

STACK TO THE FUTURE

MIT/Harvard Babytop Seminar, Spring 2025

Tuesday, March 04th, 2025

LiveT_EX by Howard Beck

ABSTRACT. **Speaker:** Tyler Lane (Harvard)

As the title suggests, this talk is all about the moduli stack of formal groups. I'll begin by presenting the moduli stack of formal groups as a quotient stack. Then I'll discuss the height filtration and some of its basic properties. One of my main goals is to present some theorems about quasi-coherent sheaves on the stack of formal group which will serve as inspiration for some corresponding results in chromatic homotopy theory. Finally I will discuss the Landweber exact functor theorem.

Disclaimer: Do not take these notes too seriously, sometimes half-truths are told in exchange for better exposition, and there may be errors in my liveT_EXing

1. FORMAL GROUP LAWS

REMINDER 1.1. Last time, we saw that the functor:

$$\mathrm{Fgl} : \mathrm{Aff} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$$

$$R \mapsto \{\text{formal group laws over } R\}$$

is representable by the Lazard ring. ◀

QUESTION 1.2. Consider the category fibered in groupoids $\mathrm{FGL} \rightarrow \mathrm{Aff}$ whose objects are pairs (S, F) (ring, formal group law over S) and whose morphisms $(S, F) \rightarrow (S', F')$ are pairs (f, ϕ) where $S' \xrightarrow{f} S$ is a ring map and $\phi : F \rightarrow f^*F'$ is an isomorphism of formal group laws. Is this a stack? ◀

ANSWER 1.3. No, descent fails. We will see two fixes ◀

CONSTRUCTION 1.4. Let $F \in R[[x]]$ be a formal group law. We define a functor:

$$S_F : \mathrm{Aff}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Ab}$$

$$S \mapsto \mathrm{Nil}(S)$$

where the group law on $\mathrm{Nil}(S)$ is given by $x + y = F(x, y)$. ◀

NOTE 1.5. This is an fpqc sheaf. ◀

DEFINITION 1.6. We say that a sheaf of abelian groups \mathcal{G} on $(\mathrm{Aff}_R)_{\mathrm{fpqc}}$ is a **formal group law** if $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}_F$ for some formal group law $F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]]$.

We say that \mathcal{G} is a **formal group** if it is fpqc-locally isomorphic to a formal group law, and we say that a formal group \mathcal{G} is **coordinatizable** if $\exists F$ such that $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}_F$. ◀

REMARK 1.7. This all works if you replace fpqc with the Zariski topology (Lurie does this). An fpqc-sheaf is a Zariski sheaf. Being Zariski-locally a formal group law is a priori stronger, but we will see that these are equivalent. ◀

REMARK 1.8. There are a lot of definitions of formal groups. This definition will be exactly what we need to turn the category from (Question 1.2) into a sheaf. ◀

DEFINITION 1.9 (Fix 1). The **moduli stack of formal groups** $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{fg}}$ is the category fibered in groupoids, whose objects are pairs (S, \mathcal{G}) (ring, formal group) and whose morphisms “are isomorphisms.” ◀

REMARK 1.10. This will be the stackification of the category from (Question 1.2) from earlier. ◀

DEFINITION 1.11 (Fix 2). $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s$ is the category fibered in groupoids whose objects are formal group laws and whose morphisms are strict isomorphisms. \triangleleft

REMARK 1.12. The stackification of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s$ is *not* \mathcal{M}_{fg} , these are two different stacks. \triangleleft

THEOREM 1.13. \mathcal{M}_{fg} and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s$ are stacks in the fpqc topology. The natural map $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}$ is a \mathbb{G}_m -bundle. \mathcal{M}_{fg} and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s$ are both quotient stacks.

