

# Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

10.1029/2018JF004669

### **Key Points:**

- Along-flow variation in shear heating leads to generation of temperate ice along ice stream shear margins
   Shear heating in temperate ice
- produces meltwater that drains to the bed according to Darcy's law
- Temperate zone meltwater initiates a transition from distributed to channelized subglacial drainage

#### Supporting Information:

- Data Set S1
- Supporting Information S1

#### **Correspondence to:**

C. R. Meyer, colinrmeyer@gmail.com

#### Citation:

Meyer, C. R., Yehya, A., Minchew, B., & Rice, J. R. (2018). A model for the downstream evolution of temperate ice and subglacial hydrology along ice stream shear margins. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 123. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JF004669

Received 2 MAR 2018 Accepted 16 JUN 2018 Accepted article online 13 JUL 2018

# A Model for the Downstream Evolution of Temperate Ice and Subglacial Hydrology Along Ice Stream Shear Margins

JGR

# Colin R. Meyer<sup>1</sup>, Alissar Yehya<sup>2</sup>, Brent Minchew<sup>3</sup>, and James R. Rice<sup>2,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR, USA, <sup>2</sup>John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA, <sup>4</sup>Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

**Abstract** Antarctic mass balance and contribution to sea level rise are dominated by the flow of ice through narrow conduits called ice streams. These regions of relatively fast flow drain over 90% of the ice sheet and generate significant amounts of frictional heat at the ice stream margins where there is a transition to slow flow in the ridge. This heat can generate temperate ice and a sharp transition in flow speed between the stream and the ridge. Within zones of temperate ice, meltwater is produced and drains to the bed. Here we model the downstream development of a temperate zone along an ice stream shear margin and the flow of meltwater through temperate ice into a subglacial hydrologic system. The hydrology sets the basal effective pressure, defined as the difference between ice overburden and water pressure. Using the southern shear margin of Bindschadler Ice Stream as a case study, our model results indicate an abrupt transition from a distributed to channelized hydrologic system within a few ice thicknesses of the point where the temperate zone initiates. This transition leads to a strengthening of the till due to reduced pore pressure because the water pressure in the channel is lower than in the distributed system, a potential mechanism by which hydrology can prevent lateral migration of shear margins.

# 1. Introduction

Ice streams drain 90% of the ice from the Antarctic Ice Sheet (Bamber et al., 2000). These narrow conduits of fast flow are often funneled through mountain valleys or along basal troughs and in this way are topographically controlled (Truffer & Echelmeyer, 2003). In other places, however, topography does not dictate the lateral edges of ice streams. In the Siple Coast, for example, ice adjacent to ice streams, known as the ridge, flows slowly and is frozen to the bed (Kamb, 2001). The large change in velocity between the ridge and the ice stream is accommodated in shear margins (Raymond, 1996). Force balance requires the shear margins to sustain a high lateral shear stress in order to accommodate a large fraction of the gravitational driving stress because the weak basal sediments offer little resistive shear stress (Raymond et al., 2001; Whillans & Van Der Veen, 1993, 1997). Shearing in the margins can lead to a local decrease in the internal resistance to flow through two dominant processes: fabric development and shear heating. As ice is advected along the margin, ice crystals may align along flow and develop a fabric of preferential slip planes, which can lower the lateral shear stress supported by the margin (Jacka & Budd, 1989; Jackson & Kamb, 1997; Minchew et al., 2018). Simultaneously, heat induced by shearing can warm the ice, which softens the ice due to the viscosity dependence on temperature and meltwater. The net effect of these two processes can localize the shear margin to a region that is approximately an order of magnitude narrower than the ice stream width, where the ice in the margin has a much lower viscosity than the ice in the surrounding ridge and stream (Echelmeyer et al., 1994; Jacobson & Raymond, 1998; Schoof, 2012). Here we focus on the effects of ice softening in margins due to shear heating as fabric develops within the first few kilometers of a shear margin (Cuffey & Paterson, 2010; Jacka & Budd, 1989; Minchew et al., 2018).

To study the thermomechanics of shear margins, Perol and Rice (2011, 2015) derive a one-dimensional temperature model including shear heating. When applied to shear margins in the Siple Coast, based on satellite-based deformation data summarized by Joughin et al. (2002), Perol and Rice find that it is common for shear margins to contain temperate ice, a binary mixture of ice and liquid water at the melting point, but the temperate zones are not necessarily continuous along the margins. This is consistent with the fact that

©2018. American Geophysical Union. All Rights Reserved.



**Figure 1.** Schematic of an idealized ice stream shear margin, development of a temperate zone, and the subglacial hydrology along an ice stream shear margin. The hydrology includes thin-film and channel drainage systems (after Creyts & Schoof, 2009; Hewitt, 2011, 2013). R-channel = Röthlisberger channel.

ice streams rely in part on the inflow of ice from the surrounding cold ridges, suppressing the formation of temperate ice (Haseloff et al., 2015; Suckale et al., 2014). Thus, the existence and thickness of a temperate zone must vary along the margin (Figure 1). For Bindschadler Ice Stream, Perol and Rice (2015) predict substantial temperate zones along the upstream margin (points TD1 and TD2; see Figure 2), whereas farther downstream, at points TD3 and D, they predict little to no temperate ice. The strain rate increases downstream of point D (Elsworth & Suckale, 2016; Meyer & Minchew, 2018; Scambos et al., 1994), and in section 3, we show that a substantial temperate zone develops.

Temperate ice supplies water to the bed, which affects the strength of basal sediments. In the Siple Coast, the underlying till is composed of water-saturated marine clay that deforms as a Coulomb-plastic material, where the yield stress depends linearly on the effective pressure, defined as the difference between the ice overburden and pore pressure (lverson et al., 1998; Kamb, 2001; Tulaczyk et al., 2000a). Below the centimeter-scale deforming region, the till is nearly impermeable, and so the pore pressure is controlled by the drainage system at the ice-till interface (lverson & lverson, 2001). Two conceptual modes of subglacial drainage at this interface are distributed and channelized. The effective pressure tends to be low (high pore pressure) in distributed drainage systems, potentially allowing the till to yield. On the other hand, the effective pressure is often higher in channels, and therefore, channelized drainage can strengthen the till, potentially making it less likely to yield. The increase in basal strength required in the transition from stream to ridge across a shear margin (Kamb, 2001; Kyrke-Smith et al., 2014, 2015) is potentially due to channelization, as suggested by models (Elsworth & Suckale, 2016; Perol et al., 2015; Platt et al., 2016). Observations also support channelized drainage



**Figure 2.** Observed strain rates in MacAyeal and Bindschadler Ice Streams. (a) Map of lateral shear strain rates calculated from observed surface velocities (Gardner et al., 2018) overlying the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer mosaic of Antarctica (Scambos et al., 2007). (b) Strain rate and (c) shear heating development along the southern Bindschadler shear margin compared with the parametrized lines (where every fifth data point is plotted for clarity).

along shear margins. Vogel et al. (2005) drilled into a cavity of flowing water (1.6-m vertical extent) in the shear margin of the stagnant Kamb Ice Stream, which is much larger than the millimeter-scale water films inferred by Engelhardt and Kamb (1997) and Kamb (2001). Additionally, satellite observations show that subglacially sourced meltwater channels on ice shelves are often collocated with shear margins (K. E. Alley et al., 2016; Marsh et al., 2016).

In this paper, we study the spatial evolution of temperate ice and subglacial hydrology along an ice stream shear margin. We examine the interaction between the water generated in the temperate zone and its influence on a subglacial hydrologic system. We start by describing a two-dimensional, steady state version of the Schoof and Hewitt (2016) model for englacial temperature as well as meltwater production and transport that is able to represent the development of temperate ice zones through shear heating. We focus on how porosity and effective pressure evolve downstream in the temperate zone, treating the shear heating and advection



velocities as data inputs to the model. Our model introduces the water that drains from the englacial system into the subglacial system and describes how the cumulative addition of water affects the state of the hydrologic system. We then apply these models to the southern shear margin of Bindschadler Ice Stream. Using velocity data collected from 2014 to 2015 (Gardner et al., 2018), we show that the shear heating increases with downstream distance. We use this shear heating profile to determine the evolution of temperature, porosity, and englacial effective pressure as well as the basal effective pressure and style of drainage along the Bindschadler shear margin. We find a subglacial hydrologic system where distributed drainage transitions to channelized drainage downstream.

