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First lecture: Probability theory - Sample and population

**SAMPLE**
- Finite size
- Selected through a random process
  \textit{eg. Result of a measurement}

**POPULATION**
- Potentially infinite size
  \textit{eg. All possible results}

Characterization of the sample, the population and the sampling process
Using the sample to estimate the characteristics of the population
Random process

- **Random process** (“measurement” or “experiment”):
  Process whose outcome cannot be predicted with certainty.

- Described by:
  
  **Universe**: \( \Omega \) = Set of all possible outcomes

  **Event**: Logical condition on an outcome
  Either true or false
  An event splits the universe in 2 subsets

- An event \( A \) will be identified by the subset \( A \) for which \( A \) is true.
• **Probability function** \( P \) defined by: (Kolmogorov, 1933)

\[
P : \{ \text{Events} \} \rightarrow [0 : 1]
\]

\[A \rightarrow P(A)\]

• **Properties:**

\[
P(\Omega) = 1
\]

\[
P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) \quad \text{if (A and B) = } \emptyset
\]

• **Interpretation:**

- **Frequentist approach:** if we repeat the random process a great number of times \( n \), and count the number of times the outcome satisfies event \( A \), \( n_A \) then the ratio:

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n_A}{n} = P(A)
\]

defines a probability

- **Bayesian interpretation:** A probability is a measure of the credibility associated to the event.
Logical relations

- **Event “not A”** associated with the complement of A:
  \[ P(\bar{A}) = 1 - P(A) \]
  \[ P(\emptyset) = 1 - P(\Omega) = 0 \]

- **Event “A and B”** associated with the intersection of the subsets

- **Event “A or B”** associated with the union of the subsets
  \[ P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \text{ and } B) \]
Conditional probability

• Event B known to be true → restriction of the universe to \( \Omega' = B \)
  
  Definition of a new probability function on this universe, the **conditional probability**:
  
  \[
  P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \text{ and } B)}{P(B)}
  \]

  P(A|B) = "probability of A given B"

• The definition of the conditional probability leads to:

  \[
  P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A|B).P(B) = P(B|A).P(A)
  \]

  Relation between \( P(A|B) \) and \( P(B|A) \), the **Bayes theorem**:

  \[
  P(B|A) = \frac{P(A|B).P(B)}{P(A)}
  \]

  Major role in Bayesian inference
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• Two \textit{incompatible} events cannot be true simultaneously: \( P(A \text{ and } B) = 0 \)

\[ P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) \]

• Two events are \textit{independent}, if the realization of one is not linked in any way to the realization of the other: \( P(A|B) = P(A) \) and \( P(B|A) = P(B) \)

\[ P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A).P(B) \]
Random variable

- When the outcome of the random process is a **number** (real or integer), we associate to the random process a **random variable** $X$.

- Each realization of the process leads to a particular result: $X = x$

  - $x$ is a realization of $X$

- For a discrete variable:

  **Probability law:** $p(x) = P(X = x)$

- For a real variable: $P(X = x) = 0$

  **Cumulative density function:** $F(x) = P(X < x)$

  $$dF = F(x + dx) - F(x) = P(X < x + dx) - P(X < x)$$
  $$= P(X < x \text{ or } x < X < x + dx) - P(X < x)$$
  $$= P(X < x) + P(x < X < x + dx) - P(X < x)$$
  $$= P(x < X < x + dx) = f(x)dx$$

  **Probability density function (pdf):** $f(x) = \frac{dF}{dx}$
Density function

**Probability density function:**

\[ f(x) \]

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) \, dx = P(\Omega) = 1 \]

**Cumulative density function:**

\[ F(x) \]

By construction:

\[ F(-\infty) = P(\emptyset) = 0 \]

\[ F(+\infty) = P(\Omega) = 1 \]

\[ F(a) = \int_{-\infty}^{a} f(x) \, dx \]

\[ P(a < X < b) = F(b) - F(a) = \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx \]

**Note** - Discrete variables can also be described by a probability density function using Dirac distributions:

\[ f(x) = \sum_{i} p(i) \delta(i - x) \]

with \( \sum_{i} p(i) = 1 \)
Moments

- For any function $g(x)$, the **expectation** of $g$ is:
  \[ E[g(X)] = \int g(x) f(x) \, dx \quad \text{Mean value of } g \]

- **Moments** $\mu_k$ are the expectation of $X^k$
  
  - $0^{th}$ moment: $\mu_0 = 1$ (pdf normalization)
  - $1^{st}$ moment: $\mu_1 = \mu$ (mean)
  - $X' = X - \mu_1$ is called a **central variable**
  - $2^{nd}$ central moment: $\mu'_2 = \sigma^2$ (variance)

- **Characteristic function**: $\phi(t) = E[e^{i t x}] = \int f(x) e^{i t x} \, dx = FT^{-1}[f]$

Taylor expansion

\[ \phi(t) = \int \sum_k \frac{(i t x)^k}{k!} f(x) \, dx = \sum_k \frac{(i t)^k}{k!} \mu_k \]

\[ \mu_k = -i^k \frac{d^k \phi}{d t^k} \bigg|_{t=0} \]

Pdf entirely defined by its moments

Characteristic function: usefull tool for demonstrations
• A **sample** is obtained from a **random drawing** within a **population**, described by a probability density function.

• We’re going to discuss how to characterize, independently from one another:
  
  - a **population**
  - a **sample**

• To this end, it is useful to consider a sample as a finite set from which one can randomly draw elements, with equiprobability.

