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Abstract We apply seismic interferometry based on deconvolution to earthquake data observed in a building at Tokyo Metropolitan University to extract building response and estimate velocities and frequencies of traveling waves inside the building. The building is a 10-story reinforced concrete structure in Tokyo, Japan. The earthquake data were recorded 2 months before, 6 months after, and 16 months after the $M_w$ 9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake (11 March 2011). The observation period of each acquisition was 20–30 days. We analyze more than 300 earthquakes recorded in these time periods, and we find significant reduction ($\sim 20\%$) in wave velocities and fundamental-mode frequencies after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake. Because deconvolution interferometry separates the response of the building from the effect of complicated wave propagation outside the building, we interpret that this reduction is mostly related to the building. We can also estimate frequencies of the fundamental mode from observed data, and these frequencies contain the responses of building and the ray paths from hypocenters. Therefore, as we find from the data, the frequencies estimated from observed data are generally lower than those from deconvolved waveforms due to the effects of wave propagation. Although the velocities in the building decrease $\sim 20\%$ after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, we cannot find significant reduction in the S-wave velocities at the near surface (depth shallower than 100 m). This fact indicates the decrease in velocities is mainly related to the building, and hence, with deconvolution interferometry, we can detect damages to the building caused by the Tohoku-Oki earthquake.

Introduction

Seismic interferometry reconstructs wavefields propagating between receivers using the waves originally generated by, for example, earthquakes, traffic, and active sources (Claerbout, 1968; Wapenaar, 2004). From the reconstructed wavefields, one can characterize a medium along the wavepaths (Vasconcelos and Snieder, 2008; Prieto et al., 2009; Ruigrok et al., 2010; Nakata et al., 2015). Seismic interferometry works well for 1D wave propagation (Miyazawa et al., 2008; Pech et al., 2012; Nakata, 2013) because all waves come from stationary points (Snieder, 2004b). For 2D or 3D problems, we can extend seismic interferometry based on multidimensional deconvolution (Wapenaar et al., 2008; Nakata et al., 2014).

With an assumption of 1D wave propagation, Snieder and Şafak (2006) applied seismic interferometry based on deconvolution to earthquake data observed in the Millikan Library at the California Institute of Technology for extracting building response. The assumption is satisfied at lower frequencies (i.e., longer wavelength). For the 1D case, seismic interferometry with deconvolution is similar to the computation of transfer functions. Todorovska (2009a) and Rahmani et al. (2015) suggested the response also depends on the foundation rocking when one considers models with higher degrees of freedom of the base of the building, such as horizontal and rocking motions, for which the response is coupled. The deconvolution technique is useful to extract and monitor building response, and widely applied to many buildings (Kohler et al., 2007; Todorovska and Trifunac, 2008). In high-seismicity regions and/or for long-term observation, we can use this technique for time-lapse studies (Nakata et al., 2013). Even if earthquakes occur at different locations, Nakata et al. (2013) could extract similar building response, which indicates the robustness of this technique. Also, we can apply seismic interferometry to ambient noise data observed in buildings (Prieto et al., 2010; Nakata and Snieder, 2014), although amplitudes of wave propagation still need further studies.

Deconvolution interferometry changes the boundary condition at the base of the building when we deconvolve observed wavefields at each floor with waves recorded by the receiver at the base (Snieder et al., 2006; Nakata et al., 2013). Because of the modification of the boundary condition, the response obtained by deconvolution interferometry is related to that of the building itself assuming no rocking motion at the base. In contrast, we can estimate a response that mixes building response and wave propagation outside the building.
the building from the observed data with, for example, mode analyses (Trifunac, 1972; Trifunac et al., 2001). Therefore, by separately using observed and deconvolved wavefields, we can extract two different responses.

In this study, we analyze earthquake records observed inside a building at Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan. We observed data in three time periods; one before the $M_w$ 9.0 Tohoku-Oki earthquake (11 March 2011) and two after. The building is about 400 km away from the epicenter of the mainshock. First, we briefly review deconvolution interferometry and introduce our data. Then, we characterize the damage caused by the Tohoku-Oki earthquake with velocities and frequencies of traveling waves extracted by deconvolution interferometry. Finally, we discuss differences of responses obtained from observed and deconvolved data.

