Chapter 3: Hu Fohhu-Planch equation (1)Reference: Rishin, "The Fohren-Planch requartion", Springer Take x(4) juck that x(0)=* & x(E)= F(x(41)+5(4) (1), where f is a GWNS.F. < 5413=0, < 5415(+7)>=208(+-+7) Consider several realizations of x(4) More concisely, we write P[x,t(xo,o) and strug that z & xo are numbers while x(4) is a stochastic pro cess. Charly P(x, E, [x, o) = P(x, t2 | v, o) = Q: how dos P(x, t | x, o) evolves in fine ? 1) The Fokher Planch Equation In Eq (1), the statistics of 5(1) do not depend on x(E). This is called an additive Maise. Instead we can consider a case when, say, the temperature is inhomogeneous T, 72>T, Thus T(x) & the langevin equation is of the type $\hat{x} = F(x) + \sqrt{2D(x)^2} \int f(x)$

with $< 5 \ge 0 \ll 5(4) = \delta(t-t')$ This is called a <u>multiplicative noise</u>. It's formula can be extended to this case, which requires the time discutization of Eq (2) to be $x(t+dt) = x(t) + F(x(t))dt + \sqrt{20(x(t))} \int_{t}^{t+dt} S(s)ds$ let us derive the evolution of P(x,t(xo, 0) in this nor general Case Trich: $P(x, \varepsilon(x_{o, o}) = \int dg P(g, \varepsilon(x_{o, o}) \delta(x - g)) = \langle \delta(x - g \varepsilon) \rangle_{g(\varepsilon)}$ nuber No cess average over the Malizatia of the process g(t). Thus we find $\frac{d P(x_{r} \in (x_{o}, o))}{d t} = \langle \frac{d}{d t} \delta(x - g(t)) \rangle_{g}$ $\frac{d \in If}{d = \langle \partial_{g} \delta(x-g)] g + \frac{1}{2} 2 O(g) \partial_{g}^{2} \delta(x-g) \rangle_{g}$ where $\partial_{g} f = \frac{\partial f}{\partial g}$ $= \langle F(g) \partial_g \delta(x - g) \rangle + \langle F(f) \sqrt{20} \langle g(f) \rangle \partial_g \delta(x - g(f)) \rangle + \langle N(g) \partial_g^2 \delta(x - g) \rangle$ If: < 5(11) < 120(4) 2y 5(x-y)) Using that < O(x1>= 5 dx O(x1P(x), we get $\frac{d}{d\epsilon}P(x_it(x_{oro})) = \int dg P(g_it(x_{oro})) \left[F(g_i) \partial_g \delta(x-g_i) + D(g_i) \partial_g^2 \delta(x-g_i)\right]$

 $= \int dg \, \delta(x-g) \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial g} \left[F(g) P(g, \epsilon/\pi_{0,0}) \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial g^2} \left[D(g) P(g, \epsilon/\pi_{0,0}) \right] \right] \left[\frac{3}{2} \left[\frac{3}{2} \left[D(g) P(g, \epsilon/\pi_{0,0}) \right] \right] \right]$ + boundary turns Bonday tuns o <u>Case 1</u>: periodic bandaug cardition (...] bondaug ? bondaug ? Casel: closed box, x E (m, n], thu P(n<x, m, o) = P(n>x, m, o)=0 = C... Jonday ? bonday ? = 0 Con 3: Infinite system. Jdx Platta,0)=1 = Pla-otoo, tla,0]=0 = C... J bonday ? = 0 =15 In all stondard cases, the bandary truns vanish. Thus, in (3), $\int dy \, \delta(x-g) H(g) = H(x)$ so that $\frac{1}{\partial E} P(x, \varepsilon | x_{o}, o) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[-F(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D(x) \right] P(x, \varepsilon | x_{o}, o)$ (4) when $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is an operator that acts on everything to its night. This is the celebrated Fokher-Planck equation. Be can ful with the position of D(g) that does not commute with og.