We can then associate to this process a probability density: the **empirical density** or **sample density**

\[ f_{\text{sample}}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \delta(x - i) \]

This density will be useful to translate properties of distribution to a finite sample.
Characterizing a distribution

How to reduce a distribution / sample to a finite number of values?

- **Measure of location:**
  
  Reducing the distribution to **one central value**

  ![Result]

- **Measure of dispersion:**
  
  **Spread** of the distribution around the central value

  ![Uncertainty / Error]

- **Frequency table / histogram** (for a finite sample)
Location and dispersion

Mean value: Sum (integral) of all possible values weighted by the probability of occurrence

\[ \mu = \bar{x} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f(x) \, dx \]

\[ \mu = \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \]

Standard deviation (\( \sigma \)) and variance (\( \nu = \sigma^2 \)): Mean value of the squared deviation to the mean

\[ \nu = \sigma^2 = \int (x - \mu)^2 f(x) \, dx \]

\[ \nu = \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu)^2 \]

Koenig’s theorem:

\[ \sigma^2 = \int x^2 f(x) \, dx + \mu^2 \int f(x) \, dx - 2\mu \int x f(x) \, dx = \bar{x}^2 - \mu^2 = \overline{x^2} - \bar{x}^2 \]
Discrete distributions

- **Binomial distribution**: randomly choosing \( K \) objects within a finite set of \( n \), with a fixed drawing probability of \( p \)

  \[
  P(k; n, p) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k}
  \]

  Variable : \( K \)
  Parameters : \( n, p \)
  Law : \( P(k; n, p) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^k (1 - p)^{n-k} \)
  Mean : \( np \)
  Variance : \( np(1 - p) \)

- **Poisson distribution**: limit of the binomial when \( n \to +\infty \), \( p \to 0 \), \( np = \lambda \)

  Counting events with fixed probability per time/space unit.

  Variable : \( K \)
  Parameters : \( \lambda \)
  Law : \( P(k; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \)
  Mean : \( \lambda \)
  Variance : \( \lambda \)
Real distributions

- **Uniform distribution**: equiprobability over a finite range $[a, b]$
  
  Parameters: $a, b$
  
  Law: $f(x; a, b) = \frac{1}{b - a}$ if $a < x < b$
  
  Mean: $\mu = (a + b)/2$
  
  Variance: $\nu = \sigma^2 = (b - a)^2/12$

- **Normal distribution**: limit of many processes
  
  Parameters: $\mu, \sigma$
  
  Law: $f(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$

- **Chi-square distribution**: sum of the square of $n$ normal reduced variables
  
  Variable: $C = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{X_k - \mu X_k}{\sigma X_k} \right)^2$
  
  Parameters: $n$
  
  Law: $f(C; n) = C^{n/2 - 1} e^{-C/2} / 2^{n/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)$
  
  Mean: $n$  
  Variance: $2n$
Convergence

**Binomial distribution**

\[
P(k; n, p) = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}
\]

\[
\mu = np \quad \sigma = \sqrt{np(1-p)}
\]

- \( p \) small, \( k \ll n \)
- \( np = \lambda \)

**Poisson distribution**

\[
P(k; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}
\]

\[
\mu = \lambda \quad \sigma = \sqrt{\lambda}
\]

- \( \lambda \gtrsim 25 \)

**Normal distribution**

\[
f(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}
\]

\[
\mu = \mu \quad \sigma = \sigma
\]

- \( n \gtrsim 50 \)

**Chi-square distribution**

\[
f(C; n) = C^{\frac{n}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{C}{2}} / 2^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} \right)
\]

\[
\mu = n \quad \sigma = \sqrt{2n}
\]

- \( n \gtrsim 30 \)
Random variables can be generalized to random vectors:

\[ \vec{X} = (X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n) \]

The probability density function becomes:

\[
f(\vec{x}) d\vec{x} = f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 dx_2 \ldots dx_n
\]

\[ = P(x_1 < X_1 < x_1 + dx_1 \text{ and } x_2 < X_2 < x_2 + dx_2 \ldots
\]

\[ ... \text{and } x_n < X_n < x_n + dx_n) \]

and \( P(a < X < b \text{ and } c < Y < d) = \int_a^b dx \int_c^d dy f(x, y) \)

Marginal density: probability of only one of the component

\[ f_X(x) dx = P(x < X < x + dx \text{ and } -\infty < Y < +\infty) = \int f(x, y) dx dy \]

\[ f_X(x) = \int f(x, y) dy \]
Multidimensional PDF

• For a fixed value of $Y = y_0$:
  
  $f(x|y_0)\,dx = \text{"Probability of } x < X < x + dx \text{ knowing that } Y = y_0\text{"}$

  is a **conditional density for** $X$. It is proportional to $f(x, y)$

  Therefore: $f(x|y) \propto f(x, y)$, $\int f(x|y)\,dx = 1$

  $f(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{\int f(x, y)\,dx} = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}$

• The two random variables $X$ and $Y$ are **independent** if all events of the form $x < X < x + dx$ are independent from $y < Y < y + dy$

  $f(x|y) = f_X(x)$ and $f(y|x) = f_Y(y)$ hence $f(x, y) = f_X(x) \cdot f_Y(y)$

• For probability density functions, Bayes’ theorem becomes:

  $f(y|x) = \frac{f(x|y)f_Y(y)}{f_X(x)} = \frac{f(x|y)f_Y(y)}{\int f(x|y)f_Y(y)\,dy}$
A random vector \((X, Y)\) can be treated as 2 separate variables marginal densities mean and standard deviation for each variable: \(\mu_X, \mu_Y, \sigma_X, \sigma_Y\)

