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Abstract— Nowadays, power quality problems are affecting people's daily life and production activities. With the aim to improve disturbance detection accuracy, a novel analysis approach based on multiple impact factors is proposed in this paper. First, a multiple impact factors analysis is implemented in which two perspectives, i.e., the wavelet analysis and disturbance features are considered simultaneously. Five key factors, including wavelet function, wavelet decomposition level, redundant algorithm, event type and disturbance intensity, start and end moment of disturbance, have been considered. Next, an impact factors based accuracy analysis algorithm is proposed, through which each factor's potential impact on disturbance location accuracy is investigated. Three transforms, i.e., the classic wavelet, lifting wavelet and redundant lifting wavelet are employed, and their superiority on disturbance location accuracy is investigated. Finally, simulations are conducted for verification. Through the proposed method, the wavelet based parameters can be validly selected in order to detect power quality disturbance accurately.

Index Terms— Power quality, disturbance location accuracy, wavelet analysis, impact factors.

NOMENCLATURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Disturbance intensity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{end}$</td>
<td>End moment of disturbance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_j$</td>
<td>Approximation coefficients at level $j$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_j$</td>
<td>Detailed coefficients at level $j$.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$j$</td>
<td>Wavelet decomposition level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$</td>
<td>Vanishing moment order.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{start}$</td>
<td>Start moment of disturbance.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, accompanied with the development of energy Internet [1], the trend of utilizing renewable energy has been increasing. Within the scope of energy Internet, the access of large-scale renewable energy resources would pose greater challenges to the governance of PQ [2]. In order to guarantee a stable voltage supply and to avoid economic loss, PQ is of great significance to the end users [3]-[5]. Disturbance, as one of the typical transient PQ problems, must be precisely detected for voltage regulation [6], [7]. Since disturbance would lead to short-term voltage deviation, effective recognition of signal’s local discontinuous points is the key to disturbance detection.

To realize signal singularity detection, the wavelet analysis is widely adopted [8], [9]. The wavelet modulus maxima method, which is the key to detect signal’s discontinuous points, has been applied to PQ disturbance detection [10]. However, given the fact that the classical wavelet is usually constructed in the frequency domain, and the transform depends on convolution that would increase computational complexity, significant advances on LWT have been made; see, e.g., [11], [12]. LWT can be realized in the time domain, so as to save computational cost, and its application for PQ disturbance identification has been paid close attention. In addition, other methods such as the empirical wavelet transform [13]-[15] and the complex wavelet [16] have been developed for PQ disturbance detection. By applying DWT or LWT to PQ issues, research on disturbance detection and classification has been extensively studied. In [17], to detect islanding and PQ disturbances, the db4 wavelet (see Appendix A) is adopted for decomposition, with corresponding results compared with that of the S-transform. In [18], wavelet packet combined with Tsallis singular entropy is utilized to detect five transient disturbance signals, and the db10 wavelet (see Appendix A) is employed through experiments. In order to identify PQ events accurately, ten optimal wavelets are selected for each machine learning algorithm from a total 110 base wavelets by proposing a direct and indirect approach [19]. In [20], to detect disturbance’s start and end moment, wavelet decomposition at different levels is implemented to obtain detailed coefficients. In [21] and [22], the wavelet function and decomposition level are selected, such
that the feature extraction of disturbance has been realized. For PQ events detection, in [23], the db4 wavelet has been found to be better than db8 and fractionally designed, and the decomposition is employed up to fifth level. Aiming at conducting real-time recognition of PQ disturbances, the db4 wavelet and a six-level multiresolution analysis are selected for the signal processing module in [24], and the maximal overlap wavelet packet transform is presented in [25] with its performance evaluated with various wavelets. In [26], the generalized morphological open-closing and close-opening undecimated wavelet is put forward, together with three levels decomposition applied, in which sense the PQ disturbances identification is implemented.

Reliable PQ disturbance detection is of great importance to stable power supply and industry, and the research of wavelet analysis on PQ disturbance detection has been widely studied. However, most of the research focuses on feature extraction and classification of PQ disturbance based on wavelet’s multi-resolution analysis [17]-[26], and it is notable that the research on location accuracy of disturbance’s start and end moment, which mainly bases on the singularity detection performance of wavelet and is one of the key issues in the field of voltage control, has not been fully investigated. The motivation of this paper is to locate disturbance’s start and end moment more accurately in order to realize better power problem solving. The difficulty of achieving the aim lies on figuring out the reasons for location error occurring and explore an effective approach to improve disturbance location accuracy. Inspired by previous work, e.g., [20]-[22] in which parameter selection issues related to wavelet method or disturbance features are discussed to detect PQ disturbances and the analyzing methods presented in [27]-[29], a novel multiple impact factors based approach for accurate PQ disturbance location is proposed in this paper. First, a multiple impact factors analysis is conducted, in which the selection of factors that may influence location accuracy is investigated. Then, an impact factors based accuracy analysis algorithm is proposed, so as to investigate each factor’s impact on disturbance location accuracy. Finally, simulations are implemented for validation.