Mathematical a parte let us follow a slightly more mathematical nort. to the FPE. let f: R-DR he a C? function. By definition <f(n(+1)>= fdxf(x)P(n,t(n,o)) Thus $\frac{d}{dt} < f(x(t)) > = \int dx f(x) \frac{\partial P(x_r t | x_{e,o})}{\partial t}$ Ito formula also tells us that $\frac{d}{dE} f(a(t)) = f'(a(t))\dot{a} + Of'(a(t))$ Taking the average, $\frac{d}{dt} < f(n(t)) = < f'(n(t)) \cdot F(n(t)) + < f'(n(t)) \cdot S(t) > + 0 < f'(n(t)) >$ Ito < { >< SUD =0 $= \int dx \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot F(x) P(n_i t(x_{o,0}) + D \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} P(n_i t(x_{o,0})] \right]$ $= [f(x) F(x) P(x, \epsilon(x_{or}) + D f(x) P(x, \epsilon(x_{or})] boundary 2$ IBP = as before before [1] $-\int dx \left\{ f(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[F(x) P(x, \epsilon(x_0, 0)] + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[D P(x, \epsilon(x_0, 0)] \right] \right\}$ $= \int dx f(x) \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left[D P(x, \epsilon | x_{o, r}) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[F(x) P(x, \epsilon | x_{o, r}) \right] \right\}$ a 20 hold for any function of so that $\frac{\partial P(x_t \in [x_{o_t o}))}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \begin{bmatrix} \partial D - F(x) \end{bmatrix} P(x_t \in [x_{o_t o}))$

Intuition:

④ F=0; x= 120 z ⊂ nadon walk = s dP = DAP which is the diffusion eq⁰. $O = 0; \dot{x} = F(x) \ll adviction & \frac{dP}{de} = -\partial_x (F.P)$ Hu Folihu-Plauch is the contrinuition of differing due to the raise & advection of probability due to the force Conservation of probability: J_0 P(x) dx = 1 is a global carsenvation law for P(x) (1) (=> $\partial_{\xi} P = -\partial_{\chi} [J(x)]$ with $J(x) = +F(x)P = D\partial_{\chi}P$ is a local conservation lace for the probability survives and Jasis called a probability current. Excepte: $3 = -\mu \delta m g + \sqrt{2\mu h \tau} 7$ when δn is the difference between $3 \mu \cdots \mu g navity$ the colloid map le the rays of the sono volume of fluid. $\frac{FP}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[\mu \delta m g P + \mu h T \partial_z P \right]$ Start with P(3,0) and wait in the system reaches a steady state in which it dos not evolve statisfically: de Plat)=0=0 nong "+ whidz P= Cte Since l=0 for 3 < 0, $C^{t_e} = 0$ de $\partial_2 l = -\frac{\partial_m g}{\mu_T} l = 0$ $P(g) = l_0 e^{-\frac{\partial_m g}{\mu_T}}$ This exponential "at no sphere" is called a Penin posile & was reasoned experimentally by Jean Perim (Nohal prize in 1928)

, where V(x) is a confining 5 More generally: x = - p V (x) + V 2 47 7(+) The Folkher Planch equation reads $\partial_{\mathcal{L}} P = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\mu \lambda T \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \mu V (x | P) \right]$ so that P(x1= 1 = - V(x) is a steady-state solution of the system. This is the Boltzmann weight & the colloid reaches thermal equilibrium. The solvert acts like a thernostat: an equilibrated fluid drives an iment pouticle into an equilibrated shortionary state. Object in <u>graining</u> Langevin <u>stationary</u> equilibrated both <u>equation</u> <u>measure</u> Comment: For P(x) to be normalizable, we need for SU(x) < +00 = oV(x) has to livery fast enough. If V(x) ~ Elog |x|, e-BV(x) 1 n-ntoo not integrable for EBS 1 ix|BE not integrable for EBS 1 es higher -s at high tupuation, the syster does not equilibrate. The potentials that Livery faster than logarithmically an called confining potentials.