These quantities do not take into account correlations between the variables:

- Generalized measure of dispersion: Covariance of \(X\) and \(Y\)

\[
\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \int \int (x - \mu_X)(y - \mu_Y)f(x, y)dx\,dy = \rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y = \mu_{XY} - \mu_X \mu_Y
\]

\[
\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \mu_X)(y_i - \mu_Y)
\]

- Correlation: \(\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_Y}\)

Uncorrelated variables: \(\rho = 0\)

Independent \arrow{right} Uncorrelated
Decorrelation

- Covariance matrix for \( n \) variables \( X_i \):

\[
\Sigma_{ij} = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j) \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_1^2 & \rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \cdots & \rho_{1n}\sigma_1\sigma_n \\
\rho_{12}\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & \rho_{2n}\sigma_2\sigma_n \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\rho_{1n}\sigma_1\sigma_n & \rho_{2n}\sigma_2\sigma_n & \cdots & \sigma_n^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

- For **uncorrelated variables** \( \Sigma \) is **diagonal**

- Matrix **real** and **symmetric**: \( \Sigma \) can be diagonalized

Definition of \( n \) new uncorrelated variables \( Y_i \)

\[
\Sigma' = \begin{bmatrix}
\sigma_1'^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_2'^2 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_n'^2
\end{bmatrix}
= B^{-1}\Sigma B \quad \text{with} \quad Y = BX
\]

\( \sigma_i'^2 \) are the **eigenvalues** of \( \Sigma \)

\( B \) contains the orthonormal eigenvectors

- The \( Y_i \) are the principal components. Sorted from the largest to the smallest \( \sigma_i' \), they allow dimensional reduction
Regression

- Measure of location:
  - A point: \((\mu_X, \mu_Y)\)
  - A curve: line which is the closest to the points \(\text{\textbf{linear regression}}\)

- Minimizing the dispersion between the curve “\(y = ax + b\)” and the distribution

Let: \(w(a, b) = \int \int (y - ax - b)^2 f(x, y) \, dx \, dy\)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial w}{\partial a} &= 0 = \int \int x(y - ax - b) f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial b} &= 0 = \int \int (y - ax - b) f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \\
an(\sigma_X^2 + \mu_X^2) + b\mu_X &= \rho\sigma_X\sigma_Y + \mu_X\mu_Y \\
a\mu_X + b &= \mu_Y
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= \rho \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} \\
b &= \mu_Y - \rho \frac{\sigma_Y}{\sigma_X} \mu_X
\end{align*}
\]

- Fully correlated \(\rho = 1\)
- Fully anti-correlated \(\rho = -1\)

Then \(Y = aX + b\)
**Multidimensional PDFs**

- **Multinomial distribution**: randomly choosing $K_1, K_2, \ldots K_S$ objects within a finite set of $n$, with a fixed drawing probability for each category $p_1, p_2, \ldots p_S$ with $\sum K_i = n$ and $\sum p_i = 1$

  Parameters: $n, p_1, p_2, \ldots p_S$

  Law: $P(\vec{k}; n, \vec{p}) = \frac{n!}{k_1!k_2!\ldots k_S!} p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \ldots p_S^{k_S}$

  Mean: $\mu_i = np_i$

  Variance: $\sigma_i^2 = np_i(1 - p_i)$ \quad Cov($K_i, K_j$) = $-np_ip_j$

  **Note**: Variables are not independent. The binomial corresponds to $S = 2$ but has only one independent variable

- **Multinormal distribution**:

  Parameters: $\mu, \Sigma$

  Law: $f(\vec{x}; \mu, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi||\Sigma||}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\vec{x} - \mu)}$

  If uncorrelated: $f(\vec{x}; \mu, \Sigma) = \prod \frac{1}{\sigma_i \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x_i - \mu_i)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}}$

  Independent $\iff$ Uncorrelated
Sum of random variables

- The sum of several random variables is a new random variable $S$
  \[ S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \]
- Assuming the mean and variance of each variable exist:
  - **Mean value** of $S$
    \[
    \mu_S = \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \right) f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \, dx_1 \ldots \, dx_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int x_i f_{X_i}(x_i) \, dx_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i
    \]
    The mean is an additive quantity
  - **Variance** of $S$
    \[
    \sigma_S^2 = \int \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \mu_{X_i} \right)^2 f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \, dx_1 \ldots \, dx_n
    \]
    \[= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{X_i}^2 + 2 \sum_{i} \sum_{j<i} \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)\]
    For **uncorrelated variables**, the variance is an additive quantity
    used for error combinations

Florian Ruppin - ESIPAP - 02/02/2018
Sum of random variables

- Probability density function of $S$: $f_S(s)$
- Using the characteristic function:
  $$\phi_S(t) = \int f_S(s)e^{ist} ds = \int f_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x})e^{it\sum x_i} d\vec{x}$$

For independent variables:
  $$\phi_S(t) = \prod \int f_{X_k}(x_k)e^{itx_k} dx_k = \prod \phi_{X_i}(t)$$

  The characteristic function factorizes.