## 2. Theory

### 2.1. Temperate Ice Model

We model ice temperature within and along a two-dimensional downstream slice in the (x, z) plane of an ice stream shear margin (Figure 1). The coordinate system is such that x is downstream, y is across the shear margin, and z is up, with z = 0 at the ice-bed interface and z = H at the ice surface. Conservation of energy dictates that the evolution of temperature T in the shear margin is given as

$$\rho_l c_p \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u}_l \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} T \right) = K \nabla^2 T + \sigma_{ij} \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{ij} - \rho_w \mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{M}, \tag{1}$$

where  $\rho_i$  is the ice density,  $\rho_w$  is the water density,  $c_p$  is the specific heat capacity, K is the thermal conductivity, and  $\mathscr{L}$  is the specific latent heat. We treat these material properties as constants that are independent of time, space, and temperature (see Table 1). The ice velocity is  $\boldsymbol{u}_i$ , the melt rate is M, and the rate of heat production due to ice deformation is  $\sigma_{ij}\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$ , where we employ the tensor summation convention. We use Glen's law for the rheology of ice, which is

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{ij} = A \tau_E^{n-1} \tau_{ij},\tag{2}$$

where  $\tau_{ij} = \sigma_{ij} + p\delta_{ij}$  is the deviatoric stress tensor, p is the pressure,  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta,  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the Cauchy stress tensor, and  $\dot{\epsilon}_{ij}$  is the strain rate tensor given by

$$\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_{li}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_{lj}}{\partial x_i} \right).$$
(3)

The effective stress  $\tau_E$  and strain rate  $\dot{e}_E$  are related as  $\dot{e}_E = A \tau_E^n$ , where the *E* subscript denotes the second variant of the respective tensor, that is,  $\dot{e}_E = \sqrt{\dot{e}_{ij}\dot{e}_{ij}/2}$ . The parameters are *A*, which is the ice softness, and *n*, which is the rheological exponent (Cuffey & Paterson, 2010; Glen, 1956; Goldsby & Kohlstedt, 2001). Thus, we compute the rate of heat generated by deforming ice  $\sigma_{ij}\dot{e}_{ij}$  using Glen's law, equation (2), as

$$\sigma_{ij}\dot{\epsilon}_{ij} = 2A^{-1/n}\dot{\epsilon}_E^{(n+1)/n},\tag{4}$$

which is an important source of heat in shear margins where the dominant mode of deformation is lateral shear, that is,  $\dot{e}_E \approx \dot{e}_{xy}$  (Joughin et al., 2002; Minchew et al., 2017; Schoof, 2004). Writing equation (4) in this way allows us to determine the shear heating based on observed strain rates.

When the ice temperature is lower than the melting point, the melt rate M in equation (1) is 0. The shear heating can warm the ice up to its melting temperature  $T_m$  to form temperate ice. Within the zone of temperate ice, the melt rate balances the heat generated by shearing, that is,  $\sigma_{ij}\dot{e}_{ij} = \rho_w \mathscr{D} M$ . Meltwater runs along ice grain boundaries and collects at triple junctions where the ice grains intersect (Lliboutry, 1996; Mader, 1992; Nye & Frank, 1973). Thus, the liquid water percolates through the ice grains as a porous media (Greve, 1997; Hutter, 1982; Jordan & Stark, 2001). To model the evolution of the temperate region, we track the porosity  $\phi$ , defined as the fractional volume of water in a given control volume (Meyer & Hewitt, 2017). Conservation of mass then dictates that

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u}_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \phi + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{M}, \tag{5}$$

where **q** is the flux of water through the temperate ice, and we ignore the minute amount of heat generated by the englacially flowing water (Nye, 1976; Schoof & Hewitt, 2016). We model this flux using Darcy's law, given by

$$\boldsymbol{q} = -\frac{\kappa_0 \phi^{\nu}}{\eta_w} \left( \boldsymbol{\nabla} \boldsymbol{p}_w + \rho_w g \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \right), \tag{6}$$



Tabla 1

Table of F	Parameters for the Temperate Ic	e, Subglacial Hydro	logy, and Models		
Temperate ice		Subglacial hydrology		Domain	
ρι	917 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	f	0.04 m <sup>4/3</sup> /kg <sup>1/2</sup>	L	$6 \times 10^4$ m
c <sub>p</sub>	2,050 m <sup>2</sup> ⋅s <sup>-2</sup> ⋅K <sup>-1</sup>	u <sub>b</sub>	10 m/year	Н	1,000 m
L	$3.34 \times 10^5 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2$	r	0.002	T <sub>m</sub>	273 K
К	2.1 kg⋅m⋅s <sup>-3</sup> ⋅K <sup>-1</sup>	т	3	Ts	247 K
g	9.806 m/s <sup>2</sup>	G	0.06 kg/s <sup>3</sup>	а	0.1 m/year
Α	$2.4 \times 10^{-24} \text{ Pa}^3/\text{s}$	$sin(\gamma)$	10 <sup>-3</sup>	N <sub>end</sub>	10 <sup>5</sup> Pa
n	3	W	10 <sup>4</sup> m	Q <sub>in</sub>	$10^{-7} \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
<i>к</i> 0	10 <sup>-12</sup> m <sup>2</sup>	k <sub>d</sub>	$3.33 \times 10^{-13}$		
ν	7/3	α	4/3		
$\rho_w$	1,000 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	β	3/2		
$\eta_W$	10 <sup>-3</sup> Pa s	$\eta_l$	10 <sup>13</sup> Pa s		

where  $\hat{z}$  is the unit vector in the vertical direction, the viscosity of the water is  $\eta_w$ , and  $p_w$  is the water pressure. The permeability of the temperate ice is written as  $\kappa_0 \phi^{\nu}$ , a simplified version of the Carmen-Kozeny relationship, where  $\kappa_0$  is the prefactor and  $\nu$  is the porosity exponent.

We make two additional assumptions. First, we assume that the ice pressure is hydrostatic so  $p_1 = \rho_1 g(H - z)$  for a constant ice thickness H, where the bed elevation is z = 0. We then define the effective pressure as the difference between the hydrostatic ice pressure and the meltwater pore pressure as

$$N = \rho_I g(H - z) - \rho_w. \tag{7}$$

Our second assumption is that the effective pressure N drives pore closure, thereby driving fluid flux, that is,

$$\frac{\phi N}{\eta_j} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{q},\tag{8}$$

with constant ice viscosity  $\eta_l$  (Fowler, 1984; McKenzie, 1984; Schoof & Hewitt, 2016). The assumption of a constant ice viscosity is justified to the extent that the creep on the scale of grains is dominated by diffusional creep, the situation at low stress (Frost & Ashby, 1982). Equations (7) and (8) allow us to determine the porosity and effective pressure within the temperate zone.