4.2) The N-Simensional Fohner-Planck equation let's carrider i: = Fi(n, _, n) + z; where z; an GWA s.t. <z;>=0 and $\langle \gamma_i(t|\gamma_k(s)) = Bih \delta(t-s)$ P(MII-MNitl= < R S(Ni-gil); P(MII-MNitl= < R S(Ni-gil); Stochastic Mocuses $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \sum_{h} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial g_{h}} \left(\frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{i} \partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} + \sum_{j \in h} \left(\frac{B_{j}}{2} \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i} - g_{i})}{\partial g_{h}} \right) \frac{\partial (\lambda_{i}$ $\mathbb{I}_{to} < \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{A}} \left(\stackrel{\sim}{\mathcal{E}} \overset{\sim}{\mathcal{S}}(n; -g; 1) \stackrel{\ast}{\mathcal{T}}_{A} \right)_{\overline{g}},$ $= \int \left(\frac{i}{\lambda} dg_{i} \right) \left\{ \sum_{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{h}} \left[\frac{i}{\lambda} \delta(n_{i} - g_{i}) \right] F_{h} P + \sum_{j,h} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial g_{j} \partial g_{h}} \left[\frac{i}{\lambda} \delta(n_{i} - g_{i}) \right] \frac{B_{i}}{2} p \right\}$ $= \int i c dg_i \cdot \left[i c \delta(x_i - g_i) \right] \left\{ \begin{array}{c} z \\ h \end{array} - \frac{\partial}{\partial g_A} \left[F_A P \right] + \left[\sum_{i,h} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial g_i \partial g_A} \left[\frac{B_{i,h}}{z} P \right] \right\} \right\}$ hading to the Johner planch equation $\frac{\partial \rho(x_{i}, -, x_{n}, \epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{2}{h} \frac{\partial \rho(x_{i}, -, x_{n}, \epsilon)}{\partial x_{h}} \left[-F_{h} - \frac{2}{j} \frac{\partial \rho(x_{i}, -, x_{n}, \epsilon)}{\partial x_{j}} \right] P(x_{i}, -, x_{n}, \epsilon)$ Caservation of probability: This can again be written as $\partial_{\mathcal{E}} P = - Z \partial_{\mathcal{I}_{h}} - J_{h} = - \partial_{\mathcal{I}_{h}} \partial_{\mathcal{I}_{h}}$ where the probability advection difference

Bjh tells us how maiss along j deads to a diffusive current ? along h. Application: Undudauped Laugevin equation & the Knowers equation q = p; $p = -\partial p - V'(q) + \sqrt{2\partial u \tau} \eta(t)$ with $\langle \eta(t) \rangle = 0$ (m=4) and < Z(t) Z(S)> = S(t-t'). As before, we can understand this equation as explaining mix or both q dep, but with Bqq = Bqp = Bpg = 0 & Bp = 2047. The the equation for P(4,p,t) reads $\partial_{\xi} P(\bar{q}^{2},\bar{p}^{2},\xi) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial q} (\rho P) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[\partial p + V'(q) \right] P + \partial A_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial p^{2}} P$ This is called the Knamers equation. Steady state solution in the presence of a confiring potulial let's show that the steady-state solution is z'e-BH de-BH $H = \frac{P^2}{2} + V(q)$ $-\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\left(\rho e^{-\beta H}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\left(\partial \rho e^{-\beta H} + V\left(q\right)e^{-\beta H} + \partial hT\left(-\beta \rho e^{-\beta H}\right)\right)$ $= -P\left[-\beta v'(q)e^{-\beta H}\right] + v'(q)\left[-\beta pe^{-\beta H}\right] = 0$ Again, the steady state caresponds to the Boltzmann oright. Comment. The steady state is independent from of which is a punely kinetic pouquetes and plays no role in the thermodynamics of

equilibrium systems. It, however, controls the relaxation rate of the system towards steady - state. C Comment: The same result holds for a space-dependent viscosity $\mathcal{T}(\vec{q})$, but not for $T(\vec{q})$, which leads to a nonsquilibrium steady state. lecap so fan: Stochastic dynamics: $\vec{n}^2 = -\mu \vec{O}V + \sqrt{2\mu m} \vec{n}^2$ -o clear physical picture of the dynamics -o simulations -o stochustic calculas -s evolutia of observable, -s conclution functions Fohher-Planch equation: $\partial_{e}P = -\overline{\partial} \cdot [-\mu \overline{\nabla} V P - \mu h \overline{\nabla} \overline{P}]$ -s had to simulate -D statistical information / intuition through P(a) e.g. Show that Ps(i) ~ e-BH -s Now: very powerful operator calculous 4.3) The Fohm - Planch operator 2p-2 [lit 2 - FCXI] P(X,E) (1) => 2p- Hpp P where $H_{FP} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[h_T \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - F(x_T) \right]$ which are on the Hilbert space of function H(P) that depunds on the dimensions & boundary conditions of the problem.