

2017

Chapter 3: Ito calculus

3.1

In the absence of external potential, the dynamics of our colloid in the overdamped limit is described by

$$\ddot{x}(t) = \sqrt{2D} \eta(t) \quad (1) \quad \text{with } \eta(t) \text{ a Gaussian white noise}$$

$$\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0 \quad \langle \eta(t) \eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t-t')$$

I/Is this really serious?

$\eta(t)$ is a random variable $\rightarrow$ new value at every time, uncorrelated with previous values $\Rightarrow$ quite far from continuous, differentiable functions usually used in ordinary differential equations in physics.

History: R. Brown, 1827, observation of the motion of pollen grains suspended in water. (Actually Jan Ingenhousz did the same with coal particle on the surface of alcohol in 1785)

$\rightarrow$ Einstein, 1905, connection with atomistic theory

$\rightarrow$ Smoluchowsky, 1906, Langevin, 1908 $\Rightarrow$ birth of stochastic equations

Mathematical basis are hard to build: Wiener (1918), Ito (1944)

Why? Two important problems

① Formal solution of (1) $x(t) = \int_0^t \eta(t') dt' + x(0)$

$$\rightarrow \frac{x(t+\tau) - x(t)}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} \eta(t') dt'$$

$$\left\langle \frac{x(t+\tau) - x(t)}{\tau} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\tau} \langle \eta(t') \rangle dt' = 0 \quad \text{OK } \checkmark$$

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{x(t+\tau) - x(t)}{\tau} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left\langle \int_t^{t+\tau} \gamma(s) ds \int_t^{t+\tau} \gamma(u) du \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \int_t^{t+\tau} ds \int_t^{t+\tau} du \underbrace{\langle \gamma(s) \gamma(u) \rangle}_{\delta(s-u) \text{ (3.2)}}$$

$$\forall s \in [t, t+\tau] \quad \int_t^{t+\tau} \delta(s-u) du = 1$$

$$\left\langle \left(\frac{x(t+\tau) - x(t)}{\tau} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\tau^2} \int_t^{t+\tau} ds = \frac{1}{\tau} \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow 0} \infty$$

$x(t)$ is not differentiable $\Rightarrow$ what does $\dot{x}(t)$ mean?

Mathematicians: nothing, only $x(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds$ means something $\rightarrow$ Wiener process

Physicists: Yeah, right, but let's still use it, because (1) is a useful notation!

② Diffusion $x^2(t) = \int_0^t ds \int_0^t du \gamma(s) \gamma(u) \Rightarrow \langle x^2(t) \rangle = t$
 $\rightarrow$ correct diffusive scaling

$$\dot{x}(t) = \gamma(t) ; \quad \frac{d}{dt} x^2(t) = 2x \dot{x} = 2x \gamma \quad (\text{standard chain rule})$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle x^2(t) \rangle = \langle 2x \gamma \rangle = 0 \quad \text{since } \langle \gamma = 0 \rangle \text{ and } x(t) \text{ is expected to be correlated only with } \gamma(t' < t) \text{ (causality)}$$

$$\text{so that we expect } \langle x(t) \gamma(t) \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle \langle \gamma(t) \rangle$$

$$\text{But } \frac{d}{dt} \langle x^2(t) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{incompatible with } \langle x^2(t) \rangle = t \Rightarrow \text{paradox!}$$

Comment: Not so obvious that $\langle x(t) \gamma(t) \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle \langle \gamma(t) \rangle$

$$\text{Indeed (1)} \Rightarrow x_1(t+dt) = x_1(t) + dt \gamma(t) \quad \text{indeed means } \langle x_1(t+dt) \gamma(t+dt) \rangle = \langle x \rangle \langle \gamma \rangle = 0$$

But $x_2(t+dt) = x_1(t) + dt \frac{\gamma(t) + \gamma(t+dt)}{2}$ looks also ok at order dt (semi-implicit scheme). This time $x_2(t+dt)$ and $\gamma(t+dt)$ are not independent.

2017

3.3

a) Choice of Itô $\langle x(t)y(t) \rangle = \langle x(t) \rangle \langle y(t) \rangle$ simple but we need to learn how to do calculus again: $\frac{d}{dt} x^2 \neq 2x \dot{x}$

b) Choice of Stratonovich $\Rightarrow$ standard calculus applies but computing $\langle x(t)y(t) \rangle$ is painful.

