

# 8.808/8.308 IAP 2026 Recitation 1-2: Numerical stochastic integration

Jessica Metzger

[jessmetz@mit.edu](mailto:jessmetz@mit.edu) | Office hours: 1/9, 1/14, 1/20, 1/27 11am-12pm (8-320)

January 8, 2026

## Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
2	Theory: additive noise . . . . .	1
2.1	Lowest-order (Euler/Maruyama) scheme . . . . .	2
2.2	Higher-order (Mannella) scheme . . . . .	2
2.3	Error: explicit computation . . . . .	3
2.3.1	Analytical solution . . . . .	3
2.3.2	Euler/Maruyama scheme error . . . . .	3
2.3.3	Mannella scheme error . . . . .	4
3	Theory: multiplicative noise . . . . .	5
3.1	Euler integration scheme . . . . .	5
4	Numerics . . . . .	6
4.1	Additive noise & $h$ convergence . . . . .	6
4.2	Multiplicative noise: $T(x)$ . . . . .	6

## 1 Introduction

First, make sure you have Julia installed (see [julialang.org/install](https://julialang.org/install)) along with an IDE. I simply use the free Sublime text editor (<https://www.sublimetext.com/>) and run the code from the command line as `julia script.jl arg1 arg2 ....`

The following theory follows a simplified version of the calculation in R. Mannella, *Integration of Stochastic Differential Equations on a Computer*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C (2002). This recitation is adapted from Sunghan Ro's recitation from the 2024 iteration of this class.

## 2 Theory: additive noise

We will integrate the stochastic differential equation (SDE) for an overdamped Langevin equation of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + \sqrt{2D}\eta(t) . \quad (1)$$

Consider a timestep  $h$ . In going from time  $t$  to time  $t + h$ , the system explicitly evolves as

$$x(t + h) = x(t) + \int_0^h \dot{x}(t + s)ds = x(t) + \int_0^h f(x(t + s))ds + \sqrt{2D} \int_0^h \eta(t + s)ds . \quad (2)$$

We will derive, and then implement, two different approximations for this equation, which will be used for numerical integration. First, let's define the random variable

$$Z_1(h) \equiv \int_0^h \eta(t + s)ds . \quad (3)$$

Clearly it is a Gaussian random variable with zero mean. We can furthermore see that its variance is

$$\langle Z_1(h)^2 \rangle = \int_0^h ds_1 \int_0^h ds_2 \langle \eta(t + s_1)\eta(t + s_2) \rangle = \int_0^h ds_1 \int_0^h ds_2 \delta(s_1 - s_2) = h . \quad (4)$$

So, we can replace  $Z_1(h)$  with the random variable  $\sqrt{h}\xi$ , where  $\xi$  is a centered, unit-variance Gaussian random variable. We thus have

$$x(t+h) = x(t) + \int_0^h ds f(x(t+s)) + \sqrt{2Dh}\xi. \quad (5)$$

The force term remains to be simplified.

### 2.1 Lowest-order (Euler/Maruyama) scheme

First, let's find an integration scheme that is correct to order  $h$ . One option is to replace

$$f(x(s)) = f(x(t)) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{h}). \quad (6)$$

Then, we can see that the force integral is

$$\int_0^h ds f(x(t+s)) = hf(x(t)) + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}). \quad (7)$$

The result is the integration scheme

$$x(t+h) = x(t) + hf(x(t)) + \sqrt{2Dh}\xi. \quad (8)$$

This is known as the **Euler(/Maruyama)** integration scheme, and is the most common.

### 2.2 Higher-order (Mannella) scheme

What if we want to go to order  $h^2$ ? One such scheme was derived by Mannella in 2002. In this case, we should replace the force with

$$f(x(s)) = \underbrace{f(x(t))}_{\equiv f_t} + [x(s) - x(t)] \underbrace{f'(x(t))}_{\equiv f'_t} + \frac{1}{2}[x(s) - x(t)]^2 \underbrace{f''(x(t))}_{\equiv f''_t} + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}). \quad (9)$$

Integrating this gives

$$\int_0^h ds f(x(t+s)) \approx hf_t + f'_t \underbrace{\int_0^h ds [x(t+s) - x(t)]}_{\equiv \textcircled{1}} + \frac{1}{2}f''_t \underbrace{\int_0^h ds [x(t+s) - x(t)]^2}_{\equiv \textcircled{2}}. \quad (10)$$