We construct a unified approach with a single evolution equation for the temperature and porosity in both the cold and temperate regions by writing the conservation of energy in terms of the specific enthalpy defined as

$$\mathscr{H} = \rho_l c_p (T - T_m) + \rho_w \mathscr{L} \phi, \tag{9}$$

which is the sum of sensible and latent heat contributions (Aschwanden et al., 2012), where the water fully saturates the temperate ice (Meyer & Hewitt, 2017). Neglecting the pressure dependence of the melting temperature  $T_m$ , we add equations (1) and (5) using (8) and (9) to find an evolution equation for the enthalpy. We also combine equations (6)–(8) to write an equation for the effective pressure. These combined equations are given as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial t} + \boldsymbol{u}_{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mathcal{H} + \rho_{w} \mathcal{L} \frac{\phi N}{\eta_{l}} = K \nabla^{2} T + \sigma_{ij} \dot{e}_{ij}, \qquad (10)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \left\{ \frac{\kappa_0 \phi^{\nu}}{\eta_w} \left[ \nabla N + (\rho_w - \rho_l) g \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right] \right\} = \frac{\phi N}{\eta_l}.$$
(11)

The values of the temperature *T* and porosity  $\phi$  can be determined a posteriori from the enthalpy  $\mathcal{H}$  using the inequalities

$$T = T_m + \min\left\{\frac{\mathscr{H}}{\rho_l c_p}, 0\right\},\tag{12a}$$



$$\phi = \max\left\{\frac{\mathscr{H}}{\rho_w\mathscr{L}}, 0\right\}.$$
 (12b)

The enthalpy approach has the advantage that the field is continuous across phase boundaries. At the temperate ice interface, the conditions on the interface are

q

$$\left[\rho_{w}\mathscr{L}\phi\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{l}-\dot{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right)\right]^{-}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}=\left[-\boldsymbol{K}\boldsymbol{\nabla}T\right]^{+}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{n}},\tag{13a}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} = 0,$$
 (13b)

$$T^{-} = T_m, \tag{13c}$$

where + indicates the cold ice region, – is within the temperate zone,  $\xi$  is the velocity of the interface, and  $\hat{n}$  is the unit normal vector pointing out of the temperate zone (Schoof & Hewitt, 2016). Equation (13a) is the Stefan condition at the cold-temperate interface, and equation (13b) enforces zero meltwater flux into the cold region. Additional discussion of the boundary conditions can be found in Schoof and Hewitt (2016).

On the exterior boundaries of the domain shown in Figure 1, we apply the conditions

$$T = T_s \text{ on } z = H, \tag{14a}$$

$$T = T_m \text{ or } N = N_b \text{ on } z = 0 \tag{14b}$$

$$-K\nabla T \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{x}} = 0 \text{ on } \boldsymbol{x} = 0, L, \tag{14c}$$

where  $T_s$  is the surface temperature,  $N_b$  is the basal effective pressure set by the subglacial hydrologic system (section 2.2), and  $\hat{x}$  is the unit vector in the downstream direction.

We discretize these equations in space and time using a forward Euler, finite volume scheme implemented in MATLAB. The finite volume method is a conservative numerical method, and therefore, the conditions (13a) and (13b) are automatically enforced. Thus, the cold-temperate interface can be determined from the inequalities (12a) and (12b). We employ a relaxation method and thereby time step the simulations to a steady state. Our mesh spacing is dx = L/248, dy = H/128, and we consider the simulation to be at steady state when the iteration difference error, defined as the sum of the squares of the differences divided by the average, is less than  $10^{-8}$ . The code is included in the supporting information.

## 2.2. Subglacial Hydrology Model

Along the shear margin, the ice-till interface receives water by in situ melting, upstream sources, and drainage from the overlying temperate ice. We model the hydrology at this interface using a one-dimensional downstream model that is invariant across the shear margin. Following Hewitt (2011), we describe the evolution of a thin-film (distributed system) and a Röthlisberger (1972) channel (R-channel) with a semicircular cross section; see schematic in Figure 1 (Creyts & Schoof, 2009; Kingslake, 2015; Kingslake & Ng, 2013). Both the R-channel and thin-film hydrologic systems evolve according to a balance between opening due to melting of ice or sliding and viscous creep closure. In this formulation, a channel only forms when there is too much water to be accommodated by the thin film, in which case, both systems operate simultaneously.

The thickness of the thin-film h evolves according to

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \frac{G}{\rho_l \mathscr{L}} + ru_b - \frac{hN_b}{\eta_l},\tag{15}$$

where G is the geothermal heat flux, r is a dimensionless bed roughness, and  $u_b$  is the basal sliding velocity. Thin-film opening by sliding  $ru_b$  is the product of two constants in our model (Table 1), and the effective pressure at the bed  $N_b$  varies with downstream distance. In general, the creep closure of the subglacial conduits can be written using the Nye (1953) solution and may include contributions from shearing within the margin (Meyer et al., 2016, 2017). However, we do not include the nonlinear Nye (1953) creep closure or shear softening and use a linear dependence on  $N_b$  following Hewitt (2011) as it contains the same physics and is



consistent with the creep closure in the temperate ice. We also assume that the conduit closure rates are unaffected by the finite thickness of the overlying ice (Evatt, 2015). The evolution of the cross-sectional area S of the R-channel is given by

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{Q_c \Psi}{\rho_l \mathscr{D}} - \frac{SN_b}{\eta_l},\tag{16}$$

where  $Q_c$  is the flux of water through the channel, and  $\Psi$  is the water pressure gradient defined as

$$\Psi = \rho_l g \sin(\gamma) + \frac{\partial N_b}{\partial x},\tag{17}$$

and  $sin(\gamma)$  is the downstream slope of the ice surface and bed (Figure 1). Just as in the thermomechanical model, we include the time dependence for completeness in equation (16) yet only consider steady state solutions.

The total flux of water into the hydrologic system Q(x) is the integral of the water entering the subglacial system from the temperate ice, that is,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}x} = w\boldsymbol{q}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{z}} \text{ or equivalently} \quad Q(x) = w \int_0^x \boldsymbol{q}\cdot\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}\mathrm{d}x', \tag{18}$$

where *w* is the width of the shear margin, and we assume the same flux into the subglacial system from the temperate ice across the shear margin; that is,  $\boldsymbol{q} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$  is constant in the *y* direction. We also ignore the small contributions from the ice melted within the subglacial system as well as melt generated by friction at the bed (Hewitt, 2011). Mass conservation then partitions the available water into the distributed system and R-channel as

$$Q = Q_d + Q_c, \tag{19}$$

where we write the distributed flux  $Q_d$  as a generalized Poiseuille flow and use Darcy-Weisbach (Chow, 1959) to empirically describe the turbulent channelized flux  $Q_c$ , that is,

$$Q_d = \frac{k_d h^3}{\eta_w} \Psi,$$
 (20a)

$$Q_c = f S^{\alpha} |\Psi|^{\beta - 2} \Psi.$$
(20b)

The constant  $k_d$  describes the effective permeability of the distributed system; f is the friction factor that can be related to Manning roughness, thereby characterizing the roughness of the R-channel (Clarke, 1996), and the exponents  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are empirical for turbulent flow (Brinkerhoff et al., 2016).

In our formulation, water only enters the channel system if there is too much water to be accommodated by the thin film. We define this transition based on the approximate distributed flux

$$\tilde{Q}_d = \frac{k_d h^3}{\eta_w} \rho_l g \sin(\gamma), \tag{21}$$

where we neglect the small downstream gradient in effective pressure, that is,  $\Psi \approx \rho_l g \sin(\gamma)$  (Hewitt, 2011, 2013; Werder et al., 2013). This allows us to establish two regimes. In the first regime, the difference between the incoming flux and the approximate distributed flux is less than 0, that is,  $Q - \tilde{Q}_d \leq 0$ , and therefore, the hydrologic system is distributed only and no channel opens (S = 0). In the second regime, there is more water than the thin-film system can accommodate, that is,  $Q - \tilde{Q}_d > 0$ , and so a channel opens (S > 0) with flux  $Q_c = Q - \tilde{Q}_d$ . Neglecting the downstream gradient in effective pressure is reasonable everywhere except where the channel opens but greatly simplifies the computations. Simulations where we did not neglect the downstream gradient yield nearly identical results, and we reiterate that the approximate distributed flux is only used to compute the transition location and determine the flux into the channelized system.



