04) -0.07	(0.04) -0.06	(0.04) -0.06	(0.05) -0.06	(0.05)	(0.08) -0.05	(0.08) -0.06	(0.08) -0.06	(0.08) -0.08	(0.08) -0.06	(0.05) -0.08	(0.05)	(0.05) -0.07	(0.05) -0.06	(0.05)
Asian Countries (0/1)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.06)	-0.06 (0.03)	-0.05 (0.03)	(0.05)	(0.06)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.08 (0.04)	-0.06 (0.04)	-0.08 (0.05)	-0.06 (0.05)	(0.07)	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.04
Other Non-European Countries $(0/1)$	(0.04) 0.02	(0.04) 0.04	(0.04) 0.02	(0.03) 0.03	(0.03) 0.04	-0.01	(0.04) 0.02	-0.01	(0.04) -0.01	(0.04) 0.01	0.03	(0.05) 0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04
Other Non-European Countries $(0/1)$															
Municipality Direct Dffact	(0.04)	(0.04)	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.08)	(0.08)	(0.09)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.05)	(0.04
Municipality Fixed Effects	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
Decade Fixed Effects	yes					yes					yes				
Year Fixed Effects		yes		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes		yes		yes	yes
Quadratic Time Trend			yes					yes					yes		
Municipality Specific Time Trends				yes					yes					yes	
Municipality Specific Quadratic Time Trends	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	yes	1.000	1.000	1.000	1 6 6 6	yes	1.01-	1.015	1.015	1.015	yes
Observations R^2	2,429	2,429	2,429	2,429	2,429	1,208	1,208	1,208	1,208	1,208	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917	1,917
	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.49	0.51	0.39	0.43	0.39	0.47	0.49	0.41	0.44	0.41	0.49	0.51

Note: Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors (clustered by municipality) shown from OLS regressions. Models 1-5, 6-10, and 11-15 are based on all ballot box municipalities, large municipalities, and polling place municipalities respectively. Reference categories for the various contrasts are: an indicator for the years 1970-1979, applicants with age < 20 years, low education, in low skilled jobs, "sufficient" command of one of the Swiss languages, who are familiar with "Swiss traditions and customs", and originating from a rich European country.

Table B.3: Effects of Lagged Share of Applicants from (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey and Number of Applicants on Same Ballot

