

---

### Scaling, Renormalization, & Anisotropy

**1. Corrections to scaling:** While relevant operators (renormalization group eigen-directions with positive eigenvalues) are responsible for the leading singularities close to a critical point, irrelevant operators (with negative eigenvalues) lead to corrections to scaling that can be observed in experiments and simulations. Consider the singular homogeneous free energy with scaling form

$$f(t, g) = b^{-d} f(b^{y_t} t, b^{y_g} g),$$

with  $y_t > 0$  and  $y_g < 0$ .

(a) Choose  $b$  to manifest the leading singularity as function of  $t$ . Assuming that the resulting function can be expanded analytically for small argument, find the next to leading singularity in  $t$ .

(b) The heat capacity observed in an experiment (in  $d = 3$ ) is fitted to  $C = t^{-0.1}[A + B\sqrt{t}]$ . What do you conclude about  $y_t$  and  $y_g$ ?

(c) Divergence of the heat capacity is a reflection of the power-law decay of energy density correlations  $\langle E(\mathbf{x})E(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \propto |x|^{-2\Delta_E}$  at the critical point. How is the leading decay exponent of  $2\Delta_E$  related to the exponent  $y_t$ ?

(d) How are the corrections to scaling due to the irrelevant operator  $g$  manifested at the critical point?

\*\*\*\*\*

**2. (Optional) Finite size scaling:** Numerical simulations are a common tool for estimating critical exponents. However, thermodynamic singularities and divergences occur only in the limit of an infinite system. For a system of finite size  $L^d$ , thermodynamic functions are analytic, and divergences are cutoff when the correlation length  $\xi$  becomes of the order of the system size  $L$ .

(a) Assuming a divergent heat capacity ( $\alpha > 0$ ) how does the maximum heat capacity observed in a finite sample depend on its size  $L$ ?

(b) The procedure of *data collapse* provides a powerful method to validate scaling predictions, such as

$$C[(T - T_c), L] = b^{d/y_t - 2} C[b^{y_t}(T - T_c), L/b] .$$

Describe how one would collapse data for different sizes  $L$  to determine  $T_c$  and the exponent  $\nu = 1/y_t$  .

\*\*\*\*\*

**3. Dangerously irrelevant:** For  $d > 4$  dimensions, the singular part of the Landau–Ginzburg free energy has the homogeneous form

$$f(t, h, u) = b^{-d} f(b^2 t, b^{1+d/2} h, b^{4-d} u) .$$

While the parameter  $u$  is technically irrelevant, for  $t < 0$  its inclusion is necessary to keep the magnetization finite.

(a) For  $t > 0$ , an analytic expansion in  $u$  is possible, and generates *sub-leading corrections to scaling*. By considering the term in  $f$  proportional to  $uh^2$ , find the leading correction to (zero field) susceptibility that is proportional to  $u$ .

(b) For  $t < 0$ , a finite  $u > 0$  is necessary for a well defined model, and while formally irrelevant, its importance is manifested in generating *non-analytic terms* in the expansion of free energy, such as proportional to  $u^{-1}$  and  $u^{-1/2}|h|$ . Find the  $(-t)$  dependence of these terms, and give their physical meaning.

\*\*\*\*\*

**4. Coupled scalars:** Consider the Hamiltonian

$$\beta\mathcal{H} = \int d^d\mathbf{x} \left[ \frac{t}{2} m^2 + \frac{K}{2} (\nabla m)^2 - hm + \frac{L}{2} (\nabla^2 \phi)^2 + v m (\nabla^2 \phi) \right] ,$$

coupling two scalar fields  $m$  and  $\phi$ . Note that the included terms (with the exception of the symmetry breaking field  $h$ ) satisfy the symmetries  $(m, \phi) \rightarrow (-m, -\phi)$ , and  $\nabla\phi \rightarrow \nabla\phi + \vec{c}$ .

(a) Write  $\beta\mathcal{H}$  in terms of the Fourier transforms  $m(\mathbf{q})$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{q})$ .

(b) Construct a renormalization group transformation as in class, by rescaling distances such that  $\mathbf{q}' = b\mathbf{q}$ ; and the fields such that  $m'(\mathbf{q}') = \tilde{m}(\mathbf{q})/z$  and  $\phi'(\mathbf{q}') = \tilde{\phi}(\mathbf{q})/y$ . Do not evaluate the integrals that just contribute a constant additive term.

