Using a Small Radio Telescope (SRT), we measure electromagnetic radiation centered around 1420.4 MHz. This frequency corresponds to the hyperfine transition line in the ground state of the neutral hydrogen atom - a process so strongly forbidden that the only detectable sources of this transition in large quantities are due to large galactic formations of hydrogen. By analyzing the Doppler shift in this 1420.4 MHz emission, we are able to create a map of hydrogen density on a portion of the Milky Way, confirming a spiral-arm structure of our galaxy.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1944, Hendrick van de Hulst predicted an emission of 1420.4 MHz radiation from neutral hydrogen through a hyperfine splitting transition (detailed further in Section II). As electromagnetic energy in this range can pass through Earth’s atmosphere easily, this discovery proved to be immensely useful in the field of astronomy. Soon after this revelation, Edward Purcell and Harold Ewen published a paper detailing their successful detection of this emission line through a radiometer [1]. As hydrogen makes up 91% of our galaxy’s interstellar medium (ISM), a mapping of hydrogen density via this 21cm emission line can be used to extract details about the structure of our galaxy. In this paper, we reconstruct some of the key features of the Milky Way’s spiral arm structure using radio astronomy.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

II.1. Hydrogen Hyperfine Transition

Due to the low temperatures predominant in interstellar medium, hydrogen is most often found in its ground state. As a result of the intrinsic spins of both the proton and the electron, the hydrogen ground state has two modes - one where the two spins are aligned, and one where the spins oppose each other. These two states have an energy difference of $\Delta E = 5.884 \times 10^{-6}$ eV [2], and when a hydrogen atom transitions from both spins being aligned to being misaligned, it emits radiation with a wavelength of 21cm, or with a frequency of 1420.4 MHz. This process is highly forbidden, occurring at a transition rate of $2.9 \times 10^{-15}$ s$^{-1}$. However, the ISM is dense enough (1 atom per cubic centimeter) and large enough (on the order of kiloparsecs) to produce detectable amounts of radiation, which can be observed by a radio telescope.

II.2. Doppler Analysis

Because of the dynamic nature of our galaxy, hydrogen that we observe can be moving relative to us. This relative motion has a Doppler effect on the radiation frequency observed. The relationship between a body moving away from the Sun at a relative velocity $V$ and the frequency $f$ that we observe is given by

$$V = \frac{(1420.406 - f)c}{1420.406} - V_{lsr} \tag{1}$$

Where $c$ is the speed of light and $V_{lsr}$ corrects for the motion of the Earth around the Sun. The purpose of considering relative motion away from the Sun as opposed to the Earth is for the sake of geometric simplification.

Given this information, we can calculate the location of the hydrogen source through geometric considerations. Illustrated in Figure 1 is the relevant geometry of our galaxy. The velocity due to Doppler shifting is given by the actual velocity of the hydrogen mass $\Theta$, projected along the line of sight from the sun, minus the sun’s velocity projected along the line of sight. Through the law of sines, we can calculate the general relation...
\[ V = \frac{\Theta}{r} R_0 \sin \ell - \Theta_0 \sin \ell \quad (2) \]

Where \( R_0 \) and \( r \) are the distances from the sun and our hydrogen mass to the center of the galaxy, \( \Theta_0 \) is the velocity of the sun, and \( \ell \) is our viewing angle. Both \( r \) and \( \Theta \) are unknown, but a general relation between the radius of an object from the center of the galaxy and its velocity can be determined via the galactic rotation curve. This gives an equation that allows us to calculate \( r \) given the viewing angle \( \ell \) and our observed velocity \( V \).

We restrict our viewing angle to \( 90^\circ \leq \ell \leq 180^\circ \). In this regime, the galactic rotation curve predicts \( \Theta \) to be approximately constant at 200 km/s [3], which greatly simplifies calculations.