Dependent Variable	Proportion 'no' votes Model 1	Rejection (0/1) Model 2	Proportion 'no' votes Model 3	Rejection (0/1 Model 4
Year: 80's (0/1)	0.60	0.02	0.16	0.00
	(2.10)	(0.04)	(1.49)	(0.02)
Year: 90's $(0/1)$	-0.84 (3.37)	0.03 (0.06)	-0.56 (2.75)	-0.00 (0.05)
Year: 00's (0/1)	-2.86	0.03	-2.11	-0.02
T = 70715 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	(4.66)	(0.09)		(0.08) _
$\overline{\text{Male }(0/1)}$	0.91 (0.61)	-0.02 (0.02)	0.85 (0.56)	-0.02 (0.02)
Married $(0/1)$	0.52	0.03	0.31	0.02
Children (0/1)	(0.80)	(0.03)	(0.81)	(0.03)
Children $(0/1)$	0.77 (1.10)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ (0.03) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.94 \\ (1.03) \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ (0.03) \end{array} $
Age: 21-40 Years (0/1)	1.09	0.03	1.17	0.04
Age: 41-60 Years (0/1)	(0.83) 2.13	$(0.03) \\ 0.04$	(0.74) 2.39	$(0.03) \\ 0.05$
0	(0.75)	(0.03)	(0.71)	(0.03)
Age: $60 + $ Years $(0/1)$	1.26 (1.80)	0.10 (0.08)	(1.59) (1.71)	0.11 (0.07)
Attractive $(0/1)$	0.43	-0.02	0.61	-0.02
,	(1.04)	(0.04)	(0.91)	(0.03) _
# of Applications	-0.23 (0.79)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ (0.03) \end{array} $	-0.11 (0.80)	0.02 (0.03)
Born in Switzerland $(0/1)$	-1.69	-0.06	-2.03	-0.07
X	(0.81)	(0.03)	(0.73)	(0.02)
Years since Arrival / 10	-1.64 (0.39)	-0.03 (0.01)	-1.73 (0.39)	-0.03 (0.01)
Refugee $(0/1)$	-0.01	-0.05	-0.11	-0.04
Education: Middle $(0/1)$ – – – – –	(1.23)	$ \frac{(0.05)}{0.05}$	$ (\frac{1.28}{0.42})$	(0.05) - 0.04
Education: Middle $(0/1)$	-0.69 (0.51)	-0.05 (0.02)	-0.43 (0.49)	-0.04 (0.02)
Education: High $(0/1)$	-1.35	-0.10	-1.16	-0.09
Madium Shilled (0/1)	(0.89)	(0.04)	(0.95)	(0.04)
Medium Skilled $(0/1)$	-0.81 (0.57)	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.82 (0.60)	-0.02 (0.02)
High Skilled $(0/1)$	-2.95	-0.06	-2.62	-0.06
Unemployed $(0/1)$	(0.82) 5.47	$(0.03) \\ 0.19$	$(0.76) \\ 5.37$	(0.03) 0.19
Chemployed (0/1)	(2.68)	(0.06)	(2.56)	(0.06)
Language: Excellent (0/1)	-1.25			
Language: Good $(0/1)$	(2.02) -0.25	$(0.16) \\ 0.08$	(2.00) -0.29	(0.17) 0.07
Languager Good (0/1)	(1.73)	(0.17)	(1.64)	(0.18)
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	20.03	0.23	20.50	0.21
Integration: 'Assimilated' (0-2	$ \frac{(9.77)}{-2.11}$	$ \frac{(0.17)}{-0.05}$		$ \frac{(0.17)}{-0.05} -$
	(1.07)	(0.03)	(0.94)	(0.02)
Integration: 'Integrated' (0-2)	-0.47	-0.01	-0.24	-0.00
Integration: 'Adjusted' $(0/1)$	(0.78) -0.63	$(0.03) \\ 0.08$	(0.72) -0.25	$(0.03) \\ 0.08$
······································	(2.13)	(0.05)	(2.17)	(0.05)
Integration: 'Indistinguishable' $(0/1)$	-3.29	-0.14 (0.05)	-3.14 (1.17)	-0.13 (0.04)
Southern European Countries (0/1)	$ \frac{(1.19)}{-1.72}$	$ \frac{(0.03)}{-0.01}$	$\frac{(1.17)}{-1.51}$	0.01 -
	(1.09)	(0.02)	(1.03)	(0.02)
Central & Eastern Europe $(0/1)$	6.56 (1.18)	0.11 (0.04)	6.09 (1.20)	0.09 (0.04)
(former) Yugoslavia $(0/1)$	14.48	0.30	14.49	0.29
	(0.97)	(0.05)	(0.98)	(0.05)
Turkey $(0/1)$	12.88 (1.17)	0.28 (0.04)	13.06 (1.24)	0.28 (0.04)
Asian Countries $(0/1)$	2.96	-0.06	3.11	-0.07
	(1.34)	(0.04)	(1.29)	(0.04)
Other Non-European Countries $(0/1)$	7.02 (1.42)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.03 \\ (0.04) \end{array} $	6.81 (1.38)	0.02 (0.04)
Lagged Share Yugoslavia & Turkey	4.36	0.00	`_ `_ `_ '	`` ' '
Number of Applicants on Dall ((2.31)	(0.08)	0.20	0.01
Number of Applicants on Ballot			$ \begin{array}{c} 0.30 \\ (0.34) \end{array} $	0.01 (0.01)
Constant	36.55		$\frac{(0.34)}{36.22}$	$\frac{(0.01)}{0.25}$ -
	(3.68)	(0.19)	(3.98)	(0.20)
Fixed Effects for Municipalities Applications	yes 2,323	yes 2,323	yes 2,429	yes 2,429
Municipalities	44	44	2,425	44
R^2	0.68	0.42	0.67	0.42

Note: Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors shown. All models are ordinary OLS with municipality fixed effects and standard errors clustered by municipality. Models 1 and 2 control for the lagged share of applicants from (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey on the ballot, averaged over the past three years. Models 3 and 4 control for the number of applicants that are on the same ballot. Models 1 and 3 present the results for the proportion of 'no' votes, models 2 and 4 for the binary rejection measure.