Deconvolution Interferometry

We use deconvolution interferometry with an assumption of vertical wave propagation (1D propagation) with constant wavenumber and attenuation of the building and without internal reflections to simplify equations. The assumption of constant wavenumber and attenuation along the height is weakened by using the WKBJ approximation (a linearized solution of a 1D wave equation) (Snieder, 2004a; Nakata et al., 2013). Ebrahimian and Todorovska (2014, 2015) introduce bending deformation and frequency-dependent attenuation to the beam model to explain the dispersive response of observed deconvolved wavefields in buildings. Details of the techniques are summarized in Nakata et al. (2013) and Nakata and Snieder (2014), and here we briefly review this technique. With the assumption above, an earthquake record at height $z$ can be written in the frequency domain as

$$u(z, \omega) = \frac{S(\omega) \{ e^{i k z} e^{-i |k| z} + e^{i k (2H-z)} e^{-i |k| (2H-z)} \}}{1 - R(\omega) e^{2 i k H} e^{-2 i |k| H}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which $S(\omega)$ is the incoming waveform at the base of the building, $R(\omega)$ is the reflection coefficient due to the ground coupling, $k$ is the wavenumber, $\gamma$ is the attenuation coefficient, $H$ is the height of the building, and $i$ is the imaginary unit. Here, for convenience, we consider the ground level is at $z = 0$ and positive $z$ for elevation. The waveform $S(\omega)$ contains a source wavelet of the earthquake and the effect of wave propagation beneath the building. Equation (1) indicates the waves are reverberating between the top and bottom of the building with energy loss caused by the intrinsic attenuation of the building ($\gamma$) and the boundary condition at the base ($R(\omega)$). When we deconvolve the observed waves at $z$ with those at the base ($z = 0$), we obtain

$$D(z, 0, \omega) = \frac{u(z, \omega)}{u(0, \omega)} = \frac{u(z, \omega) u^*(0, \omega)}{|u(0, \omega)|^2} + \epsilon = \frac{e^{i k z} e^{-i |k| z} + e^{i k (2H-z)} e^{-i |k| (2H-z)}}{1 + e^{2 i k H} e^{-2 i |k| H}},$$ \hspace{1cm} (2)

in which $*$ is the complex conjugate and $\epsilon$ is the regularization parameter for deconvolution. Here, $\epsilon$ is the 5% of average power spectra at each earthquake. One can also use spectral smoothing for regularization of equation 2 (Sawazaki et al., 2009). We can choose any receivers for the denominator of the deconvolution, and each receiver represents different building response (Nakata et al., 2013). Here, we compute the deconvolution with the bottom receiver. Apparently, equation (2) is not related to the incoming wavelets ($S(\omega)$) and the ground coupling ($R(\omega)$). By considering two or higher degrees of freedom of the foundation, the deconvolved wavefields are not perfectly independent from the effect of the foundation coupling (Todorovska, 2009a; Rahmani et al., 2015). Note that based on the similarity between equations (1) and (2), deconvolved wavefields represent the same wave propagation as observed wavefields (equation 1) with simple incoming wavelets and boundary condition. Therefore, we can use the deconvolved waves for estimating velocities, frequencies of each mode, and attenuation of the building (Nakata et al., 2013).

Building and Earthquake Records

Earthquake data are recorded inside Building 9 at the Minami-Osawa campus, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo, Japan (Fig. 1). The building is located ~400 km away from the epicenter of the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, and the maximum acceleration during the mainshock at the

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)
Figure 2. (a) East side of the building. Receivers are deployed at the east edge. (b) Plan view of the building. According to the shape of the building, we define the component parallel to the 79.4 m side as the north–south component and the other side the east–west component. A three-story building is located at the south part of the main building (shaded square). (c) North–south and east–west vertical cross sections. Elevations are relative to the ground level (0 m) of the building. In all panels, triangles show the locations of receivers. The details of the building are shown in Figure A1. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.

We distributed six one-component velocity meters (VSE-15D) at the east edge of the building (the triangles in Fig. 2) to record seismic motion. Because of the receivers, we observed earthquakes in different time intervals for the north–south and east–west components. We recorded data in three time periods: 2 months before (before Tohoku), 6 months after (after Tohoku), and 16 months after (after + 1 yr) the Tohoku-Oki earthquake (Table 2). We did not record the motion generated by the mainshock itself. During the year between the second and third observations (after Tohoku and after + 1 yr, respectively), people maintained the building (e.g., filling small cracks with surface sealing). All fixes were very minor and not structural repairs. We did not find visible damages except the small cracks inside and outside the building. The receiver locations do not change over all observations. Although the surveys are continuously recording during these time periods and ambient noise is also useful for monitoring the building (Prieto et al., 2010; Nakata and Snieder, 2014), we focus on earthquake records here. We observed in total more than 300 earthquakes over the time intervals (Fig. 1 and Table 2).