The importance and main contributions of this paper can be highlighted as follows:
1. A novel multiple impact factors based approach for accurate PQ disturbance location is proposed. Two perspectives including the wavelet analysis and PQ disturbance features are considered simultaneously.
2. Five key factors are carefully considered, and each factor's potential impact on PQ disturbance location accuracy is investigated, separately. In this sense, the aim of optimal parameters selection in this paper is to achieve more accurate and flexible disturbance location, which is different from previous literatures (e.g., [17]-[22]) where parameters selection is investigated for feature extraction or classification.
3. Through the proposed impact factors based accuracy analysis algorithm, guidance on optimal selection of multiple parameters can be provided for disturbance location analysis and its hardware implementation, in which sense better disturbance detection can be realized compared to the method of single parameter optimal selection, e.g., [26], [29], [30].

II. PRELIMINARY
To clearly illustrate the proposed multiple impact factors approach, related wavelet theory is introduced in this section.

A. Wavelet Modulus Maxima Based Disturbance Detection
The location of PQ disturbance’s start/end moment is indeed the singularity detection problem, which can be solved by the wavelet modulus maxima method [31]. Such method can be illustrated as follows. For a low-pass smooth function \(\theta(x)\) that satisfies \(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(t)dt = 1\) and \(\lim_{t \to \infty} \theta(t) = 0\), its first derivative which is denoted as \(\theta^1(x)\) is a wavelet. For any \(j\), let \(\theta_j(x) = (1/j) \theta(x/j)\). Then, the wavelet transform of a real function \(f(x)\) and \(\theta^1_j(x)\) is

\[
W^1 f (j, x) = f \ast (j d\theta^1_j / dx)(x) = j d \left( f \ast \theta_j^1(x) / dx \right).
\]

In this sense, the wavelet transform \(W^1 f (j, x)\) is the first derivative of \(f(x)\) smoothed by \(\theta_j^1(x)\). The local maxima of \(|W^1 f (j, x)|\) corresponds to the sharp variation points of \(f(x)\). Hence, the wavelet modulus maxima method can be used for signal’s singularity detection. Based on this, two aspects are considered for PQ disturbance detection in this paper:

i. Location accuracy of disturbance’s start and end moment. Higher disturbance location accuracy would be achieved when the disturbance location result is closer to the actual situation.
ii. The AMM of the located moment. It is more flexible to locate disturbance when the AMM is higher.

B. Wavelet Analysis
In order to provide basis for the multiple impact factors analysis in Section III, basic theory of wavelet analysis is given as follows.

A function \(\psi(x)\) within the square integral space \(L^2(R)\) satisfying the admissibility condition

\[
C_\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |w|^{-1} |\hat{\psi}(w)|^2 dw < \infty
\]

is called mother wavelet [32].

With HPF and LPF corresponding to the wavelet function, DWT decomposition can be illustrated in Fig. 1. For a giving \(j\), the signal can be decomposed level by level until the \(j\)-th level, such that \(a_j\) and \(d_j\) can be obtained [33].

![Fig. 1. Discrete wavelet decomposition.](image-url)
For optimal wavelet function determination, the Daubechies wavelets have been widely employed for many situations, e.g., db2 and db8 for sag detection in [34]. In [35], Symlets and Daubechies wavelets are regarded as optimal ones since they have the highest total wavelet energy. For optimal wavelet decomposition level determination, \( j \) has been chosen as 9; see, e.g., [36] for the purpose of feature extraction. For PQ index calculation, a 13-level decomposition has been utilized in [37]. Although DWT and LWT have been widely employed to have the highest total wavelet energy. For optimal wavelet translation invariance. The reason is provided as follows.

For DWT, the decomposition is performed as follows [38],
\[
\begin{align*}
    a_j(k) &= \sum_n h_0(n - 2k)a_{j-1}(k), \\
    d_j(k) &= \sum_n h_1(n - 2k)a_{j-1}(k),
\end{align*}
\]
where \( h_0(n) \) and \( h_1(n) \) represent for HPF and LPF.