Combining all of these equations, we write the steady state system of equations as

(total flux) 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q}{\mathrm{d}x} = w \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{z}},$$
 (22)

$$(\text{transition flux})\tilde{Q}_d = \frac{k_d h^3}{\eta_w} \rho_l g \sin(\gamma), \qquad (23)$$

$$(\text{flux switch}) Q = \begin{cases} \tilde{Q}_d + fS^{\alpha} |\Psi|^{\beta - 2} \Psi \text{ for } Q > \tilde{Q}_d \\ \frac{k_d h^3}{\eta_w} \Psi \text{ for } Q \le \tilde{Q}_d \end{cases},$$
(24)

$$(\text{thin film}) hN_b = \frac{\eta_l G}{\rho_l \mathscr{L}} + \eta_l r u_b, \qquad (25)$$

$$(\text{channel}) S^{\alpha-1} |\Psi|^{\beta} = \frac{\rho_l \mathscr{L}}{f\eta_l} N_b, \tag{26}$$

(pressure) 
$$\frac{dN_b}{dx} = \Psi - \rho_l g \sin(\gamma),$$
 (27)

which we solve as a coupled system of ordinary differential equations in MATLAB using the boundary conditions

$$Q = Q_{\rm in} \, {\rm at} \, x = 0, \tag{28a}$$

$$N_b = N_{\rm end} \, {\rm at} \, x = L, \tag{28b}$$

where  $Q_{in}$  is the incoming flux from upstream, and  $N_{end}$  is the effective pressure at the downstream end of the domain. The subglacial system is then coupled to the model for the englacial temperate ice by providing the basal boundary condition for the englacial effective pressure N, as in equation (14b). The supporting information contains our coded implementation.

# 3. Application to the Southern Bindschadler Shear Margin

As a case study, we apply our model to the downstream region of the southern shear margin of Bindschadler lce Stream, which is relatively straight and well defined, and the shear strain rate increases with downstream distance (see Figure 2a). This margin is part of the former suture zone with the now-stagnant Siple lce Stream, a former distributary of Kamb lce Stream (Catania et al., 2012; Hulbe & Fahnestock, 2007; Hulbe et al., 2016) that stagnated about 250 years before Kamb stagnated (Catania et al., 2003; Retzlaff & Bentley, 1993; Smith et al., 2002). Topography does not appear to control the position of the Bindschadler/Siple shear margin, and therefore, it is a prototypical shear margin that is not topographically controlled.

Our model improves upon the downstream resolution and description of physical processes of prior models (Elsworth & Suckale, 2016; Joughin et al., 2004; Scambos et al., 1994). We use the surface velocity fields derived from satellite imagery collected in 2014–2015 from Landsat 7 and 8, which are provided with 240-m spatial resolution (Gardner et al., 2018), to calculate the lateral shear strain rate along the margin. We model the development of temperate ice along flow using equations (10) and (11) and couple it to the subglacial hydrologic model described in equations (22)–(27). We compute the shear heating  $\sigma_{ij}\dot{e}_{ij}$  that occurs along the margin from equation (4) and approximate the effective strain rate as  $\dot{e}_E \approx \dot{e}_{xy}$  because downstream shear is the dominant strain rate in the margin. We use the strain rates along ~55 km of the downstream southern shear margin calculated from observed velocity fields (Gardner et al., 2018). The strain rate along this margin increases quasi-linearly with distance, as shown in Figure 2b; thus, we represent the data parametrically as

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{xy} = (0.0741 \text{ year}^{-1}) \frac{x}{l} + 0.0202 \text{ year}^{-1},$$
 (29)

which is shown with a black dashed line in Figure 2b. We compute the shear heating by inserting equation (29) into equation (4). The ice softness A is strongly temperature T and porosity  $\phi$  dependent and is a function of the ice crystal orientation (Cuffey & Paterson, 2010; Duval, 1977; Paterson, 1977). We, however, take A to be constant and equal to the value expected for temperate ice, which allows us to estimate the shear heating from the data. Evaluating A at the melting temperature, moreover, represents the minimum expected shear



**Figure 3.** Evolution of a temperate zone with downstream distance along the southern margin of Bindschadler Ice Stream. (a) Temperature increases with downstream distance. (b) Porosity increases with downstream distance and vertically. (c) Effective pressure varies with downstream distance and is the largest at the interface between the temperate ice and subglacial hydrologic system.

100



**Figure 4.** Results of the coupled temperate ice and subglacial hydrology models. (top panel) Flux out of the temperate zone into the subglacial system. (middle panel) Size of subglacial conduits as a function of downstream distance. The water that drains from the temperate ice quickly overwhelms the distributed system, and channelization occurs. (bottom panel) Subglacial effective pressure with downstream distance showing a transition from distributed to channelized drainage.

heating excluding the effects of fabric and porosity (Meyer & Minchew, 2018; Minchew et al., 2018). Thus, our model results are robust to this simplification.

For ice advection, we consider constant velocity as

$$\boldsymbol{u}_{l} = (u_{b}, 0, -a), \tag{30}$$

where  $u_b$  is a representative downstream velocity for the shear margin, and the vertical velocity is given by the surface accumulation rate a. The downstream velocity varies only slightly with distance, and for the vertical velocity, we ignore the variation with depth as the accumulation rate is small (Table 1; Schoof & Hewitt, 2016). A vertically uniform velocity also gives the minimum thickness for the temperate zone as a height-dependent velocity would only decrease the amount of cold ice advected into the temperate zone (e.g., see Meyer & Minchew, 2018). We neglect lateral flow across the margin as inflow from Siple Dome is slow ( $\approx 1$  m/year), and not enough time has elapsed since the stagnation of Siple Ice Stream for ice to flow across and influence the Bindschadler/Siple shear margin (Nereson, 2000). In this way, we assume that the shear margin is in steady state with respect to downstream flow and unaffected by lateral inflow.

With the shear heating and ice advection specified, we now solve the enthalpy and effective pressure equations, that is, equations (10) and (11), subject to the boundary conditions (14a)-(14c), in the rectangular



**Figure 5.** Variation of the permeability of temperate ice  $\kappa_0$  and its effect on (a) effective pressure and (b) flux of water into the subglacial system.





**Figure 6.** Variation of the (a) permeability of the distributed drainage system  $k_d$ ; (b) incoming flux of water from upstream  $Q_{in}$  (black line is channelized throughout); (c) downstream effective pressure boundary condition  $N_{end}$ ; and (d) friction resistance within the Röthlisberger channel f. The flux of water into the subglacial system from the temperate ice is the same in all cases.

domain of Figure 1. The steady state simulations for the temperature, porosity, and effective pressure fields are shown in Figure 3. As expected, the temperature field, Figure 3a, shows that the increase in shear heating with downstream distance leads to an increase in temperature within the ice column. Then, at approximately 20 km downstream along the southern shear margin, a zone of temperate ice emerges. The cold-temperate boundary is shown on the figure as a solid black line. Within the temperate ice, the porosity and effective pressure develop downstream, as shown in Figures 3b and 3c, respectively. The porosity is 0 at the cold-temperate boundary and generally increases with depth and distance downstream. When ice flows into a temperate zone, as is true in this case, the porosity must go to 0 at the cold-temperate boundary. However, this is not the case when the ice flows out from the temperate zone into a cold region, where a jump in porosity is possible as it is balanced by refreezing at the interface (Schoof & Hewitt, 2016). The effective pressure is undefined in the cold region where there is no liquid water and is relatively large at the cold-temperate boundary. At the bottom of the domain, the subglacial effective pressure induces a very large effective pressure within the ice. This large englacial effective pressure leads to compaction of the ice by equation (8), and a low-porosity layer develops near the bottom of the domain in Figure 3b.