Figure 3 shows an example of earthquake records in the time and frequency domains. The earthquakes occurred on 5 September 2011 at about 150 km east from the building at 23.9 km depth (141.2° E, 35.3° N). The magnitude of the earthquake is 3.7. The average interstory drift caused by this earthquake is 0.00128%, which is computed as the maximum difference of displacements between the ninth and first floors divide by the distance of these sensors (Todorovska, 2009b). Generally, the fundamental mode (around 2 Hz) is prominent in earthquake records, and waveforms in the time domain are monochromatic. After applying deconvolution interferometry (equation 2) to the waveforms in Figure 3, we obtain deconvolved wavefields shown in Figure 4. At times close to 0 s, traveling waves are reconstructed and then the fundamental mode is dominant in later times caused by stronger attenuation in higher modes. Because the earthquake is not very strong, we obtain some traveling-wave energy in the negative time similar to figure 11 of Nakata et al. (2013) (due to other vibrations in the building [Nakata and Snieder, 2014]). Here, we focus on the signals in the positive time. Because we change the boundary condition by computing deconvolution, the waveforms at floor 1 become a band-limited delta function (see equation 2 with $z = 0$). The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (yyyy/mm/dd)</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>PGA (cm/s²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996/08/09</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005/07/23</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>75.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/07/12</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>60.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/08/08</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/03/11</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>147.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/03/15</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/01/28</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

Earthquakes Recorded by the K-NET Station, TKY005, since 1996 (peak ground acceleration $> 50$ cm/s²)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date (yyyy/mm/dd)</th>
<th>Distance (km)</th>
<th>Magnitude</th>
<th>PGA (cm/s²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Tohoku</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Tohoku</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After + 1 Yr</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

Observation Periods and Number of Earthquakes (in Parentheses)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observation Period</th>
<th>Number of Earthquakes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before Tohoku</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After Tohoku</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After + 1 Yr</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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frequency of the fundamental model \( D(z, 0, \omega) \) in equation 2; Fig. 4b) is higher than \( u(z, \omega) \) (Fig. 3b); these differences are related to the wave propagation outside the building and soil-structure interaction.

From the traveling waves in Figure 4a, we can estimate the velocities of the wave propagating inside the building (Snieder and Şafak, 2006). In this study, we estimate velocities from travel times of the first-upgoing deconvolved wavefields with least-squares fitting (Nakata et al., 2013). The velocity of the traveling waves extracted from the earthquake in Figure 3 is 340 m/s (the straight lines in Fig. 4a). For computing the power spectra of the deconvolved waves, we use wavefields in \(-0.5\) to 5 s because the wavefields in later times strongly attenuate and the signal-to-noise ratio is small. Because the shorter-time interval is used for Figure 4b than Figure 3b, the frequency resolution in deconvolved wavefields is lower but enough to estimate accurate frequencies of normal modes. In the next section, we apply deconvolution interferometry to all earthquakes to estimate damage caused by the Tohoku-Oki earthquake.

**Damage of the Tohoku-Oki Earthquake Estimated by Deconvolution**

In Figure 5, we estimate velocities of deconvolved wavefields from each earthquake with the method mentioned in the previous section. Compared with before the Tohoku-Oki earthquake, velocities after the mainshock decrease...
in both components. We can interpret this reduction as a weakening of the building. We do not find clear patterns of estimated velocities according to the location of earthquakes used, which indicates that this technique is robust for earthquake locations. In Figure 5, we compare the velocities with the average interstory drift. The velocities have a mildly negative correlation with the drifts.

We also estimate frequencies of the fundamental mode of deconvolved wavefields for each earthquake (Fig. 6). To estimate the accurate frequencies, we use a least-squares fitting of a Gaussian curve (Menke, 2012). This fitting overcomes the poor signal-to-noise ratio at some earthquakes. The shapes of distributions of points in Figures 5 and 6 are similar each other. If the assumptions in equation (1) are satisfied and the deconvolved wavefields are perfectly decoupled from the medium outside the building, we hold a linear relationship of

\[ v_0 / \sqrt{f_0} = H \]

where \( v_0 \) and \( f_0 \) are the velocity and peak frequency of the fundamental mode, respectively. For example, the peak frequency of the fundamental mode in Figure 4 is 1.9 Hz. Because the distance of the traveling wave is between the receiver at the first floor to the roof (42.24 m), the expected velocity of the fundamental mode is \( 4 \times 42.24 \times 1.9 = 321 \) m/s, which is close to the velocity (340 m/s) we obtained from the traveling waves in Figure 4. The velocities in Figure 5 contain higher frequency information, and thus the shape is slightly different from that in Figure 6.