For LWT, the decomposition consists of three steps [39]:

a) Split. Signal \( x(k) \) is divided into even samples \( x_e(k) = x(2k) \) and odd samples \( x_o(k) = x(2k+1) \).

b) Predict. \( x_o(k) \) are predicted by \( x_e(k) \) and predict operator \( P \), with the prediction error \( d_j \) defined as
\[
d_j(k) = x_o(k) - P \cdot x_e(k).
\]

c) Update. In order to obtain \( a_j \), \( d_j \) is updated by update operator \( U \), and then is employed to replace \( x_o(k) \).
\[
a_j(k) = x_o(k) + U \cdot d_j(k).
\]

Due to the down-sampling stage in DWT decomposition and the split step in LWT decomposition, both transforms are lack of translation invariance. The sample size is reduced when the decomposition is performed level by level. To handle this problem, the a trous algorithm [29] is introduced:
\[
f_j = \begin{cases} f(n), & \text{if } n/2^l \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases}
\]
where \( Z \) stands for the set of integers. In (6), \( f_j \) can be substituted by \( h_1(n) \) and \( h_0(n) \) for DWT. Alternatively, \( f_j \) can be substituted by \( P \) and \( U \) for LWT. The redundant algorithm is also widely adopted with the aim to avoid sample size reduction and information loss; see, e.g., [39].

C. PQ Disturbances

To realize disturbance classification, the disturbance features are of great significance. Based on the fact that each disturbance has unique features, novel approaches have been reported to realize disturbances classification [40], [41] and complex disturbances detection [35]. In order to provide models for the disturbance-based impact factor analysis in Section III, common PQ disturbances are modeled as follows according to IEEE-1159 Standard [42],
\[
S(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(2\pi f_c t), & t \in (0, t_{\text{start}}) \cup (t_{\text{end}}, \infty), \\ A \cos(2\pi f_c t), & t \in [t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}],
\end{cases}
\]
where \( t \) is time, \( t_{\text{end}} - t_{\text{start}} \in [0.5, 30] \) (unit cycle omitted) is disturbance duration. \( f_c \) is power frequency. Let us define the magnitude of a voltage variation as \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha = |1 - A| \). For interruption, \( A \in [0, 0.1] \). For sag, \( A \in [0.1, 0.9] \). For swell, \( A \in [1.1, 1.8] \). Three typical disturbances are shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Disturbance generation: swell, sag and interruption.](image)

III. PROPOSED MULTIPLE IMPACT FACTORS ANALYSIS

Based on previous works, in order to realize more accurate disturbance location, five impact factors are selected in this paper, and each factor’s accuracy impact analysis is explored.

A. Wavelet Function

Through (3), (4) and (5), it can be seen that as \( h_0/h_1 \) or \( P/U \) varies, wavelets with different properties would be employed for DWT or LWT decomposition, which may lead to distinct analysis results. Unlike wavelet selection for feature extraction [34], [35], this paper explores wavelet function’s impact on disturbance location accuracy, due to which optimal wavelet function can be effectively selected. For detailed information of wavelets’ properties, readers can refer to Appendix A. For notation simplicity, let us denote compact support by CS, support width by SW, vanishing moments by VM. Common wavelets with distinct properties are given in Table I.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>db</th>
<th>coif</th>
<th>Sym</th>
<th>morl</th>
<th>mexh</th>
<th>meyr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonality</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>2N - 1</td>
<td>3N - 1</td>
<td>2N - 1</td>
<td>Finite</td>
<td>Finite</td>
<td>Finite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the wavelet properties, orthogonality corresponds to tight frame which is useful for signal’s energy preservation in the DWT domain, and biorthogonality corresponds to linear phase that can be used to avoid signal distortion. For disturbance detection accuracy that is related with the waveform of a wavelet, these two properties have little impact on disturbance location accuracy, since they have no relation with waveform. Wavelet that has CS, short SW and the ability to perform DWT domain, and biorthogonality corresponds to linear phase invariance.
In this sense, to prevent signal from being over smoothed and to save computation cost, the wavelet with medium sized \(N\) is more suitable for PQ disturbance detection.

### B. Decomposition Level

Different from the selection of \(j\) for feature extraction [36] or disturbance classification [37], the impact of \(j\) on disturbance location accuracy is explored in this paper, in that sense the optimal \(j\) leading to highest accuracy can be determined.