Dependent Variable	Rejection Rate						
	All Model 1	l Municipali Model 2	ties Model 3	Model 4	Polling Place Model 5	Model	
Year: 80's	-0.74	-0.52	-0.39	-0.53	-0.59	-0.77	
iear: ou s	(1.67)	(1.69)	(1.65)	(1.98)	(2.03)	(1.96)	
Year: 90's	0.67	0.82	0.77	3.50	3.36	3.07	
1eai. 50 5	(2.74)	(2.67)	(2.73)	(3.41)	(3.42)	(3.46)	
Year: 00's	1.69	1.80	1.75	3.67	3.51	3.18	
1eai. 00 s	(4.20)	(4.10)	(4.27)	(5.43)	(5.46)	(5.55)	
Male (0/1)	$-\frac{(4.20)}{0.59}$	$-\frac{(4.10)}{0.53}$ -	$-\frac{(4.27)}{0.51}$ -	$-\frac{(3.43)}{0.71}$	$-\frac{(3.40)}{0.67}$	- 0.71	
Male $(0/1)$	(0.59)	(0.53)	(0.51)	(0.71)	(0.79)	(0.71)	
Married (0/1)	0.34	0.38	0.51	1.03	0.98	1.21	
Married (0/1)	(0.87)	(0.89)	(0.93)	(1.03)	(1.05)	(1.07)	
Children (0/1)	1.99	1.87	1.88	1.70	1.70	1.72	
Ciliaren (0/1)	(0.94)	(0.93)	(0.97)	(1.05)	(1.04)	(1.08)	
Age: 21-40 Years	1.63	1.56	1.56	1.80	1.70	1.70	
Age. 21-40 leals	(0.80)	(0.81)	(0.83)	(0.78)	(0.78)	(0.80)	
Age: 41-60 Years	2.13	2.17	2.21	2.18	2.02	2.07	
Age. 41-00 Teals	(1.00)	(1.00)	(1.03)	(0.99)	(0.99)	(1.04)	
Area 60 Veena	3.32	3.29	3.35	3.30	2.94	2.63	
Age: 60+ Years	(2.03)	(2.04)	(2.21)	(2.51)	(2.56)	(2.63)	
Attractive $(0/1)$	(2.03) 0.51	(2.04) 0.41	(2.21) 0.80	(2.51) 0.62	(2.56) 0.45	(2.65) 0.87	
Attractive (0/1)	(1.01)			(1.09)			
$\overline{Applications}(\#) = $		- (0.97) - (1.16) - (1.16)	$-\frac{(1.02)}{1.02}$ -		$ \frac{(1.04)}{1.05}$	(1.13)	
Applications (#)	-1.20	-1.16	-1.02	-1.20	-1.25	-1.14	
Born in Switzerland $(0/1)$	(0.79)	(0.78)	(0.81)	(0.85)	(0.83)	(0.89)	
Born in Switzerland (0/1)	-1.40	-1.48	-1.59	-1.53	-1.41	-1.80	
No	(1.19)	(1.18)	(1.19)	(1.55)	(1.46)	(1.55)	
Years since Arrival $(\#/10)$	-1.45	-1.51	-1.50	-1.62	-1.62	-1.51	
	(0.64)	(0.62)	(0.66)	(0.74)	(0.74)	(0.78)	
Refugee $(0/1)$	-5.39	-5.25	-5.21	-2.30	-2.24	-2.34	
	_ (2.59)	(2.65)	(2.59)	(2.08)	(2.16)	(2.22)	
Education: $\overline{\text{Middle}}$ $(\overline{0}/\overline{1})$	-0.69	-0.78	-0.84	-0.93	-0.93	-0.92	