- The PDF is the **Fourier transform** of the characteristic function, therefore:
  $$f_S = f_{X_1} \ast f_{X_2} \ast \ldots \ast f_{X_n}$$

The PDF of the sum of random variables is the convolution of the individual PDFs

Sum of Normal variables → Normal
Sum of Poisson variables ($\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$) → Poisson with $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$
Sum of Chi-2 variables ($n_1$ and $n_2$) → Khi-2 with $n = n_1 + n_2$
Sum of independent variables

- **Weak law of large numbers**

  Sample of size $n = \text{realization of } n$ independent variables with the same distribution (mean $\mu$, variance $\sigma^2$)

  The sample mean is a realization of
  \[
  M = \frac{S}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i
  \]

  - **Mean value** of $M$: $\mu_M = \mu$
  - **Variance** of $M$: $\sigma^2_M = \sigma^2 / n$

- **Central limit theorem**

  $n$ independent random variables of mean $\mu_i$ and variance $\sigma^2_i$

  Sum of the reduced variables:
  \[
  C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum \frac{X_i - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}
  \]

  The PDF of $C$ converges to a reduced normal distribution:
  \[
  f_C(c) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{c^2}{2}}
  \]

  The sum of many random fluctuations is normally distributed
Central limit theorem

Gaussian
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Dispersion and uncertainty

• Any measure (or combination of measures) is a realization of a random variable.
  - Measured value: \( \theta \)
  - True value: \( \theta_0 \)

• The **uncertainty** quantifies the difference between \( \theta \) and \( \theta_0 \):
  - **Measure of dispersion**
  - Postulate: \( \Delta \theta = \alpha \sigma_\theta \)  \( \rightarrow \) Absolute error always positive

• Usually one differentiates:
  - **Statistical errors**: due to the measurement PDF
  - **Systematic errors** or bias: fixed but unknown deviation (equipment, assumptions, …)
    Systematic errors can be seen as statistical error in a set of similar experiments
**Error sources**

Observation error: $\Delta_O$

Scaling error: $\Delta_S$

Position error: $\Delta_P$

Measured value: $\theta = \theta_0 + \delta_O + \delta_S + \delta_P$

- Each $\delta_i$ is a realization of a random variable of mean 0 and variance $\sigma_i^2$

For uncorrelated error sources:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta_O &= \alpha \sigma_O \\
\Delta_S &= \alpha \sigma_S \\
\Delta_P &= \alpha \sigma_P \\
\Delta_{\text{tot}}^2 &= (\alpha \sigma_{\text{tot}})^2 = \alpha^2 (\sigma_O^2 + \sigma_S^2 + \sigma_P^2) = \Delta_O^2 + \Delta_S^2 + \Delta_P^2
\end{align*}
\]

- **Choice for $\alpha$:**
  
  Many sources of error $\rightarrow$ central limit theorem $\rightarrow$ normal distribution

  $\alpha = 1$ gives (approximately) a 68% confidence interval

  $\alpha = 2$ gives a 95% confidence interval
Error propagation

- **Measure:** \( x \pm \Delta x \)
- **Compute:** \( f(x) \rightarrow \Delta f \)

Assuming small errors and using the Taylor expansion:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f(x + \Delta x) &= f(x) + \frac{df}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} \Delta x^2 \\
    f(x - \Delta x) &= f(x) - \frac{df}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} \Delta x^2
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Delta f = \frac{1}{2} |f(x + \Delta x) - f(x - \Delta x)| = \frac{df}{dx} \Delta x
\]
Error propagation

- **Measure:** \( x \pm \Delta x, \ y \pm \Delta y \)
- **Compute:** \( f(x, y, \ldots) \rightarrow \Delta f \) ?

**Method:** Treat the effect of each variable as separate **error sources**

\[
\Delta_x f = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right| \Delta x \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_y f = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| \Delta y
\]

Then:

\[
\Delta f^2 = \Delta_x f^2 + \Delta_y f^2 + 2 \rho_{xy} \Delta_x f \Delta_y f = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \Delta x \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \Delta y \right)^2 + 2 \rho_{xy} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| \Delta x \Delta y
\]

\[
\Delta f^2 = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Delta x_i \right)^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j<i} \rho_{x_i x_j} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right| \Delta x_i \Delta x_j
\]

- **Uncorrelated**
- **Correlated**
- **Anticorrelated**

\[
\Delta f^2 = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Delta x_i \right)^2 \quad \Delta f = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right| \Delta x + \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| \Delta y \quad \Delta f = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right| \Delta x - \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right| \Delta y
\]
Parametric estimation

- Estimating a parameter $\theta$ from a finite sample $\{x_i\}$

- **Statistic:** a function $S = f(\{x_i\})$

Any statistic can be considered as an **estimator** of $\theta$

To be a good estimator it needs to satisfy:

- **Consistency:** limit of the estimator for an infinite sample
- **Bias:** difference between the estimator and the true value
- **Efficiency:** speed of convergence
- **Robustness:** sensitivity to statistical fluctuations

- A good estimator should at least be **consistent** and **asymptotically unbiased**

- Efficient / Unbiased / Robust often contradict each others

  Need to make a choice for a given situation
Bias and consistency

- As the sample is a set of realizations of random variables (or one vector variable), so is the estimator:

\[ \hat{\theta} \text{ is a realization of } \Theta \]

It has a mean, a variance, ..., and a probability density function

- **Bias**: characterize the mean value of the estimator

\[ b(\hat{\theta}) = E[\hat{\Theta} - \theta_0] = \mu_{\hat{\Theta}} - \theta_0 \]

**Unbiased estimator**: \( b(\hat{\theta}) = 0 \)

**Asymptotically unbiased**: \( b(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0 \)

- **Consistency**: formally

\[ P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| < \epsilon) \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 1, \forall \epsilon \]

In practice, if the estimator is asymptotically unbiased

\[ \sigma_{\hat{\Theta}} \xrightarrow{n \to +\infty} 0 \]
• For any unbiased estimator of $\theta$, the variance cannot exceed (Cramer-Rao bound):

$$\sigma^2_{\hat{\theta}} \geq \frac{1}{E \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \right]} \left( = \frac{-1}{E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2} \right]} \right)$$

• The **efficiency** of a convergent estimator is given by its **variance**.

