Interpreting SRCs: FSI in x>1, from (e,e') to (e,e'NN)

17 min --> selected issues

Mark Strikman, PSU

OUTLINE



(e,e') : onset of light cone dominance and locality of FSI



FSI and quenching at large Q



FSI & looking backward

Onset of LC dominance in high Q²A (e,e') processes

Consider high Q² (e,e') process at fixed large x > 1 in the many nucleon approximation for the nucleus v^* a

PA_____

- (Vertex function)² is the spectral function of the nucleus

 $p^{\text{int}} = P^A - p^{\text{rec}}$

 $p^{\rm rec}$

 $(p^{\rm int} + q)^2 = m^2$

$$P_A(k, E) = \langle \psi_A | a_{\mathrm{N}}^+(k) \delta(E + E_{\mathrm{R}} - E_{\mathrm{fX}}) a_{\mathrm{N}}(k) | \psi_A \rangle,$$

QCD analog - fully unintegrated parton density -

$$\tilde{m}^{2} + q_{+}p_{-}^{int} + q_{-}p_{+}^{int} + q^{2}$$

$$= \tilde{m}^{2} + q_{+}\frac{M_{A}}{A}\alpha + q_{-}\left(\frac{\tilde{m}^{2} + p_{t}^{2}}{\alpha(M_{A}/A)}\right) + q^{2} = m^{2}$$
Use the nucleus rest frame
$$P_{+}^{A} = P_{-}^{A} = M_{A}.$$

$$\alpha \equiv A \frac{p_{-}^{int}}{P_{-}^{A}} \text{ light-cone fraction}$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \tilde{m}^{2}} = -\left(\frac{1 + (q_{-}/\alpha)(M_{A}/A)}{(q_{+}M^{A}/A) - [q_{-}(\tilde{m}^{2} + p_{t}^{2})]/\alpha^{2}M_{A}/A}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{0} \propto 1/q_{+}$$

$$Q^{2} \to \infty, x = const$$
In high energy limit σ depends only on the spectral function integrated over all variables but α - LC dominance, in particular no dependence on the mass

of the recoil system. Relevant quantity is LC nucleon density matrix - $ho_A^N(lpha)$

$$\rho_A^N(\alpha) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{i=A} \frac{d\alpha_i}{\alpha_i} d^2 p_{t\,i} \psi_A^2(\underline{\alpha_i, p_{t\,i}}) \delta(\alpha_1 - \alpha) \qquad \text{LC nuclear many}$$

nucleon wave function

Expectation: $\rho_A^N(\alpha, k_t) \approx a_2(A)\rho_D^N(\alpha, k_t)$ for $1.3 \le \alpha \le 1.6$

For larger α three nucleon correlations decreases slower with increase of α . Effects of 3N correlations can be seen in $P_A(k,E)$ but no simple relation is known (exists?) with $\rho_A(\alpha > 1.6, p_t)$

Determine $\alpha(x,Q)$ based on dominance of two nucleon correlations in the recoil

$$\alpha_{tn} = 2 - \frac{q_- + 2m}{2m_N} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{W^2 - 4m_N^2}}{W} \right) \qquad where \ q_- = q_0 - q_3, \ W^2 = 4m_N^2 + 4q_0m_N - Q^2$$

Used observation that distribution over α_{NN} around $\alpha_{NN} = 2$ is symmetric function of $\alpha_{NN} - 2$. Allows to take \tilde{m}^2 in average point corresponding to $\alpha_{NN} = 2$. Maximum of the spectral function distribution over E_{rec} .







at JLab showed similar plateaus [13, 14] and mapped out the Q^2 dependence at low Q^2 , seeing a clear breakdown of the picture for $Q^2 < 1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$. However, these measurements did not include deuterium; only A/³He ratios were available. Finally, JLab Hall C data at 4 GeV [15, 16] measured scattering from nuclei and deuterium at larger TABLE I: $r(A, D) = (2/A)\sigma_A/\sigma_D$ in the 2N correlation region $(x_{min} < x < 1.9)$. We choose a conservative value of $x_{min} = 1.5$ at 18°, which corresponds to $\alpha_{2n} = 1.275$. We use this value to determine the x_{min} cuts for the other angles. The last column is the ratio at 18° after the subtraction of the estimated inelastic contribution (with a systematic uncertainty of 100% of the subtraction).



component in nuclei per nucleon, normalized to the deuteron wave function Figure 2 shows the A d_D cross section ratios for the E02-019 data at a scattering angle of 18°. For x > 1.5, the data show the expected near-constant behavior, al-Reughrung clict on = 1.25 is always high preasa the $M_D/M_p \approx 2$. This was not observed perform, as the previous SD40 ratios had much wider x bins and larger statistical uncertainties, while the CLAS took ratios to ³He.

Table I shows the ratio in the plateau region for a range of nuclei at all Q^2 values where there was sufficient largex data. We apply a cut in x to isolate the plateau region, although the onset of scaling in x varies somewhat with Q^2 . The start of the plateau corresponds to a fixed value of the light-cone momentum fraction of the struck nutributions to the ratios [18]. Assuming the high-momentum contribution comes entirely from quasielastic scattering from a nucleon in an n-p SRC at rest, the cross section ratio σ_A/σ_D yields the number of nucleons in high-relative momentum pairs relative to the deuteron and r(A, D) represents the rela-

these data, we see little Q^2 dependence, which appears

to be consistent with inelastic 2011 in upon supporting

the assumption of cancellation of FSIs in the ratios. Up-

dated calculations for both deuterium and heavier nuclei

are underway to further examine the question of FSI con-

1.4

thesis

1.5

MeV/c

1.6

Very good agreement¹, ¹², However, or requires knowledge of the tive probability for a nucleon in nucleus A to be in such

Two types - FSI interaction within SRC and knockout of low momentum nucleon with FSI with the whole nucleon.