	(0.63)	(0.63)	(0.68)	(0.73)	(0.72)	(0.74)	
Education: High $(0/1)$	-2.11	-2.23	-2.39	-2.37	-2.20	-2.23	
	(1.00)	(0.98)	(1.07)	(1.08)	(1.06)	(1.14)	
Medium Skilled $(0/1)$	0.11	0.22	-0.06	0.65	0.70	0.41	
	(0.66)	(0.66)	(0.68)	(0.73)	(0.72)	(0.75)	
High Skilled (0/1)	-2.09	-2.15	-2.13	-1.91	-2.10	-1.92	
	(1.07)	(1.08)	(1.07)	(1.28)	(1.25)	(1.25)	
Unemployed (0/1)	5.54	5.53	5.71	4.95	4.98	5.22	
	_ (2.86)	(2.86)	_ (2.86) _	(2.84)	(2.86)	(2.86)	
Language: Excellent $(0/1)$	-0.65	-0.80	-0.70	-0.31	-0.62	-0.37	
	(2.67)	(2.64)	(2.64)	(2.62)	(2.65)	(2.63)	
Language: Good $(0/1)$	0.25	0.01	0.14	0.15	-0.11	0.13	
	(2.49)	(2.45)	(2.46)	(2.35)	(2.35)	(2.38)	
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	28.38	28.05	28.32	28.95	28.43	28.95	
	_ (2.97)	(2.85)	_ (3.08) _	(2.93)	(2.74)	_(3.03)	
Integration: 'Assimilated' (0-2)	-2.10	-2.30	-2.19	-1.54	-1.69	-1.49	
	(1.28)	(1.26)	(1.24)	(1.40)	(1.38)	(1.38)	
Integration: 'Integrated' (0-2)	0.29	0.27	0.57	0.00	-0.06	0.24	
	(0.66)	(0.66)	(0.63)	(0.64)	(0.62)	(0.56)	
Integration: 'Adjusted' $(0/1)$	-0.85	-0.66	-0.88	-1.14	-0.90	-1.37	
	(3.38)	(3.38)	(3.41)	(2.97)	(2.89)	(2.94)	
Integration: 'Indistinguishable' $(0/1)$	-3.27	-3.42	-3.21	-3.17	-3.27	-2.88	
	(1.18)	(1.18)	(1.14)	(1.25)	(1.23)	(1.16)	
(former) Yugoslavia & Turkey	13.31	13.14	12.63	-12.01	12.60	$1\overline{2}.4\overline{5}$	
	(1.20)	(1.40)	(0.94)	(1.46)	(1.79)	(1.15)	
Yugoslavia & Turkey x Vote Share 1982	0.51			0.75			
-	(0.14)			(0.21)			
Yugoslavia & Turkey x Vote Share 1983		0.46			0.65		
- •		(0.15)			(0.10)		
Yugoslavia & Turkey x Vote Share 1988		. /	0.43		. /	0.56	
-			(0.23)			(0.29)	
Constant	37.15	37.68	37.58	35.86	36.70	36.15	
	(4.01)	(3.86)	(3.95)	(4.13)	(3.87)	(4.24)	
Fixed Effects for Municipalities	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	
		,	,00	,	5.00		
	1.617	1 617	1 617	1 294	1 294	1.294	
Applications Municipalities	$1,617 \\ 43$	$^{1,617}_{43}$	$^{1,617}_{43}$	1,294 31	1,294 31	1,294 31	