An **efficient estimator** reaches the Cramer-Rao bound (at least asymptotically)

$\rightarrow$ Minimal variance estimator

• The minimal variance estimator will often be biased, asymptotically unbiased
Empirical estimator

- **Sample mean** is a good estimator of the **population mean**

  \[ \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \quad \mu \hat{\mu} = E[\hat{\mu}] = \mu \quad \sigma^2_{\hat{\mu}} = E[(\hat{\mu} - \mu)^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \]

- **Sample variance** as an estimator of the **population variance**:

  \[ \hat{s}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (x_i - \mu)^2 \right) - (\hat{\mu} - \mu)^2 \]

  \[ E[\hat{s}^2] = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sigma^2 \right) - \sigma^2_{\hat{\mu}} = \sigma^2 - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 \quad \text{biased, asymptotically unbiased} \]

  **unbiased variance estimator:**

  \[ \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2 \]

  Variance of the estimator (convergence):

  \[ \sigma^2_{\hat{\sigma}^2} = \frac{\sigma^4}{n-1} \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \gamma_2 + 2 \right) \rightarrow \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \]
Errors on estimators

Uncertainty  ⇄ Estimator standard deviation

• Use an estimator of standard deviation: \( \hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2} \) (biased !)

• Mean: \( \hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i, \quad \sigma^2_{\hat{\mu}} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow \Delta\hat{\mu} = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}^2}{n}} \)

• Variance: \( \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x_i - \hat{\mu})^2, \quad \sigma^2_{\hat{\sigma}^2} \approx \frac{2\sigma^4}{n} \rightarrow \Delta\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n} \hat{\sigma}^2} \)

• Central-Limit theorem  empirical estimators of mean and variance are normally distributed for large enough samples

\( \hat{\mu} \pm \Delta\hat{\mu}, \quad \hat{\sigma} \pm \Delta\hat{\sigma} \) define 68% confidence intervals
Likelihood function

**Generic function** \( k(x, \theta) \)

\( x \): random variable(s)

\( \theta \): parameter(s)

\[ \text{fix } \theta = \theta_0 \text{ (true value)} \]

\[ \text{fix } x = u \text{ (one realization of the random variable)} \]

**Probability density function**

\[ f(x; \theta) = k(x, \theta_0) \]

\[ \int f(x; \theta) \, dx = 1 \]

for Bayesian \( f(x|\theta) = f(x; \theta) \)

**Likelihood function**

\[ \mathcal{L}(\theta) = k(u, \theta) \]

\[ \int \mathcal{L}(\theta) \, d\theta = ???? \]

for Bayesian \( f(\theta|x) = \mathcal{L}(\theta) \bigg/ \int \mathcal{L}(\theta) \, d\theta \)

For a **sample**: \( n \) independent realizations of the same variable \( X \)

\[ \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \prod_i k(x_i, \theta) = \prod_i f(x_i; \theta) \]
Maximum likelihood

• Let a sample of measurements: \( \{x_i\} \)

The analytical form of the density is known and depends on several unknown parameters \( \theta \)

For example: Event counting follows a Poisson distribution with a parameter \( \lambda_i(\theta) \) depending on the physics.

\[
\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \prod_i e^{\lambda_i(\theta)} \frac{\lambda_i(\theta)^{x_i}}{x_i!}
\]

• An estimator of the parameters \( \theta \) is given by the position of the maximum of the likelihood function

Parameter values which maximize the probability to get the observed results

\[
\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} \bigg|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = 0
\]

Note: system of equations for several parameters

Note: minimizing \(-\ln \mathcal{L}\) often simplify the expression
Properties of MLE

- Mostly **asymptotic properties**: valid for large samples, often assumed in any case for lack of better information

Asymptotically **unbiased**

Asymptotically **efficient** (reaches the Cramer-Rao bound)

Asymptotically **normally distributed**

→ Multinormal law with covariance given by a generalization of the CR bound:

\[
f(\hat{\theta}; \bar{\theta}, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi |\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\theta} - \bar{\theta})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \bar{\theta})}
\]

\[\sum_{ij}^{-1} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta_j} \right]\]

- **Goodness of fit**: The value of \(-2\ln \mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta})\) is Chi-2 distributed with

\[\text{ndf} = \text{sample size} - \text{number of parameters}\]

\[p - \text{value} = \int_{-2\ln \mathcal{L}(\hat{\theta})}^{+\infty} f_{\chi^2}(x; \text{ndf}) \, dx\]

Probability of getting a worse agreement
Errors on MLE

\[ f(\hat{\theta}; \bar{\theta}, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi|\Sigma|}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\theta} - \bar{\theta})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\hat{\theta} - \bar{\theta})} \]

\[ \Sigma_{ij}^{-1} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta_i} \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta_j} \right] \]