We can calculate

$$\textcircled{1} = \int_0^h ds [x(t+s) - x(t)] = \int_0^h ds \int_0^s ds' [f(x(t+s')) + \sqrt{2D}\eta(t+s')] = f_t \frac{h^2}{2} + \sqrt{2D} \int_0^h ds Z_1(s) + \mathcal{O}(h^{5/2}). \quad (11)$$

We have substituted  $f(x(t+s')) \rightarrow f_t$  because we know that each integral contributes a factor of  $h^2$ , thus the only relevant term is  $f_t$ . For the other term, we have

$$\textcircled{2} = \int_0^h ds [x(t+s) - x(t)]^2 = \int_0^h ds \int_0^s ds_1 [f(x(t+s_1)) + \sqrt{2D}\eta(t+s_1)] \int_0^s ds_2 [f(x(t+s_2)) + \sqrt{2D}\eta(t+s_2)] \quad (12)$$

$$= \int_0^h ds \left[ 2 \int_0^s ds_1 f(x(t+s_1)) \sqrt{2D} Z_1(s) + Z_1(s)^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^{5/2}) \right]. \quad (13)$$

Recall that  $Z_1(s)$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{h})$  when  $s \sim \mathcal{O}(h)$ . Then, because again each integral contributes  $\sim \mathcal{O}(h)$ , the first term disappears and we find

$$\textcircled{2} = \int_0^h ds Z_1(s)^2 + \mathcal{O}(h^{5/2}). \quad (14)$$

All in all, we find

$$x(t+h) = x(t) + f_t h + \sqrt{2D} Z_1(h) + f'_t f_t \frac{h^2}{2} + f'_t \sqrt{2D} \int_0^h ds Z_1(s) + f''_t \int_0^h ds Z_1(s)^2, \quad (15)$$

where we recall that

$$Z_1(h) = \int_0^h \eta(t+s) ds \sim \sqrt{h}\xi. \quad (16)$$

### 2.3 Error: explicit computation

We will now explicitly calculate the error of our integration schemes for an overdamped harmonic oscillator with mobility  $\mu$ , spring constant  $k$ , and diffusivity  $\mu k_B T$ :

$$\dot{x}(t) = -\mu \tilde{k} x(t) + \sqrt{2\mu k_B T} \eta(t) \quad (17)$$

where  $\eta(t)$  is a standard Gaussian white noise, which satisfies

$$\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \eta(t) \eta(s) \rangle = \delta(t - s). \quad (18)$$

Define the scaled spring constant  $k \equiv \mu \tilde{k}$ , along with the diffusivity  $D = \mu k_B T$ . Then, we have the Langevin equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = -k x(t) + \sqrt{2D} \eta(t). \quad (19)$$

#### 2.3.1 Analytical solution

We can explicitly calculate the steady-state probability distribution for  $x$  using Itô's formula. We can write the dynamics of each moment

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle x(t)^n \rangle = n \langle x(t)^{n-1} \dot{x}(t) \rangle + n(n-1) D \langle x(t)^{n-2} \rangle \quad (20)$$

$$= -kn \langle x(t)^n \rangle + n(n-1) D \langle x(t)^{n-2} \rangle. \quad (21)$$

Using causality, we have replaced  $\langle x(t)^{n-1} \eta(t) \rangle = \langle x(t)^{n-1} \rangle \langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0$ . In the steady state, this then gives us the  $n$ th moment in terms of the  $(n-2)$ nd one:

$$\langle x^n \rangle = (n-1) \frac{D}{k} \langle x^{n-2} \rangle. \quad (22)$$

Clearly only the even moments  $n = 2m$  are nonzero. These are given by

$$\langle x^{2m} \rangle = (2m-1)(2m-3) \dots 1 \left( \frac{D}{k} \right)^m = (2m-1)!! \left( \frac{D}{k} \right)^m. \quad (23)$$

But these are the moments of the Gaussian distribution with variance  $D/k$ . Thus, because a probability distribution is uniquely characterized by its moments, the steady-state probability distribution for  $x$  is given by

$$P_{\text{th}}(x) = \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{k}{2D} x^2\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi D/k}} = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{D}{k}\right). \quad (24)$$

Luckily for us, in the harmonic oscillator model, we can explicitly calculate the steady-state probability distributions resulting from our (approximate) simulation schemes, and thus explicitly calculate their error.