When decomposition level increases, two scenarios shall appear. First, only \(a_j\) is adopted for further decomposition and \(d_j\) is left behind, frequency of \(a_{j+1}\) and \(d_{j+1}\) becomes lower. Second, for classic wavelet, the time window width of wavelet becomes larger. For CWT, through translation and dilation of a mother wavelet \(\psi(t)\) whose window width is \(\Delta \psi\), \(\psi_{a,b}(t)\)'s time window width is \(a \Delta \psi\). For DWT, we have \(a = 2^j, b = 2^k\), and the time window width of \(\psi_{j,k}(t)\) is \(2^j \Delta \psi\). Hence, when \(a\) or \(j\) increases, \(a \Delta \psi\) or \(2^j \Delta \psi\) increases as well.

Since PQ disturbance can be viewed as a high frequency signal, it can be better represented in high frequency band rather than in the low frequency band. In addition, the wider time window makes the wavelet’s focusing capability in time domain weaker, due to which the detection accuracy of sharp variation points in signal is reduced. Hence, it can be deduced that lower decomposition level can help better capture transient components so as to improve disturbance location accuracy.

### C. Redundant Algorithm

Compared to RLWT, DWT and LWT have less redundancy but are lack of translation invariance due to the down-sampling stage as shown in Fig. 1 and the split step. Unlike the redundant algorithm employed to avoid sample size reduction, this paper focuses on the redundant algorithm’s solvability of translation invariance and its impact on disturbance location accuracy. In this sense, the algorithm that results in higher location accuracy can be selected accordingly. For a signal \(x(k)\) that moves \(m\) samples, \(x'(k)\) would be obtained as \(x(k - m)\). It can be deduced that if \(m\) is an odd number, after the down-sampling stage in DWT or the split step in LWT, the samples of \(x'(k)\) utilized for \(h_0/h_1\) or \(P/U\) would be distinct to that of \(x(k)\), causing the located \(t_{\text{start}}\) and \(t_{\text{end}}\) to vary, such that the disturbance location accuracy is reduced. Some information, especially the one related to \(t_{\text{start}}\) and \(t_{\text{end}}\), may be missed when the sample size decreases. Hence, RLWT is of superior robustness and can help store more information, through which the disturbance location accuracy can be improved.

### D. Disturbance-based Impact Factor Investigation

Since disturbance features’ impact on location accuracy has not been fully investigated in existing works [40], [41], in this paper, three disturbance features, i.e., event type, disturbance intensity, \(t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}\) are selected as impact factors, and their potential impact on disturbance location accuracy are explored.

When disturbance \(S(t)\) occurs, through the convolution operation denoted as \(*\), the wavelet transform of \(S(t)\) and wavelet function \(\psi(t)\), i.e., \(W^1S(j, t)\) can be expressed as

\[
W^1S(j, t) = j \partial((1 + \alpha) \cos(2\pi f_c t) * \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(t) \, dt) / \partial t, \tag{8}
\]

where \(t \in [t_{\text{start}}, t_{\text{end}}]\). Through (8) it can be seen that the disturbance detection is a derivative problem after smoothing, and the AMM of the located \(t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}\) is related with \(\alpha\). For different event types with the same \(t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}\), once they share the same \(\alpha\), the derivative value would be the same, in which sense the same AMM of the detection results would be obtained. Therefore, the event type has no impact on disturbance location accuracy. With respect to disturbance intensity, the larger \(\alpha\) is, the larger the AMM of located \(t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}\) would be, which means the disturbance is flexible to be detected. Since the ideal supply voltage is a sine waveform, disturbance occurring at the peak point of the sine wave has the sharpest variation, which leads to the largest AMM. Disturbance occurring at the zero-crossing has the mildest variation, leading to the smallest AMM. Hence, disturbance occurring at the peak point is easier to be detected compared to that occurs at the zero-crossing.

### IV. PROPOSED IMPACT FACTORS BASED ACCURACY ANALYSIS ALGORITHM

To validate each factor’s influence on disturbance location accuracy, an accuracy analysis algorithm based on impact factors is proposed in this section.

#### A. Impact Factors Based Accuracy Analysis Algorithm for Disturbance Location

Based on Subsection III-A and III-B, and by considering two perspectives, i.e., wavelet analysis and disturbance features, an impact factors based accuracy analysis algorithm is proposed.

This algorithm can be expressed as the flowchart in Fig. 3.

---

**Fig. 3.** Impact factors based accuracy analysis algorithm.

Detailed implementation of this algorithm is illustrated as the following five steps:

1. Compare RLWT with DWT and LWT, in which sense the superior method in accuracy improving can be determined.
2. Investigate wavelet function’s impact on disturbance location accuracy, such that the superior wavelet would be determined.

3. Employ the superior wavelet to investigate wavelet decomposition’s impact on disturbance location accuracy, through which superior lever would be determined.