- Errors on the parameters given by the covariance matrix
- For one parameter, 68% confidence interval: \( \Delta \theta = \hat{\sigma} \hat{\theta} = \sqrt{-1 \frac{\partial^2 \ln L}{\partial \theta^2}} \) only one realization of the estimator: empirical mean of 1 value
- More generally:

\[ \Delta \ln L = \ln L(\hat{\theta}) - \ln L(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \Sigma_{ij}^{-1}(\theta_i - \hat{\theta}_i)(\theta_j - \hat{\theta}_j) + O(\theta^3) \]

Confidence contours are defined by the equation:

\[ \Delta \ln L = \beta(n_\theta, \alpha) \text{ with } \alpha = \int_0^{2\beta} f_{\chi^2}(x; n_\theta) dx \]

\[ \begin{array}{c|ccc}
 n_\theta & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
 \hline 
 \alpha \downarrow & & & \\
 68.3 & 0.5 & 1.15 & 1.76 \\
 95.4 & 2 & 3.09 & 4.01 \\
 99.7 & 4.5 & 5.92 & 7.08 \\
\end{array} \]

Values of \( \beta \) for different number parameters \( n_\theta \) and confidence levels \( \alpha \)
Least squares

- Set of measurements \((x_i, y_i)\) with uncertainties on \(y_i\)
  
  Theoretical law given by: \(y = f(x, \theta)\)

- Naive approach: use regression
  
  \[
  w(\theta) = \sum_i (y_i - f(x_i, \theta))^2 \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta_i} = 0
  \]

- Reweight each term by its associated error:
  
  \[
  K^2(\theta) = \sum_i \left( \frac{y_i - f(x_i, \theta)}{\Delta y_i} \right)^2 \quad \frac{\partial K^2}{\partial \theta_i} = 0
  \]

- Maximum likelihood assumes that each \(y_i\) is normally distributed with a mean equal to \(f(x_i, \theta)\) and a standard deviation given by \(\Delta y_i\)

- The likelihood is then
  
  \[
  L(\theta) = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Delta y_i}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{y_i - f(x_i, \theta)}{\Delta y_i} \right)^2}
  \]

  \[
  \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = 0 \iff -2 \frac{\partial \ln L}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial K^2}{\partial \theta} = 0
  \]

  Least squares or Chi-2 fit is the maximum likelihood estimator for Gaussian errors

- Generic case with correlations:
  
  \[
  K^2(\vec{\theta}) = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{y} - \vec{f}(x, \vec{\theta}))^T \Sigma^{-1} (\vec{y} - \vec{f}(x, \vec{\theta}))
  \]
Example: fitting a line

For \( f(x) = ax \)

\[ K^2(a) = \Delta a^2 - 2B \Delta a + C = -2\ln \mathcal{L} \]

\[
A = \sum_i \frac{x_i^2}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad B = \sum_i \frac{x_i y_i}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad C = \sum_i \frac{y_i^2}{\Delta y_i^2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial K^2}{\partial a} = 2A a - 2B = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{a} = \frac{B}{A}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 K^2}{\partial a^2} = 2A = \frac{2}{\sigma_a^2} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta \hat{a} = \sigma_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}}
\]

Erreurs d'ajustement : a
- intervalle à 68.3%
- intervalle à 95.4%
- intervalle à 99.7%
Example: fitting a line

For \( f(x) = ax + b \)

\[ K^2(a, b) = A a^2 + B b^2 + 2C a b - 2D a - 2E b + F = -2 \ln \mathcal{L} \]

\[
A = \sum_i \frac{x_i^2}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad B = \sum_i \frac{1}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad C = \sum_i \frac{x_i y_i}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad D = \sum_i \frac{x_i y_i}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad E = \sum_i \frac{y_i}{\Delta y_i^2}, \quad F = \sum_i \frac{y_i^2}{\Delta y_i^2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial K^2}{\partial a} = 2A a + 2C b - 2D = 0 \quad \frac{\partial K^2}{\partial b} = 2C a + 2B b - 2E = 0 \]

\[
\frac{\partial^2 K^2}{\partial a^2} = 2A = 2 \Sigma_{11}^{-1} \quad \frac{\partial^2 K^2}{\partial b^2} = 2B = 2 \Sigma_{22}^{-1} \quad \frac{\partial^2 K^2}{\partial a \partial b} = 2C = 2 \Sigma_{12}^{-1}
\]

\[
\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \frac{1}{AB - C^2} \begin{bmatrix} B & -C \\ -C & A \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Delta \hat{a} = \sigma_a = \sqrt{\frac{B}{AB - C^2}}, \quad \Delta \hat{b} = \sigma_b = \sqrt{\frac{A}{AB - C^2}}
\]
Example: fitting a line

- Two dimensional error contours on $a$ and $b$

![Contour plot showing error contours for parameters $a$ and $b$. The plot includes contours at 68.3%, 95.4%, and 99.7%.](Image)
Non-parametric estimation

- Directly estimating the probability density function
  - Likelihood ratio discriminant
  - Separating power of variables
  - Data / Monte Carlo agreement
  - ...