Table B.4: Interaction of Anti-Immigrant Vote Share and Country of Origin Effects

Note: Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors shown. All models are ordinary OLS with municipality fixed effects and standard errors clustered by municipality. For all models, only applicants originating from rich European countries or (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey are used. Models 1-3 are based on the full sample of ballot box municipalities, Models 4-6 are based on municipalities where the ballots were cast at the polling place. Vote Share 1983, and Vote Share 1988 are the municipality level vote shares from the respective federal referenda for proposals to restrict immigration.

Dependent Variable	Proportion 'no' votes (%)	Rejection $(0/1)$
	Model 1	Model 2
Year: 80's	-0.43	0.02
	(1.67)	(0.04)
Year: 90's	0.40	0.10
Year: 00's	(2.66)	(0.06)
Tear: 00 s	1.38 (4.15)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.13 \\ (0.11) \end{array} $
$\overline{\text{Male}(071)}$ – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –		
Male (0/1)	(0.73)	(0.02)
Married $(0/1)$	0.45	0.04
	(0.95)	(0.03)
Children (0/1)	1.88	0.03
	(0.98)	(0.03)
Age: 21-40 Years	1.67	0.05
	(0.81)	(0.04)
Age: 41-60 Years	2.37	0.06
	(0.97)	(0.04)
Age: 60+ Years	3.53	0.19
	(2.09)	(0.08)
Attractive $(0/1)$	0.59	-0.01
$\overline{\text{Applications}}(\#)$		
Born in Switzerland $(0/1)$	(0.86) -1.43	(0.03) -0.05
Born in Switzerland (0/1)	(1.19)	(0.04)
Years since Arrival $(\#/10)$	-1.57	-0.03
Tears since $(\pi/10)$	(0.60)	(0.02)
Refugee $(0/1)$	-5.06	0.09
8 (-/-)	(2.41)	(0.07)
Education: Middle (0/1)		
	(0.68)	(0.03)
Education: High $(0/1)$	-2.62	-0.18
	(1.00)	(0.05)
Medium Skilled $(0/1)$	-0.06	-0.01
	(0.70)	(0.03)
High Skilled (0/1)	-2.21	-0.04
	(1.05)	(0.03)
Unemployed $(0/1)$	5.77	0.18
	$ \frac{(2.87)}{2.6}$	(0.07)
Language: Excellent (0/1)	-0.66	0.06
Language: Good $(0/1)$	$(2.68) \\ 0.14$	$(0.21) \\ 0.09$
Danguage. GOOd (0/1)	(2.54)	(0.22)
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	28.37	0.24
Language. Insumerent (0/1)	(3.18)	(0.24)
Integration: 'Assimilated' (0-2)		
(0 =)	(1.43)	(0.04)
Integration: 'Integrated' (0-2)	0.53	0.02
	(0.63)	(0.04)
Integration: 'Adjusted' (0/1)	-0.88	0.05
	(3.40)	(0.10)
Integration: 'Indistinguishable' $(0/1)$	-3.31	-0.15
	(1.23)	(0.05)
(former) Yugoslavia & Turkey (0/1)	12.39	0.21
	(0.98)	(0.05)
Yugoslavia & Turkey x Unemployment Rate		
	(1.53)	(0.06)
Constant	38.21	0.22
	(4.00)	(0.23)
Fixed Effects for Municipalities	yes	yes
Applications	1,617	1,617
Municipalities	43	43
\mathbb{R}^2	0.69	0.46

Table B.5: Interaction of Unemployment Rate and Country of Origin Effects

 n
 0.09
 0.46

 Note:
 Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors shown. All models are ordinary OLS with municipality fixed effects and standard errors clustered by municipality. For all models, only applicants originating from rich European countries or (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey are used. The estimates of model 1 refer to the estimated difference in the proportion 'no' votes and the estimates of model 2 to the binary rejection measure. Unemployment rate is the municipality level unemployment rate from 2000. The average unemployment rate is 2.95 %.

Dependent Variable	Proportion	'no' votes (%)			
	Coefficient	Std. Error	Coefficient	Std. Error	
Origin: Share of Muslim High	-0.98	(0.91)	0.02	(0.03)	
Origin: Share of Muslim Low	-1.15	(0.73)	-0.09	(0.04)	
P-value from Difference Test	$\bar{0}.\bar{8}9$		0.05		

Table B.6: Regression Estimates of Muslim Shares in the Yugosphere countries

Note: Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors (clustered by municipality) shown from OLS regressions with municipality fixed effects. The estimates come from a replication of the benchmark model where we restrict the sample to the N = 743 applicants from (former) Yugoslavia and differentiate between three groups including applicants from former Yugoslavia (the reference category), from countries with a high share of Muslims (> 30%), and from countries with a low share of Muslims (< 30%). The countries (or regions, in the case of Kosovo) of origin that are coded as having a high (> 30%) share of muslim population are Bosnia and Herzegovina, Kosovo, and Macedonia, the low share countries are Croatia, Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (later Serbia and Montenegro), and Slovenia. This classification is based on 2001, 2002 or 2003 Census data. The dependent variables are the proportion 'no' votes (model 1) and the binary rejection measure (model 2); both models control for all covariates of the benchmark models in table 3 (coefficients not shown here).