REMARK 1.14. There are not algebraic stacks, we are quotienting out by a group scheme that is not locally in finite presentation. \triangleleft

Let G^+ be the group scheme whose group of R -points is

$$\{g \in R[[t]] \mid g(t) = b_0 t + b_1 t^2 + \dots, b_0 \in R^\times\}$$

and:

$$(f \cdot g)(t) = f(g(t))$$

Then, G^+ acts on $\text{Spec } L$

$$(g \in G(R), f \in R[[y]]) \mapsto (g(f(g^{-1}(x), g^{-1}(y))))$$

We can view \mathbb{G}_m as the subgroup of G^+ consisting of those power series such that $b_i = 0$ for $i > 0$.

Let G be the subgroup of those power series such that $b_0 = 1$.

NOTE 1.15. G^+ is the semidirect product of \mathbb{G}_m , G . \triangleleft

THEOREM 1.16. $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \simeq [\text{FGL}/G^+]$

PROOF. We can construct a map $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \rightarrow [\text{FGL}/G^+]$. Let S/R be a formal group. We can define an fpqc sheaf:

$$\text{Coord}_{\mathcal{G}}(S) = \{F \in \text{FGL}(S) : S_F \simeq S\}$$

G^+ acts on $\text{Coord}_{\mathcal{G}}$ making the inclusion map FGL-equivariant. This section makes $\text{Coord}_{\mathcal{G}}$ into a G^1 -torsor in the fpqc topology, so it is a scheme.

Now, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Coord}_{\mathcal{G}} & \longrightarrow & \text{FGL} \\ \downarrow & & \\ \text{Spec } R & & \end{array}$$

We want a morphism in the other direction: $[\text{FGL}/G^+] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}$. Let P/R be a G^+ -torsor with an equivariant map to FGL (i.e., a point of $[\text{FGL}/G^+](R)$).

Let $f : U \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R) := S$ be an fpqc morphism trivializing P . Then, the fiber $P(U, f)$ of $P(U) \rightarrow R$ over f is a free $G^+(U)$ -set.

We now get:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(U, f) & \longrightarrow & \text{FGL}(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \frac{P(U, f)}{G^+(U)} = * & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_G(U) = \{\text{set of formal groups}\} \end{array}$$

The right arrow kills the G^+ -action, so it factors through the bottom-left. This specifies a formal group G_f over U , so G_f is a formal group law. The fiber of the right arrow over G_f is the free $G^1(U)$ -set $\text{Coord}_{G_f}(U)$.

The top arrow defines a $G^+(U)$ -equivariant morphism $P(U, f) \rightarrow \text{Coord}_{G_f}(U)$. They both have transitive G -actions, so it is an isomorphism.

We can use descent, glue results together, and get the desired results. \square

NOTE 1.17. Does anyone want to see descent?

(No answer)

Exactly. \triangleleft

THEOREM 1.18. $[\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s] = [\text{FGL}/G]$

DEFINITION 1.19. Let \mathcal{G} be a formal group law over R . The Lie algebra of \mathcal{G} is:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \ker(\mathcal{G}(R[t]/t^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(R))$$

- (1) \mathfrak{g} is an R -module. Let $\lambda \in R$. λ acts on $R[t]/t^2$ by $t \mapsto \lambda t$. This gives the action on \mathfrak{g} .
- (2) Suppose $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_F$. $\mathcal{G}(R[t]/t^2) = \{\lambda t : \lambda \in R\}$. So when $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}_F$, $\mathfrak{g} \simeq R$. That is to say, \mathfrak{g} is an invertible R -module which is trivial if \mathcal{G} is coordinatizable.

◀

REMARK 1.20. There may be other nilpotents in R , but taking the kernel gives only those that are multiples of t .

◀

THEOREM 1.21. A formal group is coordinatizable if and only if its Lie algebra is trivial.

Sending a formal group to its Lie algebra defines an invertible sheaf ω^{-1} on \mathcal{M}_{fg} . It is the inverse of a line bundle.

NOTE 1.22. $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}$ is the \mathbb{G}_m -bundle associated to the line bundle ω . A point in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s$ is a formal group law which has a trivialization of the Lie algebra. The isomorphisms preserve the trivializations.

◀

REMARK 1.23. This is why it doesn't matter if we use the Zariski topology. This happens for line bundles.

