## **Towards Strong Converses for MANETs**

Pierre Moulin

## University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Electrical and Computer Engineering

Stanford, Palo Alto, CA March 5, 2009









• Capacity region is an open problem. Blame Fano?



- $\epsilon$ -capacity  $C(\epsilon) = \sup\{R \text{ s.t. } P_e \leq \epsilon\},$
- Weak converse:  $\inf_{0 \le \epsilon \le 1} C(\epsilon) = C = \max_{p_X} I(X;Y)$
- Strong converse:  $\sup_{0 < \epsilon < 1} C(\epsilon) = C$
- For compound DMCs and  $P_e^{\text{max}}$  criterion [CK 1980]

$$\inf_{0<\epsilon<1} C(\epsilon) < \sup_{0<\epsilon<1} C(\epsilon)$$



• Capacity:  $C = \sup_{\mathbf{X}} \underline{I}(\mathbf{X}; \mathbf{Y})$  where

$$\underline{I}(\mathbf{X};\mathbf{Y}) = p - \liminf \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{y})}$$

upper bound established using a variation of Wolfowitz (1957)



## Strong Converse for DMC (Wolfowitz'57)

- Decoding sets  $\{\mathcal{D}_m\}$ , empirical pmf  $p_X$ , reference pmf r(y)
- "Typical" set for each  $1 \le m \le 2^{NR}$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_{\delta}(m) = \{ \mathbf{y} : \underbrace{\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{p^{N}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}(m))}{r^{N}(\mathbf{y})}}_{\hat{I}(\mathbf{x}(m);\mathbf{y}) = \text{ empirical m.i.}} < I(X;Y) + \delta \}$$

•  $P_e^{\max} \leq \epsilon \Rightarrow$  lower bound on prob. that *m* is correctly decoded:

$$1 - \epsilon \leq \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}_m} p^N(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}(m)) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}_m \cap \mathcal{A}_{\delta}(m)} + \sum_{\mathbf{y} \notin \mathcal{A}_{\delta}(m)}$$

 $\leq \kappa$  for well-chosen r(y)

$$1 - \epsilon - \kappa \leq 2^{N(I(X;Y)+\delta)} \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{D}_m \cap \mathcal{A}_{\delta}(m)} r^N(\mathbf{y})$$

• Sum over  $1 \le m \le 2^{NR} \Rightarrow (1 - \epsilon - \kappa)2^{NR} \le 2^{N(I(X;Y) + \delta)}$  $\Rightarrow R < \max_{p_X} I(X;Y) + \delta + o(1)$ 





- Think of **s** as **interference** known to encoder but not decoder
- GP'80:  $C = \max_{p_{X|US}} [I(U;Y) I(U;S)]$ , achieved by random binning
- Strong converse yields **coding interpretation** for U
- Define alphabet  $\mathcal{U}$ , function  $f : \mathcal{U} \times S \to \mathcal{X}$ , and virtual DMC  $p(y|u, s) = \sum_{x} p(y|x, s) \mathbb{1}\{x = f(u, s)\}$
- Wlog define codewords as  $\{\mathbf{u}(m, \mathbf{s})\}$  with  $x_i = f(u_i, s)$  for  $1 \le i \le N$
- Indeed can always adopt trivial choice  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X}$  and x = f(x, s)



- Think of **s** as **interference** known to both encoders but not decoder
- Random binnning achieves the following rate region [Somekh'04]
   For a pmf P of the form p<sub>S</sub> p<sub>T</sub> p<sub>X1V1|ST</sub> p<sub>X2V2|ST</sub> p<sub>Y|X1X2S</sub>, let
   \$\mathcal{R}^{in}(L, P)\$ be the region of rate pairs (R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>) that satisfy

$$R_{1} < I(V_{1}; Y|V_{2}, T) - I(V_{1}; S|V_{2}, T)$$

$$R_{2} < I(V_{2}; Y|V_{1}, T) - I(V_{2}; S|V_{1}, T)$$

$$R_{1} + R_{2} < I(V_{1}, V_{2}; Y|T) - I(V_{1}, V_{2}; S|T)$$

where the alphabets for  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  have arbitrarily large cardinality L





## Conclusion

- Strong converses are a powerful alternative to Fano-based weak converses
- Use of  $P_e^{\max}$  criterion is simpler and arguably more natural for multiuser communications
- Method should be applied to a suite of problems with increasing difficulty, including degraded broadcast channel, etc.