#### 2.3.2 Euler/Maruyama scheme error

For the harmonic oscillator, the Euler scheme is defined by the recursion relation

$$x(t+h) = x(t) - hkx(t) + \sqrt{2Dh} \xi \quad (25)$$

where now  $\xi$  is a centered Gaussian random variable of unit variance.

We are interested in the probability distribution of  $x(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We can compute the following recursion relation for the mean:

$$\langle x(t+h) \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle (1 - hk) + \sqrt{2Dh} \langle \xi(t) \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle (1 - hk). \quad (26)$$

As long as  $h < 1/k$ , this converges to zero. Likewise, the variance satisfies the recursion relation

$$\langle x(t+h)^2 \rangle = \langle x(t)^2 \rangle (1 - hk)^2 + 2\sqrt{2Dh} (1 - hk) \langle x(t) \xi(t) \rangle + 2Dh \langle \xi(t)^2 \rangle = \langle x(t)^2 \rangle (1 - hk)^2 + 2Dh. \quad (27)$$

In the steady state, i.e. at long times, we find

$$\langle x(t \rightarrow \infty)^2 \rangle = \frac{2Dh}{1 - (1 - hk)^2} = \frac{D}{k} \frac{1}{1 - hk/2}. \quad (28)$$

Let's moreover suppose that the initial distribution for  $x$  is Gaussian. Then, because the recursion relation simply scales  $x$  then adds another Gaussian random variable to it, we see that the distribution remains a Gaussian. (It is also possible to prove that if it doesn't start as a Gaussian, it becomes one, using the Central Limit Theorem.) Thus, we can conclude the the steady-state probability distribution for the Euler scheme is

$$P_{\text{Euler}}(x) = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{D}{k} \frac{1}{1 - hk/2}\right). \quad (29)$$

The error is evidently  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ .

### 2.3.3 Mannella scheme error

For the harmonic oscillator, the Mannella scheme is defined by the recursion relation

$$x(t+h) = x(t) - hkx(t) + \frac{h^2 k^2}{2} x(t) + \sqrt{2D} Z_1(h) - k\sqrt{2D} \int_0^h ds Z_1(s) \quad (30)$$

$$\equiv x(t) \left[ 1 - hk + \frac{h^2 k^2}{2} \right] + \sqrt{2D} \zeta. \quad (31)$$

We have defined the Gaussian white noise  $\zeta$ . Clearly  $\zeta$  has mean zero. The variance is given by

$$\langle \zeta^2 \rangle = \left\langle \left[ Z_1(h) - k \int_0^h ds Z_1(s) \right]^2 \right\rangle \quad (32)$$

$$= h - 2k \int_0^h ds_2 \underbrace{\int_0^h ds_1 \int_0^{s_2} ds'_2 \langle \eta(t+s_1) \eta(t+s'_2) \rangle}_{=s_2} + k^2 \int_0^h ds_1 \int_0^h ds_2 \underbrace{\int_0^{s_1} ds'_1 \int_0^{s_2} ds'_2 \langle \eta(t+s'_1) \eta(t+s'_2) \rangle}_{=\min(s_1, s_2)} \quad (33)$$

$$= h - kh^2 + k^2 \int_0^h ds_1 \left( \int_0^{s_1} ds_2 s_2 + \int_{s_1}^h s_1 \right) \quad (34)$$

$$= h - kh^2 + \int_0^h ds_1 \left( hs_1 - \frac{s_1^2}{2} \right) \quad (35)$$

$$= h \left[ 1 - hk + \frac{h^2 k^2}{3} \right]. \quad (36)$$

Thus, the noise is equivalent to a single Gaussian random variable

$$\sqrt{2D} Z_1(h) - k\sqrt{2D} \int_0^h ds Z_1(s) \sim \sqrt{2Dh \left[ 1 - hk + \frac{h^2 k^2}{3} \right]} \xi \quad (37)$$

where  $\xi$  is now a centered Gaussian random variable of unit variance. Thus, our integration scheme is equivalent to

$$x(t+h) = x(t) - hkx(t) + \frac{h^2 k^2}{2} x(t) + \sqrt{2Dh \left[ 1 - hk + \frac{h^2 k^2}{3} \right]} \xi. \quad (38)$$