4. Introduce the superior method, wavelet and decomposition level to investigate the impact of three considered disturbance features including event type, disturbance intensity, t_start and t_end on disturbance location accuracy, respectively.

5. Implement simulations to validate the above studies.

Then, impact factor investigation on two perspectives, i.e., the wavelet analysis and disturbance features are conducted.

B. Wavelet-based Impact Factor Investigation

(1) Redundant Algorithm
To explore the potential impact of translation invariance on PQ disturbance location, a comparison scheme is designed:

i. Adopt DWT to obtain corresponding disturbance detection result as D dist.

ii. For LWT, due to the split step, two cases are considered:
   Case 1: signal’s first data sample corresponds to odd sample;
   Case 2: signal’s first data sample corresponds to even sample.

iii. Employ LWT for both cases to obtain disturbance detection results as L odd and L even, respectively. Besides, Employ RLWT for both cases to obtain disturbance detection results as RLOdd and RLEven, respectively.

iv. Utilize D dist, L odd, L even, RLOdd, RLEven for comparison.

(2) Wavelet Function
Here, the wavelets that shall be adopted for disturbance location analysis are determined. From the perspective of computation cost, the wavelet that has compact support and is able to perform DWT is preferred. Meanwhile, the db and sym wavelets (see Appendix A) have quite similar properties, which can be seen from Table I. So, only adopting one of these two wavelet functions is reasonable. Hence, the db and coif wavelets (see Appendix A) are chosen. Since LWT can be implemented in the time domain [39], the lifting-based wavelet with symmetry is selected. The property differences between the three selected wavelet functions are given in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>db2</th>
<th>db6</th>
<th>db10</th>
<th>coif1</th>
<th>coif3</th>
<th>coif5</th>
<th>lift2</th>
<th>lift6</th>
<th>lift10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonality</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that the lifting-based wavelet has symmetry and biorthogonality. For the same N, the db wavelet has the shortest support width compared to the other two wavelets. Let N vary from 2 to 6 and from 6 to 10, nine wavelets are obtained and are illustrated in Table III, in which Orth stands for Orthogonality, Sym stands for Symmetry. For illustrative purpose, the waveforms of three wavelets with N = 10 are shown in Fig. 4.

![Waveform of different wavelets](image)

Fig. 4. Waveform of different wavelets: (a) db10; (b) coif5; (c) lift10.

(3) Decomposition Level
To investigate the optimal decomposition level selection problem, the analysis process is designed as follows:

i. Let j vary from 1 to 3;

ii. Implement j-level decomposition to obtain d_j;

iii. Compare the modulus maxima of d_1, d_2, d_3.

C. Disturbance-based Impact Factor Investigation
To explore the impact of three disturbance features, i.e., event type, disturbance intensity, t_start and t_end on disturbance location accuracy, the experimental steps are as follows:

i. Regarding event type, consider both the sag and interruption satisfying A < 1 with different α. Two typical events, swell and sag are selected. Then, two groups of disturbance with distinct t_start and t_end are randomly employed for both swell and sag.

ii. With respect to disturbance intensity, different α is selected for two events. For swell, let us choose α = 0.5. For sag, let us choose α = 0.4.

iii. To investigate the start and end moments’ impact on disturbance detection, two cases are considered:
   Case 1: A group of disturbances with changeable t_start and fixed t_end;
   Case 2: A group of disturbances with fixed t_start and changeable t_end.

iv. Utilize DWT, LWT and RLWT to detect disturbance.
V. SIMULATIONS

In this section, the simulated signal generated in Matlab and the real signal from the IEEE database [43] are employed to validate each factor’s impact on disturbance location accuracy.

A. Wavelet-based Impact Factors

(1) Redundant Algorithm

For illustrative purpose, let us randomly choose a sag of $t_{\text{start}} = 0.1732$ and $t_{\text{end}} = 0.2825$. LWT and RLWT are applied to both Case 1 and Case 2 in Subsection IV-B, respectively. Disturbance location results are shown in Fig. 5.

![Fig. 5. Redundant algorithm: (a) DWT analysis; (b) LWT for case 1; (c) LWT for case 2; (d) RLWT for both cases.](image)

Fig. 5 shows that through DWT, disturbance location of $t_{\text{end}}$ is accurate but fiducial error of 0.0915% happens to $t_{\text{start}}$ location. Through LRT, different results are obtained, with disturbance location being 0.1731s and 0.2825s for Case 1, and 0.1732s and 0.2824s for Case 2. A fiducial error of 0.0915% occurs in $t_{\text{start}}$ location for Case 1 and in $t_{\text{end}}$ location for Case 2, respectively. For RLWT, the same location results are obtained as 0.1732s and 0.2824s for both cases. Meanwhile, disturbance location of both $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$ are accurate, and the fiducial error are 0%. Hence, the redundant algorithm is verified of superior robustness and better accuracy in disturbance location as compared to DWT and LWT.

(2) Wavelet Function

For illustrative purpose, let us randomly choose a sag of $t_{\text{start}} = 0.1684$ and $t_{\text{end}} = 0.2973$. Then, the nine wavelets in Table III are applied to such disturbance. Disturbance location results through nine wavelets are provided in Table IV.

From Table IV, regarding the relative error between such disturbance and location results of $t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}$, the differences among relative error obtained through nine wavelets are minor, and relative error of disturbance duration obtained through nine wavelets are the same. In this sense, symmetry, orthogonality and biorthogonality having little impact on disturbance location accuracy is verified. Nevertheless, as $N$ increases, the AMM of located $t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}$ obtained through three wavelet functions decreases monotonically, which verifies that larger $N$ would deduce AMM and make disturbance detection complicated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelet</th>
<th>$t_{\text{start}}$</th>
<th>AMM</th>
<th>$t_{\text{end}}$</th>
<th>AMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>db2</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
<td>0.1732</td>
<td>0.2973</td>
<td>0.0941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coif1</td>
<td>0.1684</td>
<td>0.1731</td>
<td>0.2972</td>
<td>0.0957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift2</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
<td>0.1136</td>
<td>0.2972</td>
<td>0.0820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>db6</td>
<td>0.1684</td>
<td>0.1129</td>
<td>0.2972</td>
<td>0.0832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coif5</td>
<td>0.1684</td>
<td>0.1076</td>
<td>0.2972</td>
<td>0.0782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lift6</td>
<td>0.1683</td>
<td>0.1071</td>
<td>0.2973</td>
<td>0.0791</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, in order to detect disturbance more accurately, the medium-sized $N$ is selected as 4. The db4 wavelet is employed for DWT, and the lift4 wavelet is adopted for LWT and RLWT.

(3) Decomposition Level

For illustrative purpose, let us randomly choose a sag of $t_{\text{start}} = 0.1538$ and $t_{\text{end}} = 0.2742$ for wavelet decomposition at different levels. Disturbance location results through $d_1$, $d_2$ and $d_3$ are shown in Table V.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>$d_1$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{start}}$</th>
<th>$t_{\text{end}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>$d_1$</td>
<td>0.1538</td>
<td>0.2742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_2$</td>
<td>0.1536</td>
<td>0.2740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_3$</td>
<td>0.1539</td>
<td>0.2739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>$d_1$</td>
<td>0.1538</td>
<td>0.2742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_2$</td>
<td>0.1539</td>
<td>0.2743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_3$</td>
<td>0.1540</td>
<td>0.2744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results show that for both DWT and RLWT, the disturbance location errors of $t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}$ increase when $j$ becomes larger, which verifies that the increasing of decomposition level would lead to lower disturbance location accuracy. Hence, in the next analysis, $j$ is selected as 1.

B. Disturbance-based Impact Factors

(1) Event Type and Disturbance Intensity

For illustrative purpose, four disturbances are randomly selected: swell 1 and sag 1 with $t_{\text{start}} = 0.2436$ and $t_{\text{end}} = 0.3163$, swell 2 and sag 2 with $t_{\text{start}} = 0.1527$ and $t_{\text{end}} = 0.2916$. Disturbance location results are shown in Table VI.

Table VI shows that for both swell and sag with the same $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$, relative errors of located $t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}$ through three transforms are the same, which shows that event type has no impact on disturbance location accuracy. But for both disturbances, by utilizing any of the three transforms, the AMM of located $t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}$ of swell is always larger than that of sag. Since $\alpha$ is 0.5 for swell and 0.4 for sag, it can be seen that severer disturbance intensity leads to larger AMM of located $t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}}$, such that the disturbance can be easier detected.
(2) The Start and End Moment of Disturbance

Firstly, a group of disturbances are randomly employed with all $t_{start}$ being 0.188s, and $t_{end}$ are chosen as 0.276s, 0.277s, 0.278s and 0.279s, respectively. Then, another group of disturbances with $t_{start}$ being 0.1006s, 0.1018s, 0.103s and 0.1042s, and all $t_{end}$ being 0.3641s are selected. Both groups of disturbance detection results are shown in Table VII.

