ABSTRACT

Emerging applications, such as smart factories and fleets of drones, increasingly rely on sharing time-sensitive information for monitoring and control. In such application domains, it is essential to keep information fresh, as outdated information loses its value and can lead to system failures and safety risks. The Age of Information (AoI) is a performance metric that captures how fresh the information is from the perspective of the destination. In this paper, we show that as the congestion in the wireless network increases, the AoI degrades sharply, leading to outdated information at the destination. Leveraging years of theoretical research, we propose and implement WiFresh: an unconventional architecture that achieves near optimal information freshness in wireless networks, regardless of the level of congestion. Our experimental results show that WiFresh can improve information freshness by two orders of magnitude when compared to an equivalent standard WiFi network.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Emerging applications will increasingly rely on sharing time-sensitive information for monitoring and control. Examples are abundant: monitoring mobile ground-robots in automated fulfillment warehouses at Alibaba and Amazon [8]; collision prevention applications for vehicles on the road [4]; path planning, localization and motion control for multi-drone formations [1]; real-time surveillance system using a fleet of ground-robots [5]; and data collection from sensors, drones and cameras for agriculture using the Azure FarmBeats IoT platform [7]. In such application domains, it is essential to keep information fresh, as outdated information loses its value and can lead to system failures and safety risks.

The various time-sensitive applications implemented in [1, 4, 5, 7, 8], and many others, use the IEEE 802.11 standard (WiFi). WiFi is an attractive choice for it is low-cost, well-established, and immediately available in drones [1], computing platforms running Robot Operating System [5], and sensors [7]. Moreover, as showcased by these various implementations, small-scale underloaded WiFi networks are capable of supporting time-sensitive applications. A main shortcoming of WiFi is congestion.

Our contribution. Leveraging years of theoretical research, we propose WiFresh: a network architecture that achieves near optimal information freshness, even when the wireless network is overloaded. We implement WiFresh at the MAC layer in the network of FPGA-based Software Defined Radios (SDRs) in Fig. 1 using hardware-level programming. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first AoI-based system implementation that goes beyond controlling the packet generation rate at the sources. In fact, as we will see, WiFresh eliminates the need for controlling the packet generation rates.

To illustrate the concept of information freshness, consider the monitoring system in Fig. 2 composed of a remote monitor, a wireless base station (BS) and N mobile sources. Each source $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ moves with an average velocity of $v_i$ meters per second, generates status information from time to time, and sends this information to the remote monitor via the wireless base station. Status information can include

$^1$WiFi or any other wireless technology employing First-Come First-Served (FCFS) queues and Random Medium Access Control mechanisms.
the source’s current position, inertial measurements and pictures of the environment. The remote monitor keeps track of the information, and is particularly interested in the position of the sources. Assume that packets are time-stamped. Naturally, the higher the time-stamp, the fresher is the information contained in a packet. Let $\tau_i(t)$ be the time-stamp of the freshest packet received by the destination from source $i$ by time $t$. Then, we define the Age of Information (AoI) as $h_i(t) := t - \tau_i(t)$. The AoI is a recently proposed performance metric \cite{1} that captures how fresh the information is from the perspective of the destination. The value of $h_i(t)$ increases linearly in time while no fresher packet from source $i$ is received, representing the information getting older. At the moment a fresher packet is received by the destination, the value of $\tau_i(t)$ is updated and $h_i(t)$ decreases to the packet delay. An AoI of $h_i(t) = 2$ seconds represents that at time $t$ the remote monitor knows the location of source $i$ two seconds ago. Hence, the uncertainty about source $i$’s position at time $t$ is captured by the quantity $v_i h_i(t)$, as illustrated in Fig. 2, and a large AoI corresponds to a large uncertainty.

To capture the freshness of the information in the network, we define the expected network AoI (NAoI)
$$
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{N} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E} [h_i(t)] \; dt}{T},
$$
where $T > 0$ is the time-horizon. To minimize the expected NAoI, we consider the wireless network as a whole and optimize the system across the queuing discipline, the multiple access mechanism and the transmission scheduling policy.

2 DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

The superior performance of WiFresh in terms of information freshness is due to the combination of three elements:

- **Polling Multiple Access mechanism** that prevents packet collisions, allowing for efficient resource allocation among sources, which is critical in congested networks and in networks with large number of sources $N$;

- **Max-Weight (MW) policy** that determines the sequence of sources to poll in order to minimize NAoI, keeping the information from every source as fresh as possible; and

- **Last-Come First-Served (LCFS) queues** that prioritize the packet with lowest delay, leading to sources that always transmit the freshest packets to the destination.

The choice of each of these elements is underpinned by theoretical research. In \cite{2} and other works, the LCFS queue was shown to be the optimal queuing discipline for AoI. In our prior work \cite[Chapter 3]{6}, the Polling mechanism with MW policy was analyzed in different settings. Notice that Polling is needed to support the MW policy.