Again, for small enough  $h$ , the mean of  $x$  decays to zero. The variance now satisfies the recursion relation

$$\langle x(t+h)^2 \rangle = \langle x(t)^2 \rangle \left[ 1 - hk + \frac{h^2 k^2}{2} \right]^2 + 2Dh \left[ 1 - hk + \frac{h^2 k^2}{3} \right] \quad (39)$$

so that in steady state

$$\langle x(t \rightarrow \infty)^2 \rangle = \frac{2Dh[1 - hk + h^2 k^2/3]}{1 - (1 - hk + h^2 k^2/2)^2} = \frac{D}{k} \frac{1 - hk + h^2 k^2/3}{[1 - hk/2][1 - hk/2 + h^2 k^2/4]} = \frac{D}{k} \left[ 1 - \frac{h^2 k^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(h^3) \right]. \quad (40)$$

Again, the steady-state probability distribution is a Gaussian

$$P_{\text{Mannella}}(x) = \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{D}{k} \frac{1 - hk + h^2 k^2/3}{[1 - hk/2][1 - hk/2 + h^2 k^2/4]}\right) \quad (41)$$

but now the error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ .

### 3 Theory: multiplicative noise

What if the strength of the noise in our Langevin equation is spatially-varying? This is called **multiplicative noise**. In 1 dimension, the most general such system is described by the Langevin dynamics

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t)) + g(x(t))\eta(t) . \quad (42)$$

However, Eq. (42) is ill-defined without the specification of a discretization. For instance, the average velocity of a particle  $\langle \dot{x}(t) \rangle$  is given by

$$\langle \dot{x}(t) \rangle = \langle f(x(t)) \rangle + \langle g(x(t))\eta(t) \rangle . \quad (43)$$

If we are using the Itô convention, then

$$\langle x(t)\eta(t) \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle \langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0 \implies \langle g(x(t))\eta(t) \rangle = \langle g(x(t)) \rangle \langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0 . \quad (44)$$

However, if we are using the Stratonovich convention,

$$\langle x(t)\eta(t) \rangle \neq \langle x(t) \rangle \langle \eta(t) \rangle \implies \langle g(x(t))\eta(t) \rangle \neq \langle g(x(t)) \rangle \langle \eta(t) \rangle . \quad (45)$$

Thus even tangible physical quantities such as the particle velocity are sensitive to the choice of discretization.

To remedy the ambiguity of Eq. (42), we will explicitly discretize it. Construct a lattice of times  $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$  such that  $t_{k+1} - t_k = \Delta t$ , and define  $x_k \equiv x(t_k)$  and  $\Delta x_k \equiv x_{k+1} - x_k$ . Let  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  be a number that specifies the discretization convention<sup>1</sup>, and define  $x_k^\alpha \equiv x_k + \alpha \Delta x_k$ . Then, we write

$$\Delta x_k = \Delta t f(x_k^\alpha) + \sqrt{\Delta t} g(x_k^\alpha) \eta_k , \quad (46)$$

where  $\eta_k$  is a unit-variance centered Gaussian random variable. Now, Eq. (42) is understood as the  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  limit of Eq. (46). We should always keep in mind that different  $\alpha$  lead to different physics.

Now, we will figure out how to build a numerical integrator for this system that is accurate to  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  for some *finite* timestep  $h$ .

#### 3.1 Euler integration scheme

As before, we will build an “Euler” integration scheme that is accurate to  $\mathcal{O}(h)$ , where  $h$  is the timestep used in the numerical simulation. We will thus consider a lattice of times that starts at  $t_0 = t$  and ends at  $t_N = t + h$ , so that  $\Delta t = h/N$ . We will take the fine-lattice limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Note, then, that we must have

$$\Delta t \ll h \ll \text{all other timescales} . \quad (47)$$

We would like to know  $x(t + h)$ . It is exactly given by the  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  limit of

$$x(t + h) - x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta x_k = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \Delta t f(x_k^\alpha) + \sqrt{\Delta t} g(x_k^\alpha) \eta_k \right] . \quad (48)$$

As before, we expand  $f$  and  $g$  in powers of  $h$  around  $x(t) = x_0$ :

$$x(t + h) - x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \Delta t \left[ f(x_0) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{h}) \right] + \sqrt{\Delta t} \left[ g(x_0) + (x_k^\alpha - x_0) g'(x_0) + \mathcal{O}(h) \right] \eta_k \right\} . \quad (49)$$