The evolution of the temperature field and development of a temperate zone is coupled to the evolution of the subglacial hydrologic system. Figure 4 shows subglacial effective pressure with downstream distance and the flux of water entering the subglacial system from the overlying temperate ice, for a single set of parameters (Table 1). We show how the variation of parameters affects the effective pressure distribution in the next section, Figures 5 and 6, but the trends are equivalent. The results in Figure 4 (middle) show that there is a transition from distributed to channelized drainage with downstream distance. This transition indicates that the width-averaged subglacial hydrologic system changes from an entirely distributed system to a thin-film and channel system where the effective pressure in the margin is governed by the channel. In the region upstream of where the temperate zone initiates, that is, x < 20 km, the hydrology is distributed (S = 0),



and the thin-film size is given as a balance between geothermal heat as well as sliding and ice creep closure (equation (15). As soon as the temperate zone initiates, the water entering the distributed system from the temperate ice leads to a rapid increase in the thin-film thickness, at which point there is enough water to open an R-channel (Figure 4, middle). At the same time as the flux through the thin-film system increases, the effective pressure decreases (Figure 4, top), which is the well-known feature of distributed systems that the flux and effective pressure are inversely proportional (Fowler, 2011). Once the channelized system initiates, the effective pressure increases to  $N_{end}$ , the applied downstream boundary condition. The radius of the channel grows with downstream distance, while the thin-film thickness.

# 4. Discussion

Our results show the evolution of a temperate zone along an ice stream shear margin. In our model, this comes from a one-way thermomechanical coupling where the increase in lateral shear strain rate leads to an increase in shear heating and therefore the growth of a temperate zone. In general, however, there is a nonlinear two-way coupling between shear heating and viscosity within a shear margin whereby the warming of ice and development of temperate ice increases the lateral shear strain rate and the ice softness *A* while decreasing the width of the shear margin and the lateral shear stress (Schoof & Hewitt, 2013). This results in a narrow shear margin composed of warm, soft ice. Although we use the value of ice softness evaluated at the melting temperature in our computations, our results indicate significant downstream softening of ice in shear margins.

The generation of meltwater within the temperate zone also softens the ice, and the water that drains from the temperate ice influences subglacial hydrology. We find that the extra water supplied by the temperate ice leads to a transition from a thin-film distributed system to channelized drainage within a few ice thicknesses downstream from the onset of the temperate zone along the southern shear margin. This evolution of the subglacial hydrologic system corroborates Elsworth and Suckale (2016), who use a sequence of (y, z) slices along the same Bindschadler margin and conceptualize a transition from distributed to channelized drainage. This transition occurs in our model partly because of the low permeability of our thin-film distributed system. For the permeability prefactor  $k_d$  in equation (20a), we use  $k_d = 3.33 \times 10^{-13}$ , a value that leads to a permeability that is similar in order of magnitude to the estimates for subglacial till and much smaller than typical thin-film systems (Fountain & Walder, 1998; Kamb, 2001; Tulaczyk et al., 2000a). The low permeability is comparable to the thin-film model of Perol et al. (2015). Our modeling results suggest that the thin-film conduits under ice streams are likely centimeter-scale regions of porous deforming till (Iverson & Iverson, 2001).

To understand how the parameter choices affect our results, we vary five parameters: the englacial permeability prefactor  $\kappa_0$  (Figure 5), the distributed drainage permeability  $k_d$ , the incoming flux from upstream  $Q_{in}$ , the downstream effective pressure  $N_{end}$ , and the R-channel friction factor f (Figure 6). Starting with the variation of the temperate ice permeability, we can see that  $\kappa_0$  affects the subglacial effective pressure (Figure 5a) and amount of water that leaves the temperate zone and enters the subglacial system (Figure 5b). The largest permeability leads to the largest flux of water into the subglacial system (red lines in Figures 5a and 5b). The lowest permeability has the lowest flux into the subglacial system, and the transition from distributed to channelized drainage occurs at the most downstream point. While the effective pressure and flux do respond quantitatively to a variation in  $\kappa_0$ , the qualitative features remain unchanged. In other words, a 4 orders of magnitude change in the value of  $\kappa_0$  leads to a change in the position of distributed-to-channelized transition of a few kilometers and the flux changes by less than a factor of 2.

Changing the permeability of the distributed system  $k_d$  (without changing the flux of water into the subglacial system), as shown in Figure 6a, also affects the subglacial effective pressure. In the region upstream of the temperate zone, the distributed subglacial hydrology model reduces to

$$h = \left(\frac{\eta_w Q_{\rm in}}{k_d \rho_l g \sin(\gamma)}\right)^{1/3},\tag{31}$$

$$N_b = \left(\frac{\eta_l G}{\rho_i \mathscr{L}} + \eta_l r u_b\right) \left(\frac{k_d \rho_l g \sin(\gamma)}{\eta_w Q_{\rm in}}\right)^{1/3}.$$
(32)

Thus, as the permeability  $k_d$  increases, the thin-film thickness decreases, leading to an increase in the basal effective pressure, which is shown in Figure 6a. Following the same logic, increasing the flux of water from



upstream decreases the effective pressure in the distributed system until, for a large enough incoming flux, a channelized drainage system exists along the entire shear margin (black line in Figure 6b). The incoming water from the temperate zone prevents the hydrologic system from staying distributed throughout the domain. Varying the downstream effective pressure  $N_{end}$ , however, does not affect the distributed effective pressure, nor does it change the location of the transition between the drainage systems. If the end of the domain is near the grounding line (black line in Figure 6c), there is a small increase in the effective pressure after the distributed-to-channelized transition and then a decrease to low effective pressure over about 10 km. As we decrease the frictional resistance in the channel, the effective pressure drops and then increases sharply to satisfy the  $N_{end}$  boundary condition (Figure 6d).

Considering a force balance on the ice stream, the development of temperate ice by shear heating weakens the lateral shear stress exerted by the margins. Thus, in the absence of lateral control on margin position, the bed in the margin must strengthen or cold ice must advect in from the ridge in order to counteract the driving stress (Haseloff et al., 2015; Jacobson & Raymond, 1998; Suckale et al., 2014). The inflow of cold ice from the ridge, or equivalently margin migration in the frame of the margin, can extinguish the temperate ice and increase the lateral shear stress (Perol & Rice, 2015). For a steady margin position, the bed must strengthen, which is consistent with our results showing a transition in subglacial hydrology from distributed to channelized drainage leading to an increase in basal effective pressure. Assuming that in the vicinity of the ice stream, the dominant mechanism of glacier motion is due to plastic yielding of water saturated till, we equate the basal shear stress with the local till yield stress (Iverson et al., 1998; Minchew et al., 2016; Tulaczyk et al., 2000b). From a Mohr-Coulomb yield criterion, we can relate the basal shear stress (now equivalent to the till yield stress) to the effective pressure in the till as

$$\tau_b = \tan(\varphi)N,\tag{33}$$

where we assume that  $\varphi$  is the angle of internal friction, such that a typical value is  $\tan(\varphi) = 0.4$ , and cohesion is negligible (Rathbun et al., 2008). In this way, the strength of the sediments is directly proportional to the effective pressure. For many of the parameter combinations we consider here, the effective pressure is lower (i.e., the till is likely weaker) in the upstream part of the margin, where the drainage system is distributed, than far downstream where the hydrologic system is channelized. In the immediate vicinity of the transition point, the effective pressure drops precipitously, and after the transition, the growth of the channel may require 1 to 20 km for the effective pressure to increase beyond that of the distributed system.

A mechanism for preventing a shear margin from migrating laterally through channelized subglacial hydrology is presented in Perol et al. (2015), which contains a similar subglacial system to the Creyts and Schoof (2009) hydrologic system utilized by Kyrke-Smith et al. (2014, 2015) to obtain stable shear margins. In this paper, we have deliberately avoided lateral drainage but note that it could be easily incorporated as a drainage sink that will likely depend on the drainage configuration. A freezing till mechanism for stable shear margins is postulated by Jacobson and Raymond (1998), Schoof (2012), and Haseloff et al. (2015), but we do not delve into a frozen fringe description (Rempel et al., 2004; Rempel, 2008, 2009). Rather, we summarize the basic mechanism by which a channel can lock a shear margin. In a (y, z) cross section across a shear margin, the till is frozen under the ridge and shearing under the stream (Perol et al., 2015; Schoof, 2004). Thus, the transition between frozen and deforming till is analogous to the tip of a mode-III (tearing) crack, where there is a stress concentration at the transition point (Rice, 1967, 1968; Schoof, 2004). If the stress at the transition point is larger than the till yield stress, the failed till region will advance, and the ice stream will widen. An R-channel provides a mechanism to lock the margin in place by strengthening the till and reducing the stress concentration below the yield stress of the till (Meyer et al., 2016; Perol et al., 2015; Platt et al., 2016).