II Itô formula

How does $f(x(t))$ evolve when $x(t)$ is solution of $\dot{x} = F(x) + \gamma(*)$ where $\gamma(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with $\langle \gamma(t) \rangle = 0$; $\langle \gamma(t) \gamma(t') \rangle = \sigma \delta(t-t')$

(or equivalently $\dot{x} = F(x) + \sqrt{\sigma} \xi(t)$ with $\langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = \delta(t-t')$)

Comment: Naive solution of (*) $x(t+dt) = x(t) + \underbrace{\int_t^{t+dt} F(x(s)) ds}_{\approx dt F(x(t)) + O(dt^2)} + \underbrace{\int_t^{t+dt} \gamma(s) ds}_{d\xi}$

$$\langle d\xi \rangle = 0 ; \quad \langle d\xi^2 \rangle = \int_t^{t+dt} ds \int_t^{t+dt} du \langle \gamma(s) \gamma(u) \rangle = \sigma dt$$

$d\xi \sim \sqrt{dt}$! unusual $\rightarrow$ this will alter the chain rule.

Comment: To simulate (*), use $x(t+dt) = x(t) + dt F(x(t)) + \sqrt{2\sigma dt} u$ where u is chosen from a unit-variance, zero mean Gaussian distribution $P(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{2}}$

2017/

3.4

A) Evolution of $f(x(t))$

$$x(t+dt) = x(t) + F(x(t))dt + d\xi(t) + \mathcal{O}(dt^2) \quad ; \quad d\xi(t) = \int_t^{t+dt} \gamma(t')dt'$$

$$t_j = j \, dt \quad ; \quad t = N \, dt$$

$$f(x(t)) = f(x(0)) + \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f(x(t_{j+1})) - f(x(t_j))$$

Idea: N large, dt small $\Rightarrow$ expand $f(x(t_{j+1})) - f(x(t_j)) \sim \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x(t_j)) dx_j + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) dx_j^2$

where $dx_j = x(t_{j+1}) - x(t_j) \simeq F(x(t_j))dt + d\xi(t_j)$ and $d\xi(t_j) = \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \gamma(s)ds$

Then identify $f(x(t)) - f(x(0)) = \int_0^t \frac{df}{dt}(x(s))ds$

$$f(x(t)) - f(x(0)) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx_j + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} [F^2 dt^2 + 2F dt d\xi + d\xi^2]$$

Analyse term by term

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx_j = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{df}{dx} \frac{dx_j}{dt} dt \underset{dt \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \int_0^t ds \frac{\partial f(x(s))}{\partial x} \dot{x}(s) ds = \int_0^t ds \frac{\partial f(x(s))}{\partial x} [F(x(s)) + \gamma(s)]$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} F^2 dt^2 = dt \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) F^2(x(t_j)) dt \underset{dt \rightarrow 0}{\sim} dt \int_0^t \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(s)) F^2(x(s)) ds \xrightarrow[dt \rightarrow 0]{} 0$$

~~$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) F(x(t_j)) d\xi(t_j) dt$$~~

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) F(x(t_j)) d\xi(t_j) dt = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) F(x(t_j)) dt \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \gamma(s) ds \equiv A \text{ random variable}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A \rangle &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} dt \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) F(x(t_j)) \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \gamma(s) ds \right\rangle \\ &\underset{dt \rightarrow 0}{=} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} dt \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) F(x(t_j)) \right\rangle \underbrace{\left\langle \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \gamma(s) ds \right\rangle}_{=0} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

L 3.5

$$\langle A^2 \rangle = \sum_{j, k=0}^{N-1} dt^2 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u(t_j)) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} (u(t_k)) F(u(t_j)) F(u(t_k)) \int \zeta(t_j) d\zeta(t_k) \right\rangle$$

if $t_j < t_n$, $d\mathcal{F}_n(t_n)$ is independent of the rest $\Rightarrow \langle \dots d\mathcal{F}(t_n) \rangle = \langle \dots \rangle \langle d\mathcal{F}(t_n) \rangle$

if $t_j = t_k$, $d\{\xi^2(t_k)\} = 0$

$$\langle A^2 \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} dt^2 \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j))^2 F(x(t_j))^2 \right\rangle \underbrace{\langle d\{t_j\}^2 \rangle}_{= \sigma dt}$$

$$\sim \sigma dt^2 \int_0^t \langle (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial n^2}(u(s)) F(u(s)))^2 \rangle ds \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} 0$$

same for all the higher moments.

One turn lift $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (x(t_j)) d\xi^2(t_j)$

$d\xi^2(t_j)$ is a random variable such that $\langle d\xi^2 \rangle = \sigma^2 dt$.

Its higher moments scale as δt^n , $n > 1$. let us show that to compute $f(x(t)) - f(x(0))$ we can simply replace δx^2 by $\sigma^2 \delta t$ (Note the absence of $\langle \dots \rangle$).

$$\rightarrow B = \sum_j \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (d\xi^2 \sigma dt)$$

$$\langle B \rangle = \sum_j \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) \left(d \underbrace{\xi_{t_j}^2}_{=0} \sigma dt \right) \right\rangle_{\text{Itô}} = \sum_j \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j)) \right\rangle \underbrace{\left\langle d \xi_{t_j}^2 - \sigma dt \right\rangle}_{=0}$$

$$\langle B^i \rangle = \sum_{j,h} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i} (x(t_{j,i})) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i} (x(t_{h,i})) (dS^i(t_{j,i}) - \sigma dt) (dS^i(t_{h,i}) - \sigma dt) \right\rangle$$

if $t_j < t_k$, then $d\zeta^j(t_k) - \sigma dt$ is independent from the rest and
 $\langle \dots \rangle = \langle \dots \rangle \cdot \langle d\zeta^j(t_k) - \sigma dt \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow$ only $j = k$ matters.