- (c) There is a fixed point such that  $K' = K$  and  $L' = L$ . Find  $y_t$ ,  $y_h$  and  $y_v$  at this fixed point.
- (d) The singular part of the free energy has a scaling from  $f(t, h, v) = t^{2-\alpha} g(h/t^\Delta, v/t^\omega)$  for  $t, h, v$  close to zero. Find  $\alpha$ ,  $\Delta$ , and  $\omega$ .
- (e) There is another fixed point such that  $t' = t$  and  $L' = L$ . What are the relevant operators at this fixed point, and how do they scale?
- (f) What are the *lowest order* nonlinearities consistent with the symmetries indicated?

\*\*\*\*\*

**5.** *Long-range interactions* between spins can be described by adding a term

$$\int d^d \mathbf{x} \int d^d \mathbf{y} J(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \vec{m}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \vec{m}(\mathbf{y}),$$

to the usual Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian.

- (a) Show that for  $J(r) \propto 1/r^{d+\sigma}$ , the Hamiltonian can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \mathcal{H} = & \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{t + K_2 q^2 + K_\sigma q^\sigma + \cdots}{2} \vec{m}(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \vec{m}(-\mathbf{q}) \\ & + u \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}_1 d^d \mathbf{q}_2 d^d \mathbf{q}_3}{(2\pi)^{3d}} \vec{m}(\mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \vec{m}(\mathbf{q}_2) \vec{m}(\mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \vec{m}(-\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) . \end{aligned}$$

- (b) For  $u = 0$ , construct the recursion relations for  $(t, K_2, K_\sigma)$  and show that  $K_\sigma$  is irrelevant for  $\sigma > 2$ . What is the fixed Hamiltonian in this case?
- (c) For  $\sigma < 2$  and  $u = 0$ , show that the spin rescaling factor must be chosen such that  $K'_\sigma = K_\sigma$ , in which case  $K_2$  is irrelevant. What is the fixed Hamiltonian now?
- (d) For  $\sigma < 2$ , calculate the generalized Gaussian exponents  $\nu$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $\gamma$  from the recursion relations. Show that  $u$  is irrelevant, and hence the Gaussian results are valid, for  $d > 2\sigma$ .
- (e) For  $\sigma < 2$ , use a perturbation expansion in  $u$  to construct the recursion relations for  $(t, K_2, u)$  as in the text.
- (f) For  $d < 2\sigma$ , calculate the critical exponents  $\nu$  and  $\eta$  to first order in  $\epsilon = 2\sigma - d$ .

[See M.E. Fisher, S.-K. Ma and B.G. Nickel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 917 (1972).]

- (g) What is the critical behavior if  $J(r) \propto \exp(-r/a)$ ? Explain!

\*\*\*\*\*

**6. (Optional)** *Smectic liquid crystal* is an anisotropic form of matter which can be imagined as two dimensional layers stacked along a third (say  $z$ ) dimension. The periodically varying density can be described by  $\rho(z, \mathbf{x}) = \rho_0 + \bar{\psi} \cos[kz + u(z, \mathbf{x})]$ , with  $a = 2\pi/k$  indicating the distance between layers. Distortions from a perfect stacking  $u = 0$ , are described by the spatially varying field  $u(z, \mathbf{x})$ . Due to the underlying anisotropy, the cost of distortions is governed by the Hamiltonian

$$\beta\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int dz d^2\mathbf{x} [B(\partial_z u)^2 + K_1(\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^2 u)^2] .$$

The origin of such anisotropic Goldstone modes is explored in this problem.

(a) Consider a complex field  $\psi(\vec{x})$  subject to the effective Hamiltonian

$$\beta\mathcal{H} = \int d^d\vec{x} \left[ \frac{t}{2} |\psi|^2 + u |\psi|^4 + \frac{G}{2} (|\nabla\psi|^2 - k^2 |\psi|^2)^2 + \frac{L}{2} |\nabla^2\psi|^2 \right] .$$

Note that to prevent the instability arising from a negative coefficient ( $-Gk^2$ ) for  $|\nabla\psi|^2$ , a positive higher order term  $G|\nabla\psi|^4$  is introduced. Find the most probable (saddle point) configuration  $\bar{\psi}(\vec{x})$ .

(b) Include fluctuations by setting  $\psi(\vec{x}) = \bar{\psi} e^{i[kz + u(z, \mathbf{x})]}$ , and including only leading terms in the gradient expansion.

(c) Identify the moduli  $B$  and  $K_1$  of the smectic liquid crystal, in term of the parameters of the above model.