![Table VI](image1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$t_{start}$</th>
<th>AMM</th>
<th>$t_{end}$</th>
<th>AMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.3163</td>
<td>0.06758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swell 1</td>
<td>LWT</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>0.07336</td>
<td>0.3163</td>
<td>0.06539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>0.2436</td>
<td>0.05564</td>
<td>0.3162</td>
<td>0.0485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>0.0272</td>
<td>0.3163</td>
<td>0.05406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sag 1</td>
<td>LWT</td>
<td>0.2435</td>
<td>0.05869</td>
<td>0.3163</td>
<td>0.05087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>0.2436</td>
<td>0.04451</td>
<td>0.3162</td>
<td>0.0388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swell 2</td>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
<td>0.1186</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.07017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LWT</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
<td>0.1156</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.1495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
<td>0.08467</td>
<td>0.2916</td>
<td>0.1108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sag 2</td>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
<td>0.09487</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.05614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LWT</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
<td>0.09245</td>
<td>0.2915</td>
<td>0.1196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>0.1527</td>
<td>0.06774</td>
<td>0.2916</td>
<td>0.08866</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$t_{start}$</th>
<th>AMM</th>
<th>$t_{end}$</th>
<th>AMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>0.1879</td>
<td>0.05195</td>
<td>0.2759</td>
<td>0.01992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>0.1879</td>
<td>0.08033</td>
<td>0.2759</td>
<td>0.02995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>DWT</td>
<td>0.1005</td>
<td>0.06297</td>
<td>0.3641</td>
<td>0.0414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLWT</td>
<td>0.1006</td>
<td>0.09863</td>
<td>0.3641</td>
<td>0.02995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that for the first group of disturbances, the AMM of the located $t_{start}$ and $t_{end}$ appears to have the same changing trend, i.e., the AMM of located $t_{start}$/$t_{end}$ increases with the change of $t_{end}$. For the second group of disturbances, the AMM obtained through both DWT and RLWT still appears to have the same changing trend, i.e., the AMM of located $t_{start}$ and $t_{end}$ decreases with the change of $t_{start}$.

Hence, simulation results demonstrate that when $t_{start}$/$t_{end}$ moves from the zero-crossing to the peak point of the sine wave, the AMM of located $t_{start}$/$t_{end}$ becomes larger, and vice versa.

C. Case Study

(1) Real Signal Analysis

To better verify the above discussion, the real sag signal from IEEE database [36] is employed. The sampling frequency is 20,000Hz, and the sample size is 20,400.

Firstly, DWT, LWT and RLWT are utilized for comparison analysis. Experimental results are obtained in Fig. 6.

![Fig. 6](image3)

Results show that locations of $t_{start}$ and $t_{end}$ through DWT and RLWT are the same. However, RLWT is independent of convolution operation and can be realized in the time domain, which requires less computation cost compared to that of DWT. In addition, the located disturbance moments through LWT for two cases in Subsection IV-B are different. For RLWT, same results are obtained. In this sense, it is verified that RLWT has better robustness with respect to disturbance location.

Then, wavelets with different $N$, i.e., lift2, lift4 and lift8 are applied to such real signal. Results are shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7](image4)

Experimental results show that for wavelets with different $N$, the results of disturbance location are the same, but the AMM of located $t_{start}$ and $t_{end}$ are distinct.

Finally, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are respectively selected to decompose the signal with lift4. Experimental results are given in Fig. 8.
It can be seen that as $j$ varies, larger relative error of located $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$ occurs. In addition, the increase of $j$ also makes AMM decrease, due to which the PQ disturbance location becomes more complicated.

(2) Analysis of Modeling Signal with Noise

It is notable that the real sag signal is contaminated by noise which has not been presented in the proposed impact factors based accuracy analysis algorithm. Considering the noise usually exists in the real-world signal, an analysis of modeling signal with noise is implemented in order to further verify the proposed algorithm.

For the purpose of consistency, a sag signal is generated according to Equation 7, with $t_{\text{start}}$, $t_{\text{end}}$, the sampling frequency and the sample size the same as the real sag signal are. Then, different levels of noise, i.e., SNR of 30dB, 40dB and 50dB are employed, respectively [45], [46].

Firstly, DWT, LWT and RWLT are adopted for comparison analysis, and results are shown in Fig. 9 to Fig. 11. It can be found that for signals at different SNR, same results are obtained as that of the real sag signal: 1) For two cases in Subsection IV-B, the locations of $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$ through LWT are different, and disturbance location results through RLWT are the same, due to which better robustness of RLWT in disturbance location can be verified. 2) Located disturbance moments through DWT and RLWT are the same. However, compared to DWT, RLWT that is independent of convolution operation requires less computation cost. With respect to different levels of noise, only the AMM of the located disturbance moment may be impacted.
Then, wavelets with different $N$, i.e., lift2, lift4 and lift8 are utilized to the modeling signal, and experimental results are obtained in Fig. 12 to Fig. 14.