While we use data from the southern Bindschadler shear margin, the development of temperate ice and the formation of subglacial channels are general results that can be applied to any active shear margin that is underlain by till of low permeability and not controlled by topography. Two examples of locations where our results may be insightful are the eastern shear margin of Thwaites Glacier (MacGregor et al., 2013; Schroeder et al., 2013, 2016) and the margins of Whillans Ice Stream (Anandakrishnan et al., 1998; Perol et al., 2015; Suckale et al., 2014). Using radar backscatter data, Peters et al. (2005) find a sharp transition in bed reflectivity across the Dragon margin on the Whillans Ice Stream, which they interpret as an abrupt change in subglacial hydrology, consistent with the Perol et al. (2015) model. On the other hand, Raymond et al. (2006)



do not observe a significant jump in bed reflectivity in the upstream region of the same Whillans shear margin. Similarly, MacGregor et al. (2013) do not see a large change in bed reflectivity across the eastern shear margin of Thwaites Glacier. They invoke distributed drainage as a possible mechanism, which may be indicative of an unstable margin.

# **5. Conclusions**

In this paper we describe the coupled development of temperate ice and subglacial hydrology along an ice stream shear margin. We force our thermomechanical model using observed shear strain rates to compute the shear heating within the shear margin. We use a surface velocity field derived from Landsat 7 to 8 satellite imagery (Gardner et al., 2018) to obtain high-resolution strain rate data along the southern Bindschadler shear margin, from which we compute the shear heating along the margin. In our thermomechanical model, we use an enthalpy formulation to compute the englacial ice temperature in both the cold region, where the ice is below the melting point, and the temperate zone. Meltwater generated in the temperate zone flows through the porous ice, driven by gradients in the englacial effective pressure, and enters a subglacial hydrologic system. At the upstream end of our domain, the subglacial system is distributed and the effective pressure is low. Downstream of where the temperate zone emerges, the englacially sourced water initiates a channel, and the high-effective pressure in the channel strengthens the sediments and locks the margin in a stable configuration. In this way, our model shows that ice stream shear margins develop temperate ice downstream and their lateral migration is stabilized by channelized drainage.

The development of subglacial hydrology along the shear margin, that is, the transition from distributed to channelized drainage, shows that shear margins are not uniformly susceptible to lateral migration. Appealing to the stability mechanism of Perol et al. (2015), the portions of the margin where there is a distributed subglacial system are more likely to migrate than where there is a channelized drainage. This is visible in Figure 2: The upper part of the southern Bindschadler shear margin is diffuse, whereas the downstream margin is straight, well-defined, and shear strain rate increases downstream. Additionally, MacGregor et al. (2013) propose that the hydrology below the eastern shear margin of Thwaites Glacier may be a distributed system due to the small change in bed reflectivity, which could make the margin susceptible to lateral migration.

Furthermore, when a temperate zone develops in a shear margin, it remains for a long time, even if the forcing is removed, because advection and diffusion are processes with timescales on the order of 10 kyr. Thus, even though new melt will not be produced after the stagnation of an ice stream, water will continue to drain from the temperate ice in the shear margin to the bed. Vogel (2004) observes channelized flow in the margin of the stagnated Kamb Ice Stream, which is potentially sourced from relic temperate ice within the margin. This does not rule out water piracy as a mechanism for stagnation (R. B. Alley et al., 1994; Anandakrishnan & Alley, 1997) but rather highlights the importance of hydrology in shear margins.

#### Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Timothy Creyts, lan Hewitt, Thibaut Perol, Alan Rempel, and Jenny Suckale for insightful discussions. We would also like to thank the Associate Editor Olga Sergienko, an anonymous reviewer, Geoff Evatt, and Jonny Kingslake for comments. The data used in this paper are either publicly available or can be generated using the code appended in the supporting information. The code can also be found on GitHub with DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1288804. We are grateful for the support of the NSF Graduate Research Fellowship award DGE1144152 and a David Crighton Fellowship to C. R. M., the NSF Office of Polar Programs grant PP1341499 (J. R. R.), and the NSF Earth Sciences Postdoctoral Fellowship award EAR1452587 (B. M.).

#### References

- Alley, R. B., Anandakrishnan, S., Bentley, C. R., & Lord, N. (1994). A water-piracy hypothesis for the stagnation of Ice Stream C, Antarctica. Annals of Glaciology, 20(1), 187–194. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260305500016438
- Alley, K. E., Scambos, T. A., Siegfried, M. R., & Fricker, H. A. (2016). Impacts of warm water on Antarctic ice shelf stability through basal channel formation. *Nature Geoscience*, 9(4), 290–293. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2675
- Anandakrishnan, S., & Alley, R. B. (1997). Stagnation of Ice Stream C, West Antarctica by water piracy. Geophysical Research Letters, 24(3), 265–268. https://doi.org/10.1029/96GL04016
- Anandakrishnan, S., Blankenship, D., Alley, R., & Stoffa, P. (1998). Influence of subglacial geology on the position of a West Antarctic ice stream from seismic observations. *Nature*, 394(6688), 62–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/27889
- Aschwanden, A., Bueler, E., Khroulev, C., & Blatter, H. (2012). An enthalpy formulation for glaciers and ice sheets. *Journal of Glaciology*, 58(209), 441–457. https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoG11J088

Bamber, J. L., Vaughan, D. G., & Joughin, I. (2000). Widespread complex flow in the interior of the Antarctic ice sheet. Science, 287(5456), 1248–1250. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5456.1248

Brinkerhoff, D. J., Meyer, C. R., Bueler, E., Truffer, M., Bartholomaus, T. C. (2016). Inversion of a glacier hydrology model. *Annals of Glaciology*, 57, 84–95. https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2016.3

Catania, G. A., Conway, H. B., Gades, A. M., Raymond, C. F., & Engelhardt, H. (2003). Bed reflectivity beneath inactive ice streams in West Antarctica. *Annals of Glaciology*, *36*(1), 287–291. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816310

Catania, G., Hulbe, C., Conway, H., Scambos, T. A., & Raymond, C. F. (2012). Variability in the mass flux of the Ross ice streams, West Antarctica, over the last millennium. *Journal of Glaciology*, *58*(210), 741–752. https://doi.org/10.3189/2012JoG11J219

Chow, T. V. (1959). Open channel hydraulics. New York: McGraw-Hill.
Clarke, G. K. C (1996). Lumped-element analysis of subglacial hydraulic circuits. Journal of Geophysical Research, 101(B8), 17,547–17,559. https://doi.org/10.1029/96JB01508

Creyts, T. T., & Schoof, C. (2009). Drainage through subglacial water sheets. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114, F04008. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JF001215 Cuffey, K. M., & Paterson, W. S. B. (2010). *The physics of glaciers (fourth edition)*. New York: Elsevier. Duval, P. (1977). The role of the water content on the creep rate of polycrystalline ice. *IAHS*, *118*, 29–33. Echelmeyer, K. A., Harrison, W. D., Larsen, C., & Mitchell, J. E. (1994). The role of the margins in the dynamics of an active ice stream.

Journal of Glaciology, 40(136), 527–538. https://doi.org/10.3198/1994JoG40-136-527-538

Elsworth, C. W., & Suckale, J. (2016). Rapid ice flow rearrangement induced by subglacial drainage in West Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 697–707. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070430

Engelhardt, H. F., & Kamb, B. (1997). Basal hydraulic system of a West Antarctic ice stream: Constraints from borehole observations. *Journal of Glaciology*, 43(144), 207–230. https://doi.org/10.1017/S002214300003166

Evatt, G. W (2015). Röthlisberger channels with finite ice depth and open channel flow. Annals of Glaciology, 50(70), 45–50. https://doi.org/10.3189/2015aog70a992

Fountain, A. G., & Walder, J. S. (1998). Water flow through temperate glaciers. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 36(3), 299–328. https://doi.org/10.1029/97RG03579

Fowler, A. C. (1984). On the transport of moisture in polythermal glaciers. *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 28(2), 99–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309192840822.2846

Fowler, A (2011). Mathematical geoscience (Vol. 36). London, UK: Springer Science & Business Media.