\*\*\*\*\*

**7. (Optional)** *Anisotropic criticality:* A number of materials, such as smectic liquid crystals, are anisotropic and behave differently along distinct directions, which shall be denoted parallel and perpendicular, respectively. Let us assume that the  $d$  spatial dimensions are grouped into  $n$  parallel directions  $\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}$ , and  $d - n$  perpendicular directions  $\mathbf{x}_{\perp}$ . Consider a one-component field  $m(\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{x}_{\perp})$  subject to a Landau–Ginzburg Hamiltonian,  $\beta\mathcal{H} = \beta\mathcal{H}_0 + U$ , with

$$\beta\mathcal{H}_0 = \int d^n\mathbf{x}_{\parallel} d^{d-n}\mathbf{x}_{\perp} \left[ \frac{K}{2} (\nabla_{\parallel} m)^2 + \frac{L}{2} (\nabla_{\perp}^2 m)^2 + \frac{t}{2} m^2 - hm \right] ,$$

$$\text{and} \quad U = u \int d^n\mathbf{x}_{\parallel} d^{d-n}\mathbf{x}_{\perp} m^4 .$$

(Note that  $\beta\mathcal{H}$  depends on the **first** gradient in the  $\mathbf{x}_{\parallel}$  directions, and on the **second** gradient in the  $\mathbf{x}_{\perp}$  directions.)

- (a) Write  $\beta\mathcal{H}_0$  in terms of the Fourier transforms  $m(\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp})$ .
- (b) Construct a renormalization group transformation for  $\beta\mathcal{H}_0$ , by rescaling coordinates such that  $\mathbf{q}'_{\parallel} = b \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{q}'_{\perp} = c \mathbf{q}_{\perp}$  and the field as  $m'(\mathbf{q}') = m(\mathbf{q})/z$ . *Note that parallel and perpendicular directions are scaled differently.* Write down the recursion relations for  $K$ ,  $L$ ,  $t$ , and  $h$  in terms of  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $z$ . (The exact shape of the Brillouin zone is immaterial at this stage, and you do not need to evaluate the integral that contributes an additive constant.)
- (c) Choose  $c(b)$  and  $z(b)$  such that  $K' = K$  and  $L' = L$ . At the resulting fixed point calculate the eigenvalues  $y_t$  and  $y_h$  for the rescalings of  $t$  and  $h$ .
- (d) Write the relationship between the (singular parts of) free energies  $f(t, h)$  and  $f'(t', h')$  in the original and rescaled problems. Hence write the unperturbed free energy in the homogeneous form  $f(t, h) = t^{2-\alpha} g_f(h/t^{\Delta})$ , and identify the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta$ .
- (e) How does the unperturbed zero-field susceptibility  $\chi(t, h = 0)$ , diverge as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ?
- In the remainder of this problem set  $h = 0$ , and treat  $U$  as a perturbation.*
- (f) In the unperturbed Hamiltonian calculate the expectation value  $\langle m(q)m(q') \rangle_0$ , and the corresponding susceptibility  $\chi_0(q) \propto \langle |m_q|^2 \rangle_0$ , where  $q$  stands for  $(\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{q}_{\perp})$ .
- (g) Write the perturbation  $U$ , in terms of the normal modes  $m(q)$ .
- (h) Using RG, or any other method, find the upper critical dimension  $d_u$ , for validity of the Gaussian exponents.
- (i) Write down the expansion for  $\langle m(q)m(q') \rangle$ , to first order in  $U$ , and reduce the correction term to a product of two point expectation values.
- (j) Write down the expression for  $\chi(q)$ , in first order perturbation theory, and identify the transition point  $t_c$  at order of  $u$ . (Do not evaluate any integrals explicitly.)

\*\*\*\*\*

**8. Percolation:** The order parameter of percolation is a probability and thus strictly non-negative. The percolation transition can be modeled by a statistical field theory in terms of a scalar field  $m(\mathbf{x})$  *which is strictly non-negative at all points*, and subject to weight governed by the Hamiltonian

$$\beta\mathcal{H} = \int d^d\mathbf{x} \left[ \frac{K}{2}(\nabla m)^2 + \frac{t}{2}m^2 + \frac{c}{3}m^3 - hm \right],$$

with  $(K, c) > 0$  for stability, and  $h \geq 0$  included to bias for  $m(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ . In problem set #1 we introduced the mean-field theory for the  $q$ -state Potts model which resembles the above expression for  $q < 2$ . Indeed, there is a rigorous relation between percolation, and the  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit of Potts models.

(a) In the saddle point approximation find the most probable state  $m(\mathbf{x})$ ; the exponent  $\beta$  governing the vanishing of the order parameter with  $t$ , and the gap exponent  $\Delta$ .

(b) A corresponding free energy is obtained as  $f(t) = -\ln Z/V$ , where the normalization  $Z(t)$  is obtained by integrating  $\exp(-\beta\mathcal{H})$  over all configuration of  $m(\mathbf{x})$ . What is the form of the singularity of  $f(t, h \rightarrow 0)$  in the saddle point approximation?

(c) Without detailed calculations, can you identify the upper critical dimension for validity of saddle point results?

\*\*\*\*\*