

# Projective Modules

Serena An

18.704

May 14, 2024

# Introduction

- Projective modules can be thought of as building blocks of the  $A$ -module  $A$ .
- They have many desirable properties and are central to fields such as representation theory and homological algebra.
- The main theorem of this presentation is the bijective correspondence between *indecomposable projective* modules and *simple* modules.

# Definition of a Module

## Definition

Given a ring  $A$ , an  $A$ -module  $U$  is an abelian group  $(U, +)$  with multiplication  $A \times U \rightarrow U$  by elements in  $A$ . A *submodule*  $V$  of an  $A$ -module  $U$  is a subgroup  $V \subset U$  which is closed under multiplication by  $A$ .

## Example

For

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in k \right\},$$

we can consider the  $A$ -module  $A$  with operations of standard matrix addition and multiplication. Two submodules of the  $A$ -module  $A$  are

$$P_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in k \right\} \text{ and } P_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid b, c \in k \right\},$$

and in fact for these submodules we have  $A = P_1 \oplus P_2$ .

# Types of Modules

## Definition

A submodule  $M \subset U$  is *maximal* if  $M \neq U$  and there does not exist a submodule  $N$  such that  $M \subsetneq N \subsetneq U$ .

## Definition

A nonzero  $A$ -module  $U$  is *simple* if its only submodules are  $0$  and  $U$ .

By the correspondence theorem for modules,  $M \subset U$  is maximal if and only if  $U/M$  is simple.

## Definition

A nonzero  $A$ -module  $U$  is *indecomposable* if it can not be written as a direct sum of nontrivial submodules.

Simple modules are indecomposable, but the converse is not always true (e.g. the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ ).

# Returning to Our Example

## Example

- $P_1$  is simple and has 0 as a maximal submodule.
- $P_2$  has exactly one nontrivial proper submodule, namely

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in k \right\}.$$

Thus,  $M$  is maximal in  $P_2$  and the only maximal submodule of  $P_2$ .

$P_1$  and  $P_2$  are both indecomposable:  $P_1$  is simple and thus indecomposable;  $P_2$  is indecomposable because  $P_2 \not\cong M \oplus V$  for any submodule  $V \subset P_2$ .

# Projective Modules

## Definition

An  $A$ -module  $U$  is *free* if  $U \cong \underbrace{A \oplus \cdots \oplus A}_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Definition

An  $A$ -module  $U$  is *projective* if there exists some  $A$ -module  $V$  such that  $U \oplus V$  is free.

## Example

Since  $A = P_1 \oplus P_2$ ,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are projective.

# Indecomposable Projective Modules

## Definition

The *radical* of an  $A$ -module  $U$ , denoted  $\text{rad}(U)$ , is the intersection of the maximal submodules of  $U$ .

At a high level, the radical helps describe the structure of a module and contains the elements which “prevent the module from being *semisimple*” (a direct sum of simple modules).

## Lemma

*An indecomposable projective module  $P$  has exactly one maximal submodule, namely  $\text{rad}(U)$ .*

# Bijjective Correspondence

## Theorem

*There is a one-to-one correspondence between indecomposable projective  $A$ -modules and simple  $A$ -modules, given by  $P \leftrightarrow P/\text{rad}(P)$ .*

## Example

In our running example, the unique maximal submodule of  $P_1$  is 0, and the unique maximal submodule of  $P_2$  is  $M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \mid c \in k \right\}$ . The bijective correspondence implies that  $P_1$  and  $P_2/M$  are simple  $A$ -modules.

In fact, we will see that they are the only simple  $A$ -modules (up to isomorphism).



# Structural Results

## Theorem (Krull-Schmidt)

*Every finitely-generated  $A$ -module is isomorphic to a finite direct sum  $M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$  of indecomposable  $A$ -modules, unique up to reordering and isomorphism.*

In particular for the  $A$ -module  $A$ , we may write  $A \cong P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_n$  for indecomposable  $A$ -modules  $P_i$ , which are also projective by definition.

## Theorem

*Every indecomposable projective  $A$ -module is isomorphic to  $P_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

## Example

Since  $A = P_1 \oplus P_2$  in our running example,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are the only indecomposable projective  $A$ -modules up to isomorphism. Consequently,  $P_1$  and  $P_2/M$  are the only simple  $A$ -modules up to isomorphism.