![Fig. 12. Disturbance location with different wavelet for SNR of 50dB: (a) lift2; (b) lift4; (c) lift8.](image)

![Fig. 13. Disturbance location with different wavelet for SNR of 40dB: (a) lift2; (b) lift4; (c) lift8.](image)

![Fig. 14. Disturbance location with different wavelet for SNR of 30dB: (a) lift2; (b) lift4; (c) lift8.](image)

It can be seen that for signals at different SNR, same results are obtained as that of the real sag signal, i.e., through wavelets with distinct $N$, the located $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$ are the same, only the AMM of located of disturbance moment are distinct.

Finally, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are respectively selected to decompose the modeling signal with lift4, and results are presented in Fig. 15 to Fig. 17.

Experimental results show that for signals at different SNR, larger relative error of located $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$ would occur with the increase of $j$, which leads to accuracy decrease in disturbance moment location. Different AMM of located disturbance moment would be obtained with the variation of $j$ and SNR. In addition, the amplitude of detailed coefficients of noise decays quickly when $j$ increases, which makes the AMM of located $t_{\text{start}}$ and $t_{\text{end}}$ easy to be recognized.
From the modeling signal analysis it can be seen that for the real-world applications, i.e., the signal is usually interrupted by noise, different levels of noise would have an impact on the AMM of the located PQ disturbance moment. In addition, as the SNR varies from 50dB to 30dB, i.e., the noise intensity increases, disturbance moment detection becomes complicated due to severer noise pollution. Though the increase of decomposition level can make noise decay on the amplitude of detailed coefficients, the accuracy of PQ disturbance moment location would decrease at the same time.

VI. CONCLUSION

This paper focuses on multiple impact factors analysis, with the aim to improve disturbance location accuracy. The impact of five selected factors is analyzed, respectively: 1) Wavelet properties including orthogonality, biorthogonality, symmetry and disturbance feature including event type have little impact on disturbance location accuracy. 2) The decomposition level directly impacts disturbance location accuracy. As j increases, the accuracy decreases. 3) The redundant algorithm has superior robustness to improve disturbance location accuracy. 4) The AMM of located disturbance moment would be impacted by vanishing moments, disturbance intensity and \( t_{\text{start}}/t_{\text{end}} \). It has been verified that when PQ disturbance is detected by wavelet analysis, better location accuracy can be achieved by employing redundant algorithm, wavelet with medium-sized vanishing moments order, or lower j.

The restriction of this paper is that though the impact of noise intensity on PQ disturbance detection is investigated, effective approach for denoising so as to realize flexible disturbance moment location has not been explored. In addition, the hardware realization of novel approaches for effective real-time PQ disturbance detection is of great importance. However, the hardware implementation of the proposed multiple impact factors based accuracy analysis for PQ disturbance detection in noise environment needs further investigation, in which the computation cost related key parameters, e.g., execution time sampling frequency need to be carefully considered. In our future work, we shall develop novel approaches to reduce noise, such that PQ disturbance can be detected more flexibly.

APPENDIX

Here, some preliminaries of wavelet are introduced briefly. Readers can refer to [44] for further details.

A. A Brief Introduction to Wavelet

For mother wavelet \( \psi(t) \) that is processed by dilation factor a and translation factor b, the function family \( \{\psi_{a,b}\} \) generated

\[
\psi_{a,b}(t) = \left| a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \psi \left( \frac{t-b}{a} \right).
\]

is defined as wavelet basis.

Due to different properties such as orthogonality, compact support and vanishing moments, various sets of \( \{\psi_{a,b}\} \) are employed for different applications. Several common wavelets are: Daubechies, Coiflets, Symlets, Morlet, mexh, Meyer etc. These wavelets can be abbreviated as db, coif, sym, morl, mexh, meyr, respectively. For vanishing moment order that is \( N \), Daubechies, Coiflets, Symlets can be simply represented as db\( N \), coif\( N \), sym\( N \), respectively. In this sense, the Daubechies wavelet that has fourth-order or tenth-order vanishing moment is expressed as db4 or db10, respectively.

Based on the lifting algorithm and interpolation subdivision method, the lifting-based wavelet can be constructed. For vanishing moment order that is \( N \), the lifting-based wavelet can be simply represented as lift\( N \). So, lift2, lift4, lift6, lift8, lift10 express the wavelet has second-order, fourth-order sixth-order, eighth-order, tenth-order vanishing moments, respectively.
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