Dependent Variable	Proportion 'no' votes (%)	Rejection $(0/1)$
Var. 80'- (0/1)	Model 1	Model 2
Year: 80's (0/1)	1.71 (1.91)	0.07 (0.04)
Year: 90's (0/1)	3.31	0.22
	(2.97)	(0.07)
Year: 00's (0/1)	2.14	0.24
	(4.32)	(0.13)
Male (0/1)		
	(0.74)	(0.02)
Married $(0/1)$	0.25	0.04
Children (0/1)	(0.92) 1.88	$(0.04) \\ 0.03$
Children (0/1)	(1.01)	(0.03)
Age: 21-40 Years (0/1)	1.45	0.05
	(0.81)	(0.04)
Age: 41-60 Years (0/1)	2.13	0.05
	(1.11)	(0.05)
Age: $60+$ Years $(0/1)$	3.48	0.21
$\Delta t t = t = (0/1)$	(2.18)	(0.09)
Attractive $(0/1)$	0.62	-0.01
# of Applications	$ \frac{(1.10)}{-1.48}$	$ \frac{(0.04)}{-0.04}$
# of Applications	(0.74)	(0.03)
Born in Switzerland $(0/1)$	-1.28	-0.04
((),_)	(1.27)	(0.04)
Years since Arrival / 10	-1.55	-0.03
	(0.67)	(0.02)
Refugee $(0/1)$	-4.19	0.07
=	(2.31)	(0.08)
Education: Middle $(0/1)$	-1.15	-0.06
Education: High $(0/1)$	(0.68) -1.88	(0.03) -0.17
Education: High (0/1)	(1.11)	(0.05)
Medium Skilled (0/1)	0.01	-0.01
	(0.70)	(0.03)
High Skilled (0/1)	-2.29	-0.04
	(1.00)	(0.03)
Unemployed $(0/1)$	5.39	0.17
Language: Excellent (0/1)		0.06
Language: Good $(0/1)$	(2.72) -0.08	(0.22) 0.09
Language. Good (0/1)	(2.44)	(0.22)
Language: Insufficient $(0/1)$	28.87	0.27
	(3.19)	(0.21)
Integration: 'Assimilated' (0-2		
	(1.47)	(0.05)
Integration: 'Integrated' (0-2)	0.23	0.02
Integration, (Adjusted) (0/1)	(0.73)	(0.04)
Integration: 'Adjusted' $(0/1)$	-1.11 (3.40)	0.06 (0.11)
Integration: 'Indistinguishable' $(0/1)$	-3.40	-0.17
	(1.03)	(0.05)
(former) Yugoslavia or Turkey (0/1)		
	(1.07)	(0.05)
Lagged Share Yugoslavia & Turkey	-5.95	-0.30
	(5.29)	(0.14)
(former) Yugoslavia or Turkey x Lagged Share	15.10	0.34
C	$ \frac{(5.56)}{2\epsilon}$	
Constant	35.25 (4.59)	0.12 (0.25)
Fixed Effects for Municipalities	(4.59)	(0.25)
Applications	yes 1,553	yes 1,553
reprications		
Municipalities	42	42

Table B.7: Interaction of Lagged Shares of Applicants and Country of Origin Effects

 R
 0.11
 0.12

 Note: Point estimates and parenthesized standard errors shown. All models are ordinary OLS with municipality fixed effects and standard errors clustered by municipality. For all models, only applicants originating from rich European countries or (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey are used. The estimates of model 1 refer to the estimated difference in the proportion 'no' votes and the estimates of model 2 to the binary rejection measure. The lagged share of applicants from (former) Yugoslavia and Turkey on the ballot is averaged over the preceding three years.





Figure B.1: Municipality Specific Country of Origin Effects

Note: Marginal effect estimates with robust .95 confidence intervals based on municipality specific regressions of rejection rates on applicant characteristics. Estimates shown for municipalities with 15 or more applicants only.

Figure B.2: Distribution of Country of Origin Effects



Note: Figure shows boxplots that summarize the distribution of estimates of the country of origin effects (relative to applicants from Richer European countries) across 15,000 regressions that randomly sample the control variables from the set of all control variables from the benchmark model plus all first order interactions and squared terms (for the continuous variables).

Appendix C: Sample Leaflets and Ballots Checks

This appendix presents sample copies of voter leaflets and ballots that were used for naturalization referenda. Figure C.1: Sample Leaflet II

4. Einbürgerung Frau



Frau and an and a state of the second second

Die Primar- und Sekundarschule besuchte Frauerin in Malters. Zurzeit absolviert sie eine Lehre als Büroangestellte bei der Firma Ackermann, Versandhaus, Entlebuch. Im Sommer 1996 wird sie die Lehre abschliessen.

In der Freizeit lernt sie für die Berufsschule und geht ihren Hobbys, dem Zeichnen, Fotografieren und Tanzen, nach.

