## 1 Tikhonov Regularization

Developing a generalizable learning algorithm first entails minimizing empirical error on the training data set. We define the empirical risk  $I_s[f]$  with a loss function V on training data  $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$  as

$$I_s[f] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V(f(x_i), y_i).$$
(1)

Then empirical risk minimization (ERM) comprises the optimization problem of minimizing  $I_s[f]$ :

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} I_s[f] = \min_{f \in H} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V(f(x_i), y_i).$$
(2)

Is this problem well-posed? Recall that a well-posed problem's solutions are:

- Existant,
- Unique, and
- Stable.

If the positive loss function V is strictly convex (no flat regions) and coercive (growing rapidly at extrema), there will exist a unique minimizer. The familiar squared loss and hinge loss functions are convex, but the 0-1 loss function is not.

In order to ensure stability, Tikhonov regularization alters the optimization problem with a positive real number, the regularized functional  $\lambda$ , and instead attempts to find the minimizer of  $I_s[f] + \lambda ||f||_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ :

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V(f(x_i), y_i) + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right\}$$
(3)

Tikhonov regularization constitutes one way to use prior information about training data to impose stability on ill-posed problems.

## 2 Representer Theorem

Any minimizer over the RKHS  $\mathcal{H}$  of the regularized empirical functional

$$I_s[f] + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \tag{4}$$

can be represented by

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K(x, x_i) \tag{5}$$

for some n-tuple  $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  provided that  $\lambda > 0$ . Minimizing over the Hilbert space now equates to minimizing over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is a very nice result: we've shown that an optimization problem over a potentially infinite-dimensional space has a solution that can be expressed as a kernel expansion in terms of training set data.

One proof of the representer theorem is outlined below.

**Proof:** Define the linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} \mid f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K_{x_i}; (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$
 (6)

This subspace  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is the space spanned by representers of the training set. Now let  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\perp}$  be a linear subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  and be orthogonal to  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\perp} \tag{7}$$

since  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is finite-dimensional, and

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\perp} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle f, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i K_{x_i} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0 \text{ for all } x_i \in \overline{\mathcal{H}} \right\}.$$
(8)

Each  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  may be decomposed into a component,  $\overline{f}$ , along  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  and a component,  $\overline{f}^{\perp}$ , along  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}^{\perp}$ :

$$f = \overline{f} + \overline{f}^{\perp}.$$
(9)

Then the empirical risk appears as

$$I_{s}[f] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} V(\overline{f}(x_{i}) + \overline{f}^{\perp}(x_{i}), y_{i}).$$
(10)

By the reproducing property, the  $\overline{f}^{\perp}$  term will be nullified in computing the inner product with the representer  $K_{x_i}$ . We then see that

$$I_s[f] = I_s[\overline{f}] + I_s[\overline{f}^{\perp}] = I_s[\overline{f}].$$
(11)

Also, because of orthogonality,

$$\|\overline{f} + \overline{f}^{\perp}\| = \|\overline{f}\| + \|\overline{f}^{\perp}\|.$$
(12)

Now minimizing the regularized empirical risk over  $\mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ I_s[f] + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right\} = \min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ I_s[\overline{f}] + \lambda (\|\overline{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\overline{f}^{\perp}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2) \right\}$$
(13)

Since

$$\lambda(\|\overline{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\overline{f}^{\perp}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2) \ge \lambda\|\overline{f}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2,\tag{14}$$

the resulting minimizer must have  $\|\overline{f}^{\perp}\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = 0$  and belong to subspace  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ .