A Simple Proof for the Existence of "Good" Pairs of Nested Lattices

Or Ordentlich Joint work with Uri Erez

November 15th, IEEEI 2012 Eilat, Israel

Ordentlich and Erez Simple Existence Proof for "Good" Pairs of Nested Lattices

.

What are lattices?



 A lattice ∧ is a discrete subgroup of ℝⁿ closed under addition and reflection.

< E



The Voronoi region V of a lattice point is the set of all points in ℝⁿ which are closest to it.

< E.



 The Voronoi region V of a lattice point is the set of all points in ℝⁿ which are closest to it.



 A sequence of lattices is good for AWGN coding if the probability that an AWGN (with appropriate variance) is not contained in V vanishes with the dimension.



 The covering radius is the radius of the smallest ball that contains the Voronoi region V.



• The effective radius is the radius of a ball with the same volume as the Voronoi region \mathcal{V} .

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と



• A sequence of lattices is good for covering ("Rogers-good") if $\frac{r_{\rm eff}}{r_{\rm cov}}
ightarrow 1$ as the lattice dimension grows.



• A sequence of lattices is good for MSE quantization if for a random dither **U** uniformly distributed over \mathcal{V} we have $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{U}\|^2 \rightarrow r_{\text{eff}}^2$ as the lattice dimension grows.



• A lattice Λ_c is nested in Λ if $\Lambda_c \subset \Lambda$.

A ■

< ∃ >



• The scheme uses a pair of nested lattice $\Lambda \subset \Lambda_f$ and a dither **U**.



Tx:

• The transmitted signal is

$$\bm{X} = [\bm{t} - \bm{U}] \bmod \Lambda$$

- **X** is uniformly distributed over the Voronoi region of Λ .
- The average transmission power is the second moment of Λ .

 Λ needs to be good for MSE quantization



AWGN Channel:

 $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{N}$

Ordentlich and Erez Simple Existence Proof for "Good" Pairs of Nested Lattices



Rx:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}_{\text{eff}} &= \alpha \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{U} \\ &= \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{U} + (\alpha - 1)\mathbf{X} + \alpha \mathbf{N} \\ &= \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{U} + \lambda + \mathbf{U} + (\alpha - 1)\mathbf{X} + \alpha \mathbf{N} \\ &= \mathbf{t} + \lambda + \mathbf{Z}_{\text{eff}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $Z_{eff} = (\alpha - 1)X + \alpha N$.

Decoding is correct if $\mathbf{Z}_{eff} \in \mathcal{V}_f$



To approach capacity with nearest neighbor decoding we need:

- The coarse lattice Λ to be good for MSE quantization.
- The fine lattice Λ_f to be good for coding (with NN decoding) in the presence of effective noise $\mathbf{Z}_{eff} = (\alpha 1)\mathbf{X} + \alpha \mathbf{N}$.

Note that \mathbf{Z}_{eff} depends on the coarse lattice.



To be more formal, we want:

¹/_n ℝ ||**U**||² → ¹/_{2πe} Vol(Λ)^{2/n} (or alternatively G(Λ) → ¹/_{2πe}).
 If V(Λ_f)²/_n > 2πe¹/_n ℝ ||**Z**_{eff}||²,

$$\Pr\left(\left[\mathcal{Q}_{\Lambda_f}(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{eff}})\right] \bmod \Lambda \neq \mathbf{t} \bmod \Lambda\right) \to 0.$$

If the two conditions are satisfied the scheme achieves any rate below

$$R = \frac{1}{n} \log \left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}(\Lambda)}{\operatorname{Vol}(\Lambda_f)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\mathsf{SNR}}{1/n\mathbb{E} \| \mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{eff}} \|^2} \right)$$

	Binary linear code	Lattice
Single		
Nested		

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

	Binary linear code	Lattice
Single		
	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{K imes N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_2	
Nested		

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

	Binary linear code	Lattice
Single		
	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{K \times N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_2	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{K \times N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_p . Construct a linear code C with this generating matrix. Set $\Lambda = p^{-1}C + \mathbb{Z}^n$
Nested		

	Binary linear code	Lattice
Single	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{K \times N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_2	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{K \times N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_p . Construct a linear code C with this generating matrix. Set $\Lambda = p^{-1}C + \mathbb{Z}^n$
Nested	Draw $G_f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G} \\ -\mathbf{G}' \end{bmatrix}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_2 . G generates the coarse code and G_f the fine code	

	Binary linear code	Lattice
Single	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{K \times N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_2	Draw $G \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{K \times N}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_p . Construct a linear code C with this generating matrix. Set $\Lambda = p^{-1}C + \mathbb{Z}^n$
Nested	Draw $G_f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G} \\ \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{bmatrix}$ with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_2 . G generates the coarse code and G_f the fine code	?

Previous works

- Find a lattice Λ which is good for covering, and has a generating matrix F.
- Draw G ∈ Z^{K×N}_p with entries i.i.d. and uniform over Z_p. Construct a linear code C with this generating matrix.

• Set
$$\Lambda_f = F \cdot (p^{-1}C + \mathbb{Z}^n) = p^{-1}FC + F\mathbb{Z}^n$$
.

This work

• Draw
$$G_f = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G} \\ --- \\ \mathbf{G}' \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$$
 with entries i.i.d. and uniform over \mathbb{Z}_p .

- Construct a linear code C from the generating matrix G, and a linear code C_f from the generating matrix G_f .
- Set $\Lambda = p^{-1}C + \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\Lambda_f = p^{-1}C_f + \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Differences from previous work

Current approach vs. previous work

- A simpler ensemble to analyze.
- A basic proof that makes no use of previous results from geometry of numbers.
- The coarse lattice only has to be good for MSE quantization (not necessarily for covering).

Differences from previous work

Current approach vs. previous work

- A simpler ensemble to analyze.
- A basic proof that makes no use of previous results from geometry of numbers.
- The coarse lattice only has to be good for MSE quantization (not necessarily for covering).

Historical note:

- When Erez and Zamir's work was published it was known that good covering lattices exist, but it was not known that Construction A lattices are usually good for covering.
 - \Longrightarrow The two-step construction was needed.
- Erez and Zamir studied the error exponents the mod-Λ scheme achieves. For that purpose it is important that the coarse lattice will be good for covering. For capacity, goodness for quantization suffices.

- We show that w.h.p. the coarse lattice Λ is good for MSE quantization.
- We show that w.h.p. the fine lattice Λ_f is good for coding in the presence of noise that rarely leaves a ball.
- \Longrightarrow Most members of the ensemble are "good" pairs of nested lattices.
 - We show that for a coarse lattice Λ which is good for MSE quantization the effective noise

$$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{eff}} = (\alpha - 1)\mathbf{X} + \alpha \mathbf{N}$$

rarely leaves a ball.

通 とう きょう うちょう

Proof outline - Goodness for MSE quantization

 Our ensemble induces a distribution on the lattice points that is "almost" i.i.d. and "uniform" on \mathbb{R}^n with point density $1/(V_n r_{eff}^n)$.

• For any
$$\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
: $\left| \Lambda \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}, \sqrt{nD}) \right| \sim \left(\frac{\sqrt{nD}}{r_{\text{eff}}} \right)^n$.

 \implies For $\sqrt{nD} > r_{\text{eff}}$ almost surely $\left| \Lambda \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x}, \sqrt{nD}) \right| > 1$.

 \implies If $\sqrt{nD} > r_{\text{eff}}$, almost surely a point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is covered by a lattice point with distance $<\sqrt{nD}$.

 Since Λ is nested within the cubic lattice, the covering radius is bounded.

 \implies For $\sqrt{nD} > r_{eff}$ the average MSE distortion Λ achieves is smaller than *nD*.

• Setting $r_{\rm eff}$ slightly smaller than \sqrt{nD} , a dither **U** uniformly distributed over \mathcal{V} satisfies

Urdentlich and Erez

$$\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{U}\|^{2} \leq D \approx \frac{r_{\text{eff}}^{2}}{n} = \frac{1}{nV_{n}^{2/n}}V(\Lambda)^{2/n} \approx \frac{V(\Lambda)^{2/n}}{2\pi e}$$
Ordentlich and Erez Simple Existence Proof for "Good" Pairs of Nested Lattices

Lemma:

Let **U** be a dither from a lattice Λ which is good for MSE quantization and has effective radius r_{eff} . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and n large enough

 $\Pr\left(\mathbf{U} \notin \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{0}, (1+\epsilon)r_{\mathsf{eff}})\right) < \epsilon$

• The pdf of a random vector uniform over a ball is upper bounded by that of an AWGN. [Erez-Zamir 04]

• Combining with our lemma, w.p. $1 - \epsilon$ we have that **U** is approximately Gaussian .

With probability $1 - \epsilon$ the effective noise $\mathbf{Z}_{eff} = (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{U} + \alpha \mathbf{N}$ is Gaussian. \implies It suffices that the fine lattice will be good for AWGN channels.

Showing that Construction A lattices are good for AWGN channels is straightforward.

Chains of nested lattices

A similar construction results in a chain of nested lattice codes which are all good for MSE quantization and AWGN coding.

Cubic shaping lattice

If the coarse lattice is cubic (no shaping) and the fine lattice is good for AWGN coding, the mod- Λ scheme can achieve any rate satisfying

$$R < rac{1}{2}\log(1+\mathsf{SNR}) - rac{1}{2}\log\left(rac{2\pi e}{12}
ight).$$

Additive non-Gaussian noise

For any additive i.i.d. noise channel the mod- Λ scheme can achieve any rate satisfying $R < \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + \text{SNR})$ with nearest-neighbor decoding (followed by mod- Λ). This is reminiscent of Lapidoth 96.