Frost, H. J., & Ashby, M. F. (1982). Deformation mechanism maps: The plasticity and creep of metals and ceramics. Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press. Gardner, A. S., Moholdt, G., Scambos, T., Fahnstock, M., Ligtenberg, S., van den Broeke, M., & Nilsson, J. (2018). Increased West Antarctic and unchanged East Antarctic ice discharge over the last 7 years. The Cryosphere, 12(2), 521–547. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-521-2018

Glen, J. W (1956). Measurement of the deformation of ice in a tunnel at the foot of an ice fall. *Journal of Glaciology*, 2(20), 735–745. https://doi.org/10.3198/1956JoG2-20-735-746

Goldsby, D. L., & Kohlstedt, D. L. (2001). Superplastic deformation of ice: Experimental observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106(B6), 11017–11030. https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JB900336

Greve, R. (1997). A continuum – mechanical formulation for shallow polythermal ice sheets. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series A, 355(1726), 921–974. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1997.0050

Haseloff, M., Schoof, C., & Gagliardini, O. (2015). A boundary layer model for ice stream margins. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 781, 353–387. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2015.503

Hewitt, I. J. (2011). Modelling distributed and channelized subglacial drainage: The spacing of channels. *Journal of Glaciology*, 57(202), 302–314. https://doi.org/10.3189/002214311796405951

Hewitt, I. J. (2013). Seasonal changes in ice sheet motion due to melt water lubrication. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 371, 16–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2013.04.022

Hulbe, C., & Fahnestock, M. (2007). Century-scale discharge stagnation and reactivation of the Ross ice streams, West Antarctica. Journal of Geophysical Research, 112, F03527. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000603

Hulbe, C. L., Scambos, T. A., Klinger, M., & Fahnestock, M. A. (2016). Flow variability and ongoing margin shifts on Bindschadler and MacAyeal Ice Streams, West Antarctica. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 121, 283–293. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003670

Hutter, K. (1982). A mathematical model of polythermal glaciers and ice sheets. *Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics*, 21(3–4), 201–224. https://doi.org/10.1080/03091928208209013

Iverson, N. R., Hooyer, T. S., & Baker, R. V. V. (1998). Ring-shear studies of till deformation: Coulomb-plastic behavior and distributed strain in glacier beds. Journal of Glaciology, 44(148), 634–642. https://doi.org/10.3198/1998JoG44-148-634-642

Iverson, N. R., & Iverson, R. M. (2001). Distributed shear of subglacial till due to Coulomb slip. Journal of Glaciology, 47(158), 481–488. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756501781832115

Jacka, T. H., & Budd, W. F. (1989). Isotropic and anisotropic flow relations for ice dynamics. Annals of Glaciology, 12(1), 81–84. https://doi.org/10.3189/1989AoG12-1-81-84

Jackson, M., & Kamb, B. (1997). The marginal shear stress of Ice Stream B, West Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 43(145), 415–426. https://doi.org/10.3198/1997JoG43-145-415-426

Jacobson, H. P., & Raymond, C. F. (1998). Thermal effects on the location of ice stream margins. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 103(B6), 12111–12122. https://doi.org/10.1029/98JB00574

Jordan, R., & Stark, J. (2001). Capillary tension in rotting ice layers (*Tech. Rep.*) Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory: US Army Corps of Engineers. Technical Report ERDC/CRREL TR-01-13.

Joughin, I., MacAyeal, D. R., & Tulaczyk, S. (2004). Basal shear stress of the Ross ice streams from control method inversions. Journal of Geophysical Research, 109, B09405. https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JB002960

Joughin, I., Tulaczyk, S., Bindschadler, R., & Price, S. F. (2002). Changes in West Antarctic ice stream velocities: Observation and analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107(B11), 2289. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JB001029

Kamb, B. (2001). Basal zone of the West Antarctic ice streams and its role in lubrication of their rapid motion. In R. B. Alley & R. A. Bindschadler (Eds.), *The West Antarctic ice sheet: Behavior and environment* (Vol. 77, pp. 157–199). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union. https://doi.org/10.1029/AR077p0157

Kingslake, J. (2015). Chaotic dynamics of a glaciohydraulic model. Journal of Glaciology, 61(227), 493-502.

Kingslake, J., & Ng, F. (2013). Modelling the coupling of flood discharge with glacier flow during jökulhlaups. *Annals of Glaciology*, *54*(63), 25–31. https://doi.org/10.3189/2013aog63a331

Kyrke-Smith, T. M., Katz, R. F., & Fowler, A. C. (2014). Subglacial hydrology and the formation of ice streams. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 470(2161). https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2013.0494

Kyrke-Smith, T. M., Katz, R. F., & Fowler, A. C. (2015). Subglacial hydrology as a control on emergence, scale, and spacing of ice streams. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120(8), 1501–1514. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003505

Lliboutry, L. (1996). Temperate ice permeability, stability of water veins and percolation of internal meltwater. *Journal of Glaciology*, 42(141), 201–211. https://doi.org/10.3198/1996JoG42-141-201-211

MacGregor, J. A., Catania, G. A., Conway, H., Schroeder, D. M., Joughin, I., Young, D. A., et al. (2013). Weak bed control of the eastern shear margin of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology*, *59*(217), 900–912. https://doi.org/10.3189/2013J0G13J050

- Mader, H. M. (1992). The thermal behaviour of the water-vein system in polycrystalline ice. *Journal of Glaciology*, 38(130), 359–374. https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000002240
- Marsh, O. J., Fricker, H. A., Siegfried, M. R., Christianson, K., Nicholls, K. W., Corr, H. F. J., & Catania, G. (2016). High basal melting forming a channel at the grounding line of Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 250–255. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066612
- McKenzie, D. (1984). The generation and compaction of partially molten rock. *Journal of Petrology*, 25(3), 713–765. https://doi.org/10.1093/petrology/25.3.713



Meyer, C. R., Fernandes, M. C., Creyts, T. T., & Rice, J. R. (2016). Effects of ice deformation on Röthlisberger channels and implications for transitions in subglacial hydrology. *Journal of Glaciology*, 62(234), 750–762. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.65

Meyer, C. R., & Hewitt, I. J. (2017). A continuum model for meltwater flow through compacting snow. *Cryosphere*, 11(6), 2799–2813. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-2799-2017

Meyer, C. R., Hutchinson, J. W., & Rice, J. R. (2017). The path-independent M integral implies the creep closure of englacial and subglacial channels. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 84(1), 011006. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4034828

- Meyer, C. R., & Minchew, B. M. (2018). Temperate ice in the shear margins of the Antarctic Ice Sheet: Controlling processes and preliminary locations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 498, 17–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.06.028
- Minchew, B. M., Meyer, C. R., Gudmundsson, G. H., Robel, A. A., Gudmundsson, G. H., & Simons, M. (2018). Processes controlling the downstream evolution of ice rheology in glacier shear margins: Case study on Rutford Ice Stream, West Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology*, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2018.47
- Minchew, B., Simons, M., Björnsson, H., Pálsson, F., Morlighem, M., Seroussi, H., et al. (2016). Plastic bed beneath Hofsjökull ice cap, central lceland, and the sensitivity of ice flow to surface meltwater flux. *Journal of Glaciology*, *62*(231), 147–158. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.26
- Minchew, B. M., Simons, M., Riel, B. V., & Milillo, P. (2017). Tidally induced variations in vertical and horizontal motion on Rutford lce Stream, West Antarctica, inferred from remotely sensed observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, 122, 167–190. https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JF003971

Nereson, N. A. (2000). Elevation of ice-stream margin scars after stagnation. *Journal of Glaciology*, 46(152), 111–118. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756500781833241

Nye, J. F. (1953). The flow law of ice from measurements in glacier tunnels, laboratory experiments and the Jungfraufirn borehole experiment. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, 219, 477–489. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1953.0161

Nye, J. F. (1976). Water flow in glaciers: Jökulhlaups, tunnels and veins. Journal of Glaciology, 17(76), 181–207. https://doi.org/10.3198/1976JoG17-76-181-207

Nye, J. F., & Frank, F. C. (1973). Hydrology of the intergranular veins in a temperate glacier. Symposium on the Hydrology of Glaciers, 95, 157–161.