### III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

#### III.1. Radio Telescope Specifications

To detect radiation from these hyperfine transitions, we use a remotely-controlled small radio telescope (SRT), capable of detecting and recording electromagnetic radiation. This telescope has a 7.5 foot diameter parabolic dish, and a beam width of approximately 7.0 degrees [4]. This beam width will ultimately constrain the angular resolution of the data we collect. Radio signals are reflected off of the telescope’s dish and into the antenna feed horn. In order to process this data, the signals are fed through a band pass filter, a low noise pre-amplifier, and a mixer. This converts our signal into a digital recording. As the bandwidth of our receiver is only approximately 0.5 MHz, and is not wide enough to detect the degree of Doppler shifting necessary for this experiment, we use a protocol in the SRT software that stitches three readings at different bandwidths together into one reading. This allows for an effective bandwidth of about 1.2 MHz. The SRT detects brightness temperature as a function of frequency within the bandwidth specified. This brightness temperature is, up to constants of proportionality, the power detected of a certain frequency. Using a noise diode attached to the SRT, we are able to calibrate our apparatus in order to account for both external sources of radiation in the sky and noise generated by the antenna. Correcting our data due to external sources is done automatically through the SRT software, whereas the noise generated by the antenna - the antenna temperature - is given by the software as a value which must be manually subtracted from our data.

#### III.2. Sun Calibration

To ensure that the motors on our SRT are properly calibrated, we point the SRT at our sun and do a sweep from \(-30^\circ\) and \(30^\circ\) in both the azimuth and elevation directions in \(1^\circ\) increments. If properly calibrated, one would expect the highest temperature to correspond to a \(0^\circ\) offset in the azimuth and elevation directions. These graphs, along with their fits to the theoretical antenna pattern

\[ P(\theta) = \frac{[2 J_1(\pi \sin(\theta) D/\lambda)]^2}{(\pi \sin(\theta) D/\lambda)^2} \quad (3) \]

where \( D = 7.5 \) feet is the diameter of our telescope, \( \theta \) is the angular offset, \( \lambda \) is our wavelength of 21 cm, and \( J_1(x) \) is the spherical Bessel function [5]. The elevation scan indicates an offset of \(1.5^\circ\), which is corrected for in our program.

Using the antenna temperature measured at the sun as calculated by our fits, we obtain the maximum antenna temperature as \(102 \pm 3.01\) K. This value can be used to calculate the brightness temperature of the sun, \( T_{sun} \), be the following equation

\[ T_{sun} = 2 T_{max} \frac{\Omega_a}{\Omega_{sun}} \quad (4) \]

Where \( \Omega_a = 0.049 \) steradians and \( \Omega_{sun} = 6.8 \times 10^{-5} \) steradians are the solid angles of the antenna and sun, respectively. The factor of two is to account for the polarity of the sun. This gives a final sun brightness of \(147000 \pm 4300\) K.
FIG. 3. The raw data received from our SRT Telescope at $\ell = 115^\circ$, averaged over 50 runs. The antenna temperature of 97 K must be subtracted to acquire the data of interest. In each individual run, every point has a Poissonian uncertainty associated with it. Averaging over fifty runs gives uncertainties on each point between 0.25 and 0.75, which are too small to observe at this scale.

III.3. Data Collection

The SRT software is able to determine the configuration corresponding to pointing our SRT dish along the plane of our galaxy at an angle $\ell$ from the center of the galaxy. Using this, we collect data from $90^\circ \leq \ell \leq 180^\circ$ in five degree increments. As our beam width is approximately seven degrees, taking data in increments significantly less than this is does not allow for increased resolution. At each angle, our telescope records data for approximately five seconds. In order to reduce statistical fluctuations and increase accuracy, we take fifty measurements at each angle and average them together. An example readout from our SRT, averaged over 50 runs, is displayed in Figure 3. Most noteworthy are two peaks in the brightness temperature to the right of the 1420.4 MHz line, which we expect to correspond to two different concentrations of hydrogen at two different velocities.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS

IV.1. Anomalous Data

Upon collecting our data and subtracting out the antenna temperature, we are able to map the frequency of each data point to a velocity, and then by using the velocity along with the observation angle $\ell$, map this to a point in our galaxy corresponding to a density proportional to the brightness temperature measured multiplied by $r$, the distance from our sun to the hydrogen source.

Before doing this, we examine our data taken for anomalous points. These correspond to sharp peaks in our data, usually over the span of a single or handful of points. As we expect any radiation from galactic sources to have some spread due to spectral broadening (detailed later), we suspect these points either correspond to a terrestrial source unaccounted for by the calibration, or from an internal error in the telescope - potentially due to the stitching together of multiple measurements. As these points do not come from galactic hydrogen, we remove them from our data.