For a statistical comparison of the fundamental-mode frequencies, we compute means and standard deviations over all earthquakes in each time interval and is shown in Figure 7. Based on Student’s t-test (Bulmer, 1979), frequencies significantly decrease after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake in both components (probability > 99%). The reduction is slightly larger in the east–west component (20%) than the north–south component (18%). Between the two time periods after the mainshock, the frequency recovers in the east–west component (8% of the reduction), but the increase in the north–south component is not significant. Although we need further investigation to find reasons of this recovery, the maintenance between September 2011 and July 2012 was very minor and we speculate that it did not cause the change in structural response. In addition, people filled small cracks on walls without preference of directions (e.g., north–south or east–west). The building has a different shape in the north–south and east–west directions, and the difference could be related to the different recovery processes in these components.

**Comparison of Fundamental-Mode Frequencies between Deconvolution and Observation**

We can also estimate the frequencies of the fundamental mode from observed data (e.g., Fig. 3b), which contain responses of the building and the structure along the wavepath. In Figure 7, we also illustrate means and standard deviations of the fundamental-mode frequencies obtained from observed data. As we expected from equations (1) and (2), the frequencies estimated from observation are lower than those from deconvolution because of the change of the boundary condition at the base. Because of the spectral division shown in equation (2), we can cancel some responses of structure outside the building. Even though the observation lines in Figure 7 show statistically significant reduction of frequencies caused by the Tohoku-Oki earthquake similar
to the deconvolution lines, the amount of reduction compared with deconvolution lines is different. The ratio of mean frequencies (deconvolution/observation, Fig. 7) is useful to qualitatively interpret the locations of the damage caused by the mainshock. Interestingly, we obtain different responses depending on components; the reduction in the frequency for the observation is larger in the north–south component and vice versa in the east–west component. The different responses may be related to the shape of the building and/or anisotropy of the soil under the building.

Figure 7. Mean frequencies of the fundamental mode averaged over all earthquakes in each time period computed from observed and deconvolved wavefields in the (a) north–south and (b) east–west components. Error bars show the standard deviation of each mean. The color version of this figure is available only in the electronic edition.

Figure 8 shows crossplots of the fundamental-mode frequencies obtained from observed and deconvolved wavefields. Dots and crosses above the black line indicate that the frequencies of deconvolution are higher than those of observation. Most of the fundamental-mode frequencies obtained from deconvolved wavefields are higher than those from observed wavefields due to the absence of the response in the deconvolved wavefields. This phenomenon is common for all time intervals in both components. At higher frequencies of each time period in Figure 8a, some data points are
separated from the main cluster of the data. For example, in the before Tohoku data, the main cluster is around 2.2 Hz and the scattered cluster at 2.5 Hz. We need more data to understand this phenomenon and will deploy spatially dense sensors to record building motion.

Nakata and Snieder (2011) estimated the changes in near-surface (∼100 m) S-wave velocities between before and after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake by applying deconvolution interferometry to strong-motion records observed by KiK-net. The velocity reduction they found around the building is <1%. Figure 9 shows the deconvolved wavefields and estimated velocities at the nearest KiK-net station (TKYH12). The near-surface condition at TKYH12 and the building is similar; a soft-loam layer (5–10 m) is on top of a sand layer. Based on Figure 9, the reduction in near-surface velocities after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake is not large (<1%), and we cannot explain the velocity reduction in Figure 7, and hence the reduction in Figure 7 is related to the building.

Conclusions

We apply deconvolution interferometry to earthquake data observed inside the building at Tokyo Metropolitan University and obtain reduction in velocities and frequencies of the fundamental mode of the building after the Tohoku-Oki earthquake. The reduction, which partly recovers after 16 months from the mainshock in one component, is about 20%, and we can interpret the reduction as weakening of the building. The reduction is much larger than that at the near-surface structure. By comparing the fundamental-mode frequencies obtained from deconvolved and observed wavefields, we understand that we can cancel some response outside the building and the frequencies of deconvolved waves are always higher than those of observed waves. Although the shape of the building is not the best for seismic interferometry as used for other studies, we demonstrate that we can still use the same technique and obtain useful responses.
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Appendix

Structural Elements of the Building

Figure A1 shows the detail of the building with structural elements.
**Figure A1.** Structural elements of the building in the (a) floor, (b) north–south, and (c) east–west plans.