This is because, as before, increments in  $x$  for timesteps of order  $h$  are of order  $\sqrt{h}$ . Note that  $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \Delta t = h$ . Also,

$$\left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_k \right\rangle = 0 , \quad \left\langle \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_k \right)^2 \right\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \Delta t \delta_{k\ell} = N \Delta t = h \quad (50)$$

so that we can define

$$\eta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_k , \quad \langle \eta \rangle = 0 , \quad \langle \eta^2 \rangle = 1 . \quad (51)$$

<sup>1</sup>The Itô convention is given by  $\alpha = 0$  and the Stratonovich convention is given by  $\alpha = 1/2$ .  $\alpha = 1$  is sometimes called the “Hänggi” or “anti-Itô” convention.

Then, we have

$$x(t+h) - x(t) = hf(x(t)) + \sqrt{h}g(x(t))\eta + g'(x(t)) \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (x_k^\alpha - x_0) \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_k}_{\equiv (*)} . \quad (52)$$

We only have to simplify the term  $(*)$ . Expanding  $x_k$  and  $\Delta x_k$  gives

$$(*) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \left( \Delta t f(x_\ell^\alpha) + \sqrt{\Delta t} g(x_\ell^\alpha) \eta_\ell \right) + \alpha \left( \Delta t f(x_k^\alpha) + \sqrt{\Delta t} g(x_k^\alpha) \eta_k \right) \right] \sqrt{\Delta t} \eta_k \quad (53)$$

$$= \Delta t \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} g(x_\ell^\alpha) \eta_\ell + \alpha g(x_k^\alpha) \eta_k \right] \eta_k + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}) \quad (54)$$

$$= \Delta t g(x(t)) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left[ \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} \eta_\ell + \alpha \eta_k \right] \eta_k + \mathcal{O}(h^{3/2}) . \quad (55)$$

Because  $\langle \eta_\ell \eta_k \rangle = 0$  for all  $\ell \neq k$ ,  $(*)$  has mean

$$\langle (*) \rangle = \alpha \Delta t g(x(t)) . \quad (56)$$

In principle, it also has some fluctuations. However, it can be shown that these are subleading in the  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  limit. One proves this rigorously by showing that the  $L^2$  difference between  $(*)$  and its mean to converge to 0 as  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ .<sup>2</sup> Thus, we have our  $\mathcal{O}(h)$  discretization scheme

$$x(t+h) = hf(x(t)) + h\alpha g'(x(t))g(x(t)) + \sqrt{h}g(x(t))\eta , \quad \langle \eta \rangle = 0 , \quad \langle \eta^2 \rangle = 0 . \quad (57)$$

In the numerical section, you will simulate a Brownian particle in the absence of any forces, i.e.  $f(x(t)) = 0$ , but with spatially-varying temperature. Letting  $\mu = k_B = 1$ , the Langevin dynamics are

$$\dot{x}(t) \stackrel{\alpha}{=} \sqrt{2T(x(t))} \eta(t) \quad (58)$$

where  $\stackrel{\alpha}{=}$  indicates the discretization specification of  $\alpha$ . You will show that different  $\alpha$  indeed leads to different density distributions, and thus the discretization “convention” is actually physically relevant.

## 4 Numerics

### 4.1 Additive noise & $h$ convergence

In the file `Rec1-2.Langevin.Harmonic.jl`, you’ll find partial code to simulate the harmonic oscillator. It takes one argument, “1” or “2”, indicating the Euler/Maruyama and Mannella algorithms respectively. You will fill in the algorithms inside the `runHarmonicOscillator` function. For simplicity, we take  $k = D = 1$ . See the code comments for more information about what it does.

One important thing: to generate a unit-variance, zero-mean Gaussian random number using the random number generator `rng`, you can call `randn(rng)`.

### 4.2 Multiplicative noise: $T(x)$

In the file `Rec2.Brownian.Tofx.jl`, you will simulate a Brownian particle in a spatially-varying temperature, and plot the density distribution alongside the theoretical prediction.

<sup>2</sup>see Sec. 2.1.3 and the beginning of Sec. 2.2 of de Pirey et al. 2022 ([arXiv:2211.09470](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.09470)) for a more in-depth explanation.