- **Frequency table:** For a sample \( \{x_i\}, \ i = 1\ldots n \)
  1. Define successive intervals (bins) \( C_k = [a_k, a_{k+1}[ \)
  2. Count the number of events \( n_k \) in \( C_k \)

- **Histogram:** Graphical representation of the frequency table \( h(x) = n_k \) if \( x \in C_k \)
### Histogram

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Number of N/Z</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1.30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.30 - 1.33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33 - 1.36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.36 - 1.39</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.0818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.39 - 1.42</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.1182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.42 - 1.45</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bin</th>
<th>Number of N/Z</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.45 - 1.48</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.2363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.48 - 1.51</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.1727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.51 - 1.54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.54 - 1.57</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.57 - 1.60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**N/Z for stable heavy nuclei**

1.321, 1.357, 1.392, 1.410, 1.428, 1.446, 1.464, 1.421, 1.438, 1.344, 1.379, 1.413, 1.448, 1.389, 1.366, 1.383, 1.400, 1.416, 1.433, 1.466, 1.500, 1.322, 1.370, 1.387, 1.403, 1.419, 1.451, 1.483, 1.396, 1.428, 1.375, 1.406, 1.421, 1.437, 1.453, 1.468, 1.500, 1.446, 1.363, 1.393, 1.424, 1.439, 1.454, 1.469, 1.484, 1.462, 1.382, 1.411, 1.441, 1.455, 1.470, 1.500, 1.449, 1.400, 1.428, 1.442, 1.457, 1.471, 1.485, 1.514, 1.464, 1.478, 1.416, 1.444, 1.458, 1.472, 1.486, 1.500, 1.465, 1.479, 1.432, 1.459, 1.472, 1.486, 1.513, 1.466, 1.493, 1.421, 1.447, 1.460, 1.473, 1.486, 1.500, 1.526, 1.480, 1.506, 1.435, 1.461, 1.487, 1.500, 1.512, 1.538, 1.493, 1.450, 1.475, 1.500, 1.512, 1.525, 1.550, 1.506, 1.530, 1.487, 1.512, 1.524, 1.536, 1.518, 1.577, 1.554, 1.586, 1.586
Histogram as PDF estimator

- Statistical description: \( n_k \) are multinomial random variables

Parameters: \( n = \sum_k n_k \quad p_k = P(x \in C_k) = \int_{C_k} f_X(x) dx \)

\[
\mu_{n_k} = np_k \quad \sigma^2_{n_k} = np_k(1 - p_k) \approx \mu_{n_k} \quad \text{Cov}(n_k, n_r) = -np_k p_r \approx 0 \quad \text{for } p_k \ll 1
\]

For a large sample:

\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{n_k}{n} = \frac{\mu_k}{n} = p_k
\]

For small classes (width \( \delta \)):

\[
p_k = \int_{C_k} f_X(x) dx \approx \delta f(x_c) \Rightarrow \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{p_k}{\delta} = f(x)
\]

Finally:

\[
f(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n\delta} h(x)
\]

- The histogram is an estimator of the probability density function

- Each bin can be described by a Poisson density

The 1\( \sigma \) error on \( n_k \) is then:

\[
\Delta n_k = \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2_{n_k}} = \sqrt{\hat{\mu}_{n_k}} = \sqrt{n_k}
\]
For a random variable, a **confidence interval** with **confidence level** \( \alpha \), is any interval \([a, b]\) such that:

\[
P(X \in [a, b]) = \int_a^b f_X(x) \, dx = \alpha
\]

Probability of finding a realization inside the interval

- Generalization of the concept of uncertainty:
  interval that contains the true value with a given probability

- For **Bayesians**: the posterior density is the probability density of the true value.
  
  It can be used to estimate an interval: \( P(\theta \in [a, b]) = \alpha \)

- No such thing for a Frequentist: the interval itself becomes the random variable \([a, b]\) is a realization of \([A, B]\)

\[
P(A < \theta \text{ and } B > \theta) = \alpha \quad \text{independently of } \theta
\]
Confidence interval

- Mean centered, symmetric interval: $[\mu - a, \mu + a]$
  
  $$\int_{\mu-a}^{\mu+a} f(x)\,dx = \alpha$$

- Mean centered, probability symmetric interval: $[a, b]$
  
  $$\int_a^\mu f(x)\,dx = \int_{\mu}^b f(x)\,dx = \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

- Highest probability density (HDP) interval: $[a, b]$
  
  $$\int_a^b f(x)\,dx = \alpha$$
  
  $f(x) > f(y)$ for $x \in [a, b]$ and $y \notin [a, b]$
To build a frequentist interval for an estimator \( \hat{\theta} \) of \( \theta \):

1. Make pseudo-experiments for several values of \( \theta \) and compute the estimator \( \hat{\theta} \) for each (Monte Carlo sampling of the estimator PDF).

2. For each \( \theta \), determine \( \Xi(\theta) \) and \( \Omega(\theta) \) such that:
   \( \hat{\theta} < \Xi(\theta) \) for a fraction \( (1 - \alpha)/2 \) of the pseudo-experiments and
   \( \hat{\theta} > \Omega(\theta) \) for a fraction \( (1 - \alpha)/2 \) of the pseudo-experiments.

   These 2 curves are the confidence belt for a confidence level \( \alpha \).

3. Inverse these functions. The interval \([\Omega^{-1}(\hat{\theta}), \Xi^{-1}(\hat{\theta})]\) satisfies:

\[
P \left( \Xi^{-1}(\hat{\theta}) < \theta < \Omega^{-1}(\hat{\theta}) \right) = 1 - P \left( \Xi^{-1}(\hat{\theta}) < \theta \right) - P \left( \Omega^{-1}(\hat{\theta}) > \theta \right)
= 1 - P \left( \hat{\theta} < \Xi(\theta) \right) - P \left( \hat{\theta} > \Omega(\theta) \right) = \alpha
\]

Confidence belt for a Poisson parameter \( \lambda \) estimated with the empirical mean of 3 realizations (68% CL)
Dealing with systematics

- The variance of the estimator only measures the statistical uncertainty.