◀

2. HEIGHT

THEOREM 2.1. Let R be a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. Any formal group laws over R are isomorphic (namely, to the additive one). In fact, $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \otimes \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{B}\mathbb{G}_m$.

The multiplicative formal group law $x + y + xy$ is isomorphic, over \mathbb{Q} , to the additive formal group law via the power series:

$$g(t) = t + \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^3}{6} + \dots$$

This isomorphism doesn't work in positive characteristic.

Motivation: We want an invariant to tell formal group laws in positive characteristic apart. One such invariant is **height**.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]]$ be a formal group law. For each $n \geq 0$, define its n -series $[n](t) \in R[[t]]$ as:

$$[n](t) = \begin{cases} 0 & n = 0 \\ F([n-1]t, t) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words, F -add t to itself n times.

$$[n] = t +_F t +_F t +_F \dots +_F t$$

◀

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $R \in \text{CRing}$ in which $p = 0$, and F be a formal group law over R . Either $[p](t) = 0$, or:

$$[p](t) = \lambda t^{p^n} + O(t^{p^n+1})$$

for some $\lambda \neq 0$ and for some n .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $R \in \text{CRing}$, and fix a prime p , and F be a formal group law over R . Let v_n denote the coefficient of t^{p^n} in $[p](t)$. We say F has height n if $v_n \neq 0 \pmod p$ and $v_i = 0 \pmod p$ for all $i < n$.

◀

EXAMPLE 2.5. Here are two examples:

- (1) $F(x, y) = x + y + xy$ has height 1. In this case, $[p](t) = (1 + t)^p - 1 = t^p \pmod p$.
- (2) $F(x, y) = x + y$, then $[p](t) = 0$ when $p = 0$. The height is ∞ .

◀

We will now look at the height filtration. We can extend the notion of height to arbitrary formal groups by saying:

$$\text{height}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{height}(\mathcal{G}_F)$$

where $\mathcal{G}|_S = \mathcal{G}_F$ where $S \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is a trivializing fpqc cover.

Fix a prime p . For every n , let $v_n \in L$ be the coefficient of t^{p^n} in the p -series of the universal formal group law. over the Lazard ring. For each n , let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^{\geq n} = [\text{Spec}(L/(p, v_1, \dots, v_n))/G^+]$ be the moduli stack of formal group laws over height $\geq n$. We should check this is a G^+ -invariant closed subscheme of $\text{Spec } L$. The v_n s in another ring R are pulled back from the universal ones.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \geq \mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^{\geq 1} \geq \mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^{\geq 2} \geq \dots$$

THEOREM 2.6 (Thick Subcategory Theorem). *We say a full abelian subcategory $\mathcal{C} \subset \text{QCoh}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \otimes \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is **thick** if $A \oplus B \in \mathcal{C}$ implies $A \in \mathcal{C}$ or $B \in \mathcal{C}$. The thick subcategories of $\text{QCoh}(\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ are*

- (1) *itself*
- (2) $\mathcal{C}_n = \{F : \text{supp}(F) \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^{\geq n}\}$
- (3) *It is the trivial subcategory (0).*

There is a famous analog of this in homotopy theory.

Let X be a spectrum. There is a spectrum BP such that:

- (1) p -localized MU , $\text{MU}_{(p)}$ is the sum of copies of BP .
- (2) $\text{Spec}(\text{BP}_*)$ is the moduli space of p -typical formal group laws.
- (3) $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}}^s \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the satck associated to the flat Hopf algebroid $(\text{BP}_*, \text{BP}_* \text{BP})$.

By $(-)_*$ we mean $\pi_*(-)$. p -localized means Bousfield localization at the Moore specturm $S\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

For any spectrum, $X \rightarrow \text{BP}_{\text{even}}(X)$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf on $\mathcal{M}_{\text{fg}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.

We can look at the full subcategory of finite spectra such that the associated sheaf lives in \mathcal{C}_n .

THEOREM 2.7. *These are all the thick triangulated subcategories of Sp^ω .*