Da Frau in der Schweiz geboren wurde, die Schulen in Malters besuchte und fast alle ihrer Kolleginnen und Kollegen Schweizerinnen und Schweizer sind, fühlt sie sich mit der Schweiz und unserem Dorf sehr verbunden.

Bei der Prüfung der Einbürgerungsunterlagen haben die zuständigen Behörden festgestellt, dass die Voraussetzungen für die Einbürgerung gegeben sind. Wir haben Frau als gepflegte, sympathische junge Frau kennengelernt, die sich sehr gut integriert hat. Mit den Lebensgewohnheiten unseres Landes ist sie bestens vertraut.

Die Einbürgerungssumme der Gemeinde ist aufgrund bisheriger Praxis auf Fr. 100.– festgesetzt worden.

Note: Sample voting leaflet (names blacked out).

Figure C.2: Sample Leaflet I

Gemeindeversammlung Steinen vom 12.4.1985 / Traktandum Nr. 4

Aufnahme von **der Gemeinden (1**965, italienischer Staatsangehöriger, wohnhaft in Steinen, in das Bürgerrecht der Gemeinde Steinen

A. BERICHT

Mit Eingabe vom 6.12.1984 stellt **der Gemeinde**, 1965, italienischer Staatsangehöriger, das Gesuch um Aufnahme in das Bürgerrecht der Gemeinde Steinen.

Der Gesuchsteller wurde am 25.2.1965 in Schwyz als Sohn des **einen wohnten** und der geboren, die damals bereits in Steinen wohnten.

Seit der Geburt hält sich **der Geburt hält sich in Steinen Eltern in Steinen, Sonnenbergli,** auf, und verbrachte seine Jugendzeit in Steinen.

Er besuchte in Steinen die Primarschule und die Sekundarschule.

Nach dem Schulabschluss trat **Hernen versicherungs** bei der Berner Allgemeinen Versicherungsgesellschaft in Schwyz in die kaufmännische Lehre ein, welche er im Frühjahr 1984 mit der Abschlussprüfung erfolgreich abgeschlossen hat.

Nach der Abschlussprüfung setzte der Gesuchsteller seine Tätigkeit bei der Direktion der Berner Versicherung in Bern fort, wo er gegenwärtig als Unfallschaden-Sachbearbeiter tätig ist.

Er ist in Bern als Wochenaufenthalter gemeldet, wobei der gesetzliche Wohnsitz nach wie vor bei seinen Eltern in Steinen ist.

Nach Abschluss seiner beruflichen Weiterbildung und Absolvierung der Rekrutenschule beabsichtigt **Entrementen Seine** Tätigkeit in unserer Umgebung fortzusetzen, und weiterhin in Steinen zu wohnen.

Durch den immerwährenden Aufenthalt, den Schulbesuch und die weitere Ausbildung in der Schweiz ist der Bewerber mit den hiesigen Sitten und Gebräuchen bestens vertraut. Er kann als vollständig assimiliert betrachtet werden und unterscheidet sich in nichts von seinen einheimischen Alterskollegen.

Er fühlt sich eher als Schweizer, denn als Italiener, und hat nicht die Absicht nach Italien zurückzukehren.

Weder sprachlich noch sonstwie ist er als Ausländer zu erkennen.

Die Einbürgerungsbewilligung des Bundesamtes für Polizeiwesen wurde bereits am 6. Juli 1984 erteilt.

Note: Sample voting leaflet (names blacked out).

Figure C.3: Sample Ballot I

Stimmzette	Polit. Gemeinde St. Margreti I für die Urnenabstimmung vom S	
	ass an Herrn Herrn hereitt wird? nserer Gemeinde erteilt wird?	, 1983, das Bür-
	Antwort	

Note: Sample ballot (names blacked out).

Figure C.4: Sample Ballot II

POLITISCHE GEMEINDE HERGISWIL

Amtlicher Stimmzettel

für die Urnenabstimmung im Rahmen der Gemeindeversammlung vom 25. Mai 2003



.

. .

Traktandum 3.5: Wollen Sie der nachfolgenden Person das Gemeindebürgerrecht der Gemeinde Hergiswil erteilen bzw. zusichern?

 JA	NEIN

Zutreffendes bitte ankreuzen!

Note: Sample ballot (names blacked out).