**Bridging theory and practice.** Theoretical works on AoI often assume that: 1) sources in the network are synchronized; 2) sources generate packets on-demand or according to known stochastic processes; 3) channel reliabilities associated with the wireless links \{$p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are static and known; and/or 4) feedback is instantaneous and without error. To leverage the theory and implement (for the first time) an AoI-based network architecture composed of LCFS queues and Polling mechanism with MW policy, we augment WiFresh with algorithms that synchronize clocks, dynamically learn \{$p_i\}_{i=1}^N$, and recover from transmission errors. Notice that clock synchronization is needed to accurately compute $h_i(t) := t - \tau_i(t)$, where $t$ is the current time measured by the BS and $\tau_i(t)$ is a time-stamp created by source $i$. If clocks are not synchronized, the values of $h_i(t)$ for different sources may have different biases.

**WiFresh source.** The source generates information updates at the Application layer and forwards them to lower layers of the communication system. When a data packet arrives at the MAC layer, WiFresh appends a time-stamp to the packet and then stores it in a LCFS queue. The source can be in one of two states: 1) waiting for a poll packet from the BS; or 2) transmitting its freshest data packet to the BS. Upon receiving a poll packet, if the queue is empty, the source transmits an empty packet to the BS. The empty packet is used by the BS to differentiate between not receiving data due to a transmission error or due to an empty queue at the source, which impacts the estimation of the channel reliabilities \{$p_i\}_{i=1}^N$ at the BS.

**WiFresh Base Station.** The BS does not generate data packets. Its main responsibility is to coordinate the communication in the network. The BS can be in one of two states: 1) waiting for a data packet; or 2) transmitting a poll packet. While waiting for a data packet, the BS keeps track of the waiting period. If the waiting period exceeds 100 $\mu$sec or a data packet is received, the BS updates its estimate of the network state $(\hat{h}_i(t), \hat{p}_i(t))_{i=1}^N$, where $\hat{h}_i(t)$ is the current AoI $h_i(t)$ with time-stamp offset correction from the clock synchronization mechanism, and $\hat{p}_i(t)$ is the estimate of the channel reliability associated with source $i$. These estimates are used by the MW policy to determine the next source to poll. In particular, at the decision time $t$ of the next poll packet, the MW policy selects the source $i^*(t)$ with highest index \( I(i, t) = \hat{p}_i(t) \hat{h}_i^2(t) \). After transmitting a poll packet to source $i^*(t)$, the BS goes back to waiting for a data packet.

**Implementation.** WiFresh is implemented at the MAC layer using a network of FPGA-based SDRs composed of ten sources and a wireless BS, as shown in Fig. 1. To achieve
WiFi base stations. In particular, we evaluate and compare:

- **WiFresh**: as described in §2;
- **WiFresh FCFS**: WiFresh with sources employing FCFS;
- **WiFi**: UDP over standard WiFi; and
- **WiFi LCFS**: WiFi with sources employing LCFS.

We consider the SDR network in Fig. 1 with ten sources, each generating packets of 150 bytes with rate $\lambda$. These short packets of 150 bytes represent status updates, and different values of $\lambda$ represent different levels of congestion.

In Fig. 3, we display the expected NAoI measurements in milliseconds. Each experiment runs for 10 minutes.

**Measurements.** By comparing the results of WiFresh and WiFi for $\lambda \geq 500$ Hz, we can see that WiFresh improves information freshness by (at least) a factor of 200 when compared to an equivalent standard WiFi network. To understand how much of this improvement is due to the queuing discipline and how much is due to the multiple access mechanism, we draw additional comparisons. By comparing WiFresh and WiFi LCFS, both of which use LCFS queues, we can assess the impact of the multiple access mechanism on NAoI. As expected, the improvement of Polling over Random Access increases with the network congestion. In particular, for $\lambda = 5$ kHz, WiFresh improves NAoI by a factor of 7 when compared to WiFi LCFS. To assess the impact of queuing, we compare WiFresh and WiFresh FCFS, both of which use Polling with MW policy. For $\lambda \geq 500$ Hz, the LCFS queue improves information freshness by (at least) a factor of 100 when compared to the FCFS queue. In summary, both the queuing discipline and the multiple access mechanism improve NAoI significantly, but the effect of queuing is dominant.

**Congestion control.** The results in Fig. 3 show that the combination of LCFS queues and Polling mechanism with MW policy is the only in which a higher rate $\lambda$ (always) leads to a lower NAoI, meaning that the WiFresh architecture eliminates the need for controlling the packet generation rate at the sources. Notice that any of the other three architectures, which employ either FCFS queues or Random Access, need to control $\lambda$ in order to minimize NAoI.

**Applications of WiFresh.** From the measurements in Fig. 3, it is clear that the more congested the network, the more prominent is the superiority of WiFresh when compared with WiFi in terms of information freshness, making WiFresh well-suited for large-scale applications that rely on sharing large amounts of time-sensitive information. Examples of such applications are [1, 4, 5, 7, 8].

**Future work.** A challenge of implementing WiFresh at the MAC layer is the complexity associated with hardware-level programming. We plan to design an alternative implementation of WiFresh that runs at the Application layer, making it easy to integrate into time-sensitive applications that are implemented over WiFi such as [1, 4, 5, 7, 8].
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