- Paterson, W. S. B. (1977). Secondary and tertiary creep of glacier ice as measured by borehole closure rates. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 15(1), 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1029/RG015i001p00047
- Perol, T., & Rice, J. R. (2011). Control of the width of West Antarctic ice streams by internal melting in the ice sheet near the margins. Abstract C11B-0677 presented at 2011 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco California, 5-9 Dec.

Perol, T., & Rice, J. R. (2015). Shear heating and weakening of the margins of West Antarctic ice streams. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 3406–3413. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015gl063638

Perol, T., Rice, J. R., Platt, J. D., & Suckale, J. (2015). Subglacial hydrology and ice stream margin locations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 120*, 1352–1368. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015jf003542

Peters, M. E., Blankenship, D. D., & Morse, D. L. (2005). Analysis techniques for coherent airborne radar sounding: Application to West Antarctic ice streams. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *110*, B06303. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JB003222

- Platt, J. D., Perol, T., Suckale, J., & Rice, J. R. (2016). Determining conditions that allow a shear margin to coincide with a Röthlisberger channel. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface*, *121*, 1273–1294. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JF003707
- Rathbun, A. P., Marone, C., Alley, R. B., & Anandakrishnan, S. (2008). Laboratory study of the frictional rheology of sheared till. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, F02020. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000815
- Raymond, C. (1996). Shear margins in glaciers and ice sheets. Journal of Glaciology, 42(140), 90-102.

https://doi.org/10.3198/1996JoG42-140-90-102

Raymond, C. F., Catania, G. A., Nereson, N., & van der Veen, C. J. (2006). Bed radar reflectivity across the north margin of Whillans Ice Stream, West Antarctica, and implications for margin processes. *Journal of Glaciology*, *52*(176), 3–10. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756506781828890

Raymond, C. F., Echelmeyer, K. A., Whillans, I. M., & Doake, C. S. M. (2001). Ice stream shear margins. In R. B. Alley & R. A. Bindschadler (Eds.), *The West Antarctic ice sheet: Behavior and environment* (Vol. 77, pp. 157–199). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union. https://doi.org/10.1029/AR077p0157

Rempel, A. W. (2008). A theory for ice-till interactions and sediment entrainment beneath glaciers. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, F01013. https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JF000870

Rempel, A. W. (2009). Transient effective stress variations forced by changes in conduit pressure beneath glaciers and ice sheets. Annals of Glaciology, 50(52), 61–66. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756409789624300

Rempel, A. W., Wettlaufer, J. S., & Worster, M. G. (2004). Premelting dynamics in a continuum model of frost heave. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 498, 227–244.

Retzlaff, R., & Bentley, C. R. (1993). Timing of stagnation of Ice Stream C, West Antarctica, from short-pulse radar studies of buried surface crevasses. *Journal of Glaciology*, *39*(133), 553–561. https://doi.org/10.3198/1993JoG39-133-553-561

Rice, J. R. (1967). Stresses due to a sharp notch in a work-hardening elastic-plastic material loaded by longitudinal shear. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 34, 287–298. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3607681

Rice, J. R. (1968). Mathematical analysis in the mechanics of fracture. In H. Liebowitz (Ed.), Fracture: An advanced treatise (Vol. 2, mathematical fundamentals). New York: Academic Press.

Röthlisberger, H. (1972). Water pressure in intra- and subglacial channels. *Journal of Glaciology*, 11(62), 177–203. https://doi.org/10.3198/1972JoG11-62-177-203

Scambos, T. A., Echelmeyer, K. A., Fahnestock, M. A., & Bindschadler, R. S. (1994). Development of enhanced ice flow at the southern margin of Ice Stream D, Antarctica. Annals of Glaciology, 20(1), 313–318. https://doi.org/10.3198/1994AoG20-1-319-326

Scambos, T. A., Haran, T. M., Fahnestock, M. A., Painter, T. H., & Bohlander, J. (2007). MODIS-based Mosaic of Antarctica (MOA) data sets: Continent-wide surface morphology and snow grain size. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 111(2), 242–257. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2006.12.020

Schoof, C. (2004). On the mechanics of ice-stream shear margins. *Journal of Glaciology*, 50(169), 208–218. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756504781830024

Schoof, C., & Hewitt, I. (2013). Ice-sheet dynamics. Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics, 45, 217–239. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-fluid-011212-140632

Schoof, C. (2012). Thermally driven migration of ice-stream shear margins. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 712, 552–578. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2012.438



- Schoof, C., & Hewitt, I. J. (2016). A model for polythermal ice incorporating gravity-driven moisture transport. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 797, 504–535. https://doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2016.251
- Schroeder, D. M., Blankenship, D. D., & Young, D. A. (2013). Evidence for a water system transition beneath Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(30), 12,225–12,228. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1302828110

Schroeder, D. M., Seroussi, H. E., Chu, W., & Young, D. A. (2016). Adaptively constraining radar attenuation and temperature across the Thwaites Glacier catchment using bed echoes. *Journal of Glaciology*, *62*(236), 1075–1082. https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2016.100

Smith, B. E., Lord, N. E., & Bentley, C. R. (2002). Crevasse ages on the northern margin of Ice Stream C, West Antarctica. Annals of Glaciology, 34(1), 209–216. https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781817932

Suckale, J., Platt, J. D., Perol, T., & Rice, J. R. (2014). Deformation-induced melting in the margins of the West Antarctic ice streams. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 119, 1004–1025. https://doi.org/10.1002/2013jf003008

Truffer, M., & Echelmeyer, K. A. (2003). Of isbrae and ice streams. Annals of Glaciology, 36(1), 66-72.

https://doi.org/10.3189/172756403781816347

Tulaczyk, S., Kamb, W. B., & Engelhardt, H. F. (2000a). Basal mechanics of Ice Stream B, West Antarctica: 1. Till mechanics. Journal of Geophysical Research, 105(B1), 463–481. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900329

Tulaczyk, S., Kamb, B., & Engelhardt, H. F. (2000b). Basal mechanics of Ice Stream B, West Antarctica: 2. Undrained plastic bed model. Journal of Geophysical Research, 105(B1), 483–494. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JB900328

Vogel, S. (2004). The basal regime of the West-Antarctic ice sheet: Interaction of subglacial geology with ice dynamics (PhD thesis), University of California Santa Cruz.

Vogel, S. W., Tulaczyk, S., Kamb, B., Engelhardt, H., Carsey, F. D., Behar, A. E., et al. (2005). Subglacial conditions during and after stoppage of an Antarctic Ice Stream: Is reactivation imminent? *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, L14502. https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022563

Werder, M. A., Hewitt, I. J., Schoof, C., & Flowers, G. E. (2013). Modeling channelized and distributed subglacial drainage in two dimensions. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 118, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20146

Whillans, I. M., & Van Der Veen, C. J. (1993). New and improved determinations of velocity of Ice Streams B and C, West Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology*, *39*(133), 483–590. https://doi.org/10.3198/1993JoG39-133-483-490

Whillans, I. M., & Van Der Veen, C. J. (1997). The role of lateral drag in the dynamics of Ice Stream B, Antarctica. Journal of Glaciology, 43(144), 231–237. https://doi.org/10.3198/1997JoG43-144-231-237