2017

3.6

$$\langle B^2 \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(t_j)^2 \right\rangle \cdot \langle d\zeta(t_j)^4 - 2\sigma dt d\zeta(t_j)^2 + \sigma^2 dt^2 \rangle$$

$$\langle d\zeta^4(t_j) \rangle = ? \Rightarrow d\zeta \text{ is a Gaussian random variable} \Rightarrow \langle d\zeta^4 \rangle = 3 \langle d\zeta^2 \rangle^2$$

(fourth cumulant $C_4 = \langle x^4 \rangle - 3 \langle x^2 \rangle^2 = 0$)

Proof: $\langle x^4 \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^4 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^3 \frac{x}{\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma}}$

$$= \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \left[-x^3 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma}} \right]_{-\infty}^{+\infty} + \sigma \cdot \frac{3}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx x^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} \frac{x^2}{\sigma}}$$

$$= 0 + 3\sigma^2 = 3 \langle x^2 \rangle^2$$

Thus: $\langle d\zeta^4 \rangle = 3 \langle d\zeta^2 \rangle^2 = 3\sigma^2 dt^2$

$$\langle B^2 \rangle = \sum_j \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(t_j))^2 \right\rangle 2\sigma^2 dt^2 \sim 2\sigma dt \int_0^t ds \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(s) \right\rangle \xrightarrow{dt \rightarrow 0} 0$$

Higher moments also vanish and in practice we take $B=0$ in the limit $dt \rightarrow 0$

All in all

$$f(x(t)) - f(x_0) = \int_0^t ds \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x(s)) \dot{x}(s) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}(x(s)) \right]$$

Ito lemma: $\boxed{\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \dot{x} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}}$

③ Generalisation to $f(x(t), t)$

$$\boxed{\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2}}$$

④ N-dimensional Ito formula

$$\dot{x}_i = F_i(x_1, \dots, x_N) + \eta_i; \quad \langle \eta_i \rangle = 0; \quad \langle \eta_i(t) \eta_j(t') \rangle = \sigma_{ij} \delta(t-t')$$

$$\boxed{\frac{df(x_1(t), \dots, x_N(t))}{dt} = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \dot{x}_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \sigma_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}}$$

Let us come back to $\langle x^2(t) \rangle$

$$f(x) = x^2; \quad f'(x) = 2x; \quad f''(x) = 2$$

$$\dot{x} = \sqrt{2D} \eta(t) \Leftrightarrow \dot{x} = \xi(t)$$

$$\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \xi(t) \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \eta(t) \eta(t') \rangle = \delta(t - t')$$

$$\langle \xi(t) \xi(t') \rangle = 2D \delta(t - t')$$

$$\text{Ito: } \frac{d f(x(t))}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \dot{x} + D \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 2x\xi + 2D \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \langle x^2(t) \rangle = \underbrace{2 \langle x\xi \rangle}_{\text{Ito: } 2 \langle x \rangle \langle \xi \rangle = 0} + 2D$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2Dt$$

Comment: can now do better $\frac{d}{dt} \langle x^2(t) \rangle = 2D + \underbrace{2x(t)\xi(t)}_{\text{characterizes the fluctuations of } x^2}$

Also works for higher moments

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle x^4 \rangle = 4 \langle x^3 \dot{x} \rangle + D 12 \langle x^2 \rangle = 4 \langle x^3 \rangle \underbrace{\langle \dot{x} \rangle}_{=0} + 24D^2 t$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle x^4 \rangle = 12D^2 t^2 = 3 \cdot (2Dt)^2 = 3 \langle x^2(t) \rangle^2$$

You can now compute moments of $x(t)$ without knowing $P(x, t)$

Comment: the $\xi(t)$ form a set of correlated Gaussian variables. What is their joint probability distribution?

$$P[\xi(t)] = \mathcal{Z}^{-1} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \xi^2(s) ds \right]$$

$\Rightarrow$ weight in trajectory space; can be used to construct path-integral representation of a stochastic process.

Bibliography: Øksendal, "Stochastic differential equations", Springer

⚠ Remember that $\dot{x}(t) = \sqrt{2D} \eta(t)$ is not defined, only $x(t) - x(t') = \int_{t'}^t \sqrt{2D} \eta(s) ds$ is well defined. The same holds for Ito formula, only $f(t) - f(0) = \int_0^t ds \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \dot{x} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} \frac{D}{2} \right]$ has been proven. Do not start to play with, say, $\exp[f(t)]$ and use Ito formulae.