- Often, we will have to deal with parameters whose value is known with limit precision.

  Systematic uncertainties

- The likelihood function becomes:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta, \nu) \quad \text{with} \quad \nu = \nu_0 \pm \Delta \nu \quad \text{or} \quad \nu_0^{+\Delta \nu^+}_{-\Delta \nu^-}$$

The known parameters $\nu$ are nuisance parameters.
• In **Bayesian statistics**, nuisance parameters are dealt with by assigning them a prior $\pi(\nu)$.

• Usually a multinormal law is used with mean $\nu_0$ and covariance matrix estimated from $\Delta \nu_0$ (+ correlation if needed)

$$f(\theta, \nu|x) = \frac{f(x|\theta, \nu)\pi(\theta)\pi(\nu)}{\int \int f(x|\theta, \nu)\pi(\theta)\pi(\nu)d\theta d\nu}$$

• The final posterior distribution is obtained by **marginalization** over the nuisance parameters:

$$f(\theta|x) = \int f(\theta, \nu|x)d\nu = \frac{\int f(x|\theta, \nu)\pi(\theta)\pi(\nu)d\nu}{\int \int f(x|\theta, \nu)\pi(\theta)\pi(\nu)d\theta d\nu}$$
Profile Likelihood

- No true frequentist way to add systematic effects. Popular method of the day: profiling

- Deal with nuisance parameters as realization of random variables:
  
  \[
  L(\theta, \nu) \rightarrow L'(\theta, \nu)G(\nu)
  \]

- \( G(\nu) \) is the likelihood of the new parameters (identical to prior)

- For each value of \( \theta \), maximize the likelihood with respect to nuisance: profile likelihood \( PL(\theta) \)

- \( PL(\theta) \) has the same statistical asymptotical properties than the regular likelihood
Statistical tests

- Statistical tests aim at:
  - Checking the **compatibility** of a dataset \( \{ x_i \} \) with a given distribution
  - Checking the **compatibility of two datasets** \( \{ x_i \}, \{ y_i \} \): are they issued from the same distribution?
  - **Comparing different hypothesis**: background VS signal + background

- In every case:
  - Build a statistic that quantifies the agreement with the hypothesis
  - **Convert it into a probability** of compatibility/incompatibility: **p-value**
Pearson test

• Test for **binned data:** use the Poisson limit of the histogram
  
  • Sort the sample into $k$ bins $C_i : n_i$
  
  • Compute the probability of this class: $p_i = \int_{C_i} f(x) \, dx$
  
  • For each bin, the test statistics compares the deviation of the observation from the expected mean to the theoretical standard deviation.

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{\text{bins } i} \frac{(n_i - np_i)^2}{np_i}
\]

• $\chi^2$ follows (asymptotically) a Chi-2 law with $k - 1$ degrees of freedom (one constraint $\sum n_i = n$)

• **p-value:** probability of doing worse: $p - \text{value} = \int_{\chi^2}^{+\infty} f_{\chi^2}(x; k - 1) \, dx$

  For a “good” agreement: $\chi^2 / (k - 1) \sim 1$

  More precisely: $\chi^2 \in (k - 1) \pm \sqrt{2(k - 1)}$ \hspace{1cm} (1σ interval $\sim 68\%$ CL)
Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

- Test for unbinned data: compare the sample cumulative density function to the tested one
- Sample PDF (ordered sample)

\[ f_S(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta(x - i) \quad \Rightarrow \quad F_S(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & x < x_0 \\
\frac{k}{n} & x_k \leq x < x_{k+1} \\
1 & x > x_n 
\end{cases} \]

- The Kolmogorov statistic is the largest deviation:

\[ D_n = \sup_x |F_S(x) - F(x)| \]

- The test distribution has been computed by Kolmogorov:

\[ P(D_n > \beta \sqrt{n}) = 2 \sum_r (-1)^{r-1} e^{-2r^2z^2} \]

\[ [0, \beta] \text{ defines a confidence interval for } D_n \]

\[ \beta = \frac{0.9584}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ for } 68.3\% \text{ CL} \quad \beta = \frac{1.3754}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ for } 95.4\% \text{ CL} \]
Test compatibility with an exponential law: \[ f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, \quad \lambda = 0.4 \]

\[
0.008, 0.036, 0.112, 0.115, 0.133, 0.178, 0.189, 0.238, 0.274, 0.323, 0.364, 0.386, 0.406, 0.409, 0.418, 0.421, 0.423, 0.455, \\
0.459, 0.496, 0.519, 0.522, 0.534, 0.582, 0.606, 0.624, 0.649, 0.687, 0.689, 0.764, 0.768, 0.774, 0.825, 0.843, 0.921, 0.987, \\
0.992, 1.003, 1.004, 1.015, 1.034, 1.064, 1.112, 1.159, 1.163, 1.208, 1.253, 1.287, 1.317, 1.320, 1.333, 1.412, 1.421, 1.438, \\
1.574, 1.719, 1.769, 1.830, 1.853, 1.930, 2.041, 2.053, 2.119, 2.146, 2.167, 2.237, 2.243, 2.249, 2.318, 2.325, 2.349, 2.372, \\
6.556, 6.670, 7.673, 8.071, 8.165, 8.181, 8.383, 8.557, 8.606, 9.032, 10.482, 14.174
\]

\[
D_n = 0.069
\]

\[
p - \text{value} = 0.0617
\]

\[
1\sigma : [0, 0.0875]
\]