IV.2. Spectral Broadening

We expect the emission lines from galactic sources to be spread out due to thermal effects. While a large mass of hydrogen will move at some average velocity relative to the center of the galaxy, the individual atoms can move around inside the large mass due to the non-zero temperature of our galaxy. We measure this thermal broadening by analyzing data collected at $\ell = 180^\circ$. At this point, we expect any hydrogen to be stationary relative to our motion. However, as shown in Figure 5, this distribution is spread out due to thermal effects. The effects of thermal broadening are predicted to broaden spectral lines into a Gaussian shape [6]. As such, we fit to a Gaussian to determine a standard deviation of 12.97 $\pm$ 0.07 km/s. Considering a spreading of our data by $2\sigma$, we determine that this systematic “smearing” has the effect of spreading a source across a distance of between 0.5 and 1.3 kpc, depending on the observation angle. This puts a restriction on the radial resolution of our data, but still allows ever, the area of the bins we use to represent our data grow proportional to $r$, so we ultimately only multiply by one factor of $r$.

\begin{footnote}{1} The power emitted from our sources falls off as $1/r^2$, so this factor must be taken into account to determine the density.\end{footnote}

FIG. 4. An example of data taken at $\ell = 140^\circ$. The antenna temperature of 97 K has been subtracted from the data. Circled in red is the anomalous point, which we hypothesize either comes from a terrestrial source unaccounted for by the calibration, or from some internal error in the telescope.
us to extract qualitative features of our galactic hydrogen density.

IV.3. Uncertain Galactic Constants

In order to analyze our data, we require the velocity of the sun and the distance from the sun to the center of the galaxy. Both of these constants vary throughout the relevant literature, with the former reported on average as $8.2 \pm 0.5$ kpc, and the latter as $223 \pm 17$ km/s. This contributes to uncertainty in our results, but only as a matter of scale. Variations in these constants change the overall length scale of the hydrogen density map, but do not noticeably impact the structure of the map. As such, we expect that the hydrogen density map that we acquire may vary in size from other hydrogen density maps constructed in other sources.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

After mapping our data to a polar histogram, we acquire a mapping of the density of neutral hydrogen in the galaxy, displayed in Figure 6. Only the relative densities of neutral hydrogen are mapped. A calculation of the actual densities of neutral hydrogen would require calculating the brightness temperature detected from a single hydrogen hyperfine transition, as well as the probability of these transitions occurring within the time of our data collection. Further theoretical considerations would be necessary to determine how the brightness temperature measured corresponds to the density of neutral hydrogen that has already undergone its hyperfine transition and decayed to its lowest energy state.

The relative densities of hydrogen still allow us to extract information about the structure of the Milky Way. Seen between $95 \leq \ell \leq 140$ are two separate concentrations of hydrogen densities, although the distinction between the two is diminished by thermal broadening. By comparing to a density map calculated by Westerhout [7], as shown in Figure 7, we see that these two densities correspond to the Orion and Perseus spiral arms of our galaxy. A rescaling of Westerhout’s axes are necessary for it to agree with our data - as previously mentioned, this can be attributed to different constants used in calculations.

The resolution of our data is limited primarily due to a combination of thermal broadening and the angular resolution on our telescope. A telescope with larger diameter would allow for higher angular resolution, but thermal broadening imposes a restriction on the accuracy of data acquired by this method. Nevertheless, key features of our galaxy are still able to be determined through this analysis.
VI. CONCLUSION

We conclude that Earth-based observations of the neutral hydrogen 21cm emission line allows for a mapping of hydrogen density across a portion of our galaxy that agrees with density maps in astronomical literature. This analysis is limited in several ways - due to the uncertainty in galactic constants, thermal broadening, and restrictions on our apparatus - but general features of the structure of the Milky Way can be extracted. Further work can be done to analyze larger portions of our galaxy through more rigorous use of the galactic rotation curve.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank Adin Hrnjic for his aid in data collection, as well as Rachel Bowens-Rubin, Caitlin Fischer, and Rudy Garcia for their insight on data analysis. The author also wishes to thank all of the 8.13 staff for their technical assistance and support.