FSI of first type maybe large up to a factor of 2 for $\sigma(e,e')$, cancels in the ratio of σ 's next slide

Second - is few %. One would come to an opposite if one does does not take into account off mass- shell effects

Sargsian, Frankfurt, MS 2008.



LC collinear method calculation (FS88), data from SLAC experiments.



Upper curves include fsi as calculated by Arenhovel

We used non-covariant technique where energy is not conserved and momentum is conserved to determine what longitudinal distances, r, fsi can contribute

$$A^{FSI,\mu}(eA \to eX) \sim \int d^3 p_1 \psi_A(p_1) J^{\mu}_{em}(p_1,q) \frac{1}{\Delta E + i\epsilon} t_N(p_1 + q, p_f),$$

 J_{μ} is the electromagnetic current, tN represents the rescattering amplitude of struck nucleon and ΔE is the energy difference between intermediate and initial states:

$$\Delta E = -q_0 - M_A + \sqrt{m^2 + (q + p_1)^2} + \sqrt{\tilde{M}_{A-1}^2 + p_1^2}$$

Within this representation we can estimate the characteristic distances that struck nucleon propagates as: $\sim \sim$



At x> 1.3, Q² > 1 GeV² fsi is local (r < 1fm) and hence should cancel in the A/D ratios if one neglects pp SRC.

Other way to probe locality of FSI is to consider the correlator of the two e.m. currents.

$$2m_A q_3 \sigma^{(r)} = \int e^{iqy} \langle A \mid [J_\mu(y), J_\lambda(0)] \mid A \rangle \epsilon_\mu^{(r)} \epsilon_\lambda^{(r)} d^4 y.$$

where
$$q_3 = \sqrt{Q^2 + Q^4/4m^2x^2}$$
,

Strong oscillations in the exponential lead to the condition that in the discussed kinematic range y_0 and y_z are small. For example for $Q^2=1.5$ GeV², x=1.5

$$y_{-} = \frac{1}{q_{+}} \sim 0.1 \text{ fm}, y_{+} = \frac{1}{q_{-}} \sim 0.3 \text{ fm},$$

Open questions:

R(x=1)=5 in DIS limit

x< 2

testing scaling of ratios for larger $Q^2 \sim 6$ GeV²- graduate onset of new regime where inelastic contribution becomes significant (dominates - outside 12 GeV range?)





differential Isotopic structure of correlations (pn vs pp)

onset of α_{2N} scaling - plot ratios at fixed α_{2N} as a function of Q².



Testing tensor structure of SRC: $e\vec{D} \to e+X, e+p+n$ $\gamma + \vec{D} \to \pi^- + p + {
m slow}$ proton

Onset of high energy picture and nuclear transparency

Experience of quantum field theory - interactions at different resolutions (momentum transfer) resolve different degrees of freedom - renormalization,.... No simple relation between relevant degrees of freedom at different scales.



Possible to describe low energy nucleon-nucleon (nucleus) interactions, main characteristics of nuclei (radii, binding,...) using effective interactions where high momentum interactions are absent - Landau - Migdal Fermi liquid logic, Effective Field theory



Lapikas, van der Steenhoven, Frankfurt, MS Zhalov, Phys.Rev. C, 2000

Q² dependence of the spectroscopic factor

Rather rapid transition from regime of interaction with quasiparticles to regime of interaction with nucleons

 $Q^{2}_{transition} \approx 0.8 \ GeV^{2}$ Still need to study transition in a single experiment.

Glauber model (Frankfurt, MS, Zhalov) with HFS wave function

10 -7

10 -8

 56 Fe(e,ep) reaction at Q²=1.8 GeV²

: very small suppression at large Q^2 : Quenching factor Q > 0.9

Confirms conclusion of Lapikas et al of Q² dependence of Q factor





10



Small quenching is consistent with a small strength at large excitation energies for the momentum range of the NE-18 experiment (R. Milner - private communication)

Need data on (e,e'p) for small k and large E_r and Q² ~ 2 GeV²

Alternative possibility - 10-15% chiral transparency effect

In the calculation we checked that normalization of the spectral function is correct using (e,e') data at x=1 and moderate Q². classical mechanics calculation of transparency gives similar result.

So in (e,e'p) one can use QM for 2N fsi (Misak's talk) and classical for the nucleons at distances > 1.5 fm.

Also, elastic rescaterings produce via elastic rescattering forward nucleons with relatively large p_T - mimic SRCs with larger internal momenta.

Open question: how much down in Q one can go in calculation of transparency? - Glauber approximation for pA elastic scattering had problems at $T_p \sim 600$ MeV (LAMPF) - (straight line geometry breaks down) expect similar problems for GEA.

Evidence for 2N SRC from (anti) neutrino scattering (BEBC, 1988)



Solid curve is "Doppler effect" prediction (FS77) $\left< V \right>_{\alpha} / \left< V \right> = 2 - \alpha$

Data with selection of events with one proton (to suppress two step processes). In early FNAL data where all events were included, the effect is a factor of two smaller - 1/2 protons from two step processes.

Studies of (eA->e' backward +X) are necessary at the very least to understand possible role of the fsi in the tagged structure function search for the EMC effect, Is it still the best experiment (FS 85) for revealing origin of the EMC effect?