COMS 4995-3: Advanced Algorithms

Mar 22, 2017

Lecture 16 – Cheeger's Inequality

Instructor: Alex Andoni Scribes: Sandip Sinha, Tsung-Yi Huang

Today's lecture is about proving Cheeger's Inequality. Given a graph  $G = \{V, E\}$ , we can define the following:

**Definition 1.** Given a set of vertices  $S \subseteq V$ , we define the set of edges connecting S with rest of the graph (boundary of S) to be  $\partial S = \{(i, j) \in E | i \in S, j \in \overline{S}\}$  and the volume of S to be  $vol(S) = \sum_{i \in S} d_i$ , where  $d_i$  is the degree of vertex i.

**Definition 2.** Given a set of vertices  $\phi \subsetneq S \subsetneq V$ , we define the conductance of S to be  $\phi(S) := \frac{|\partial S|}{\min(vol(S),vol(S))}$ , and the conductance of the graph to be  $\phi(G) = \min_{\phi \subsetneq S \subsetneq V} \phi(S)$ .

Let  $0 = \mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n$  be the eigenvalues of the normalized Laplacian matrix  $\hat{L}$ .

**Theorem 3** (Cheeger's Inequality).  $\frac{\mu_2}{2} \le \phi(G) \le \sqrt{2\mu_2}$ .

## 1 Lower Bound: The Proof of $\frac{\mu_2}{2} \le \phi(G)$

Fix any set  $S \subset V$  and let  $s = \frac{vol(S)}{vol(V)}$ . We will prove the lower bound by proving that  $\phi(S) \ge (1-s)\mu_2$ . We already know that

$$\mu_2 = \min_{\substack{x \neq \mathbf{0} \\ x \perp v_1}} \frac{x^T \hat{L} x}{x^T x} = \min_{\substack{x \neq \mathbf{0} \\ x \perp v_1}} \frac{x^T D^{-1/2} L D^{-1/2} x}{||x||_2^2}$$

where  $v_1$  is the eigenvector of the smallest eigenvalue (0) of  $\hat{L}$ . We have found one in the last lecture which is  $(\sqrt{d_1}, \sqrt{d_2}, \ldots, \sqrt{d_n})$  so we can use it as  $v_1$ .

Let  $y = D^{-1/2}x$  (assume that there is no isolated vertex). By changing x into y, we get

$$\mu_2 = \min_{\substack{y \neq \mathbf{0} \\ y \perp d}} \frac{y^T L y}{y^T D y}$$

where  $d = (d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ .

Since  $\mu_2$  is the smallest value of the Rayleigh quotient for all vectors satisfying the above constraints, we will construct a vector y below that satisfies these constraints and use the fact that  $\mu_2$  is no more than y's Rayleigh quotient to prove the bound.

Let  $y' = \mathbb{1}_S$   $(y'_i = 1 \text{ if vertex } i \in S \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise})$ . We have

$$y'^{T}Ly' = \sum_{(i,j)\in E} (y'_{i} - y'_{j})^{2} = |\partial S|$$

We then set  $y = y' - s\mathbb{1}$ . Note that  $L\mathbb{1} = 0$ , so

$$y^T L y = y'^T L y' = |\partial S|$$

We also have

$$y^{T}Dy = \sum_{i \in V} y_{i}^{2}d_{i}$$
  
=  $\sum_{i \in S} (1-s)^{2}d_{i} + \sum_{i \notin S} (-s)^{2}d_{i}$   
=  $(1-s)^{2}vol(S) + s^{2}(vol(V) - vol(S))$   
=  $(1-s)vol(S)$ 

Further, y is orthogonal to d because

$$y^{T}d = \sum_{i \in S} (1-s)d_{i} + \sum_{i \notin S} (-s)d_{i} = vol(S) - s\sum_{i \in V} d_{i} = 0$$

So,

$$\mu_2 \le \frac{|\partial S|}{(1-s)vol(S)} = \frac{|\partial S| \cdot vol(V)}{vol(S) \cdot vol(\bar{S})} \le 2\phi(S).$$

for any set S. The last inequality holds because  $\max(vol(S), vol(\bar{S}))/vol(V) \ge 1/2$ .

This implies that  $\mu_2/2 \leq \min_{\phi \neq S \neq V} \phi(S) = \phi(G)$ .

## **2** Upper Bound: The Proof of $\phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2}$

Let y be the eigenvector corresponding to  $\mu_2$ . By re-indexing vertices in the graph we can assume  $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \ldots \leq y_n$ .

Let  $k \in [n]$  be the minimum index such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \ge vol(V)/2$ . We define  $z := y - y_k \mathbb{1}$ . Then we rescale z such that  $z_1^2 + z_n^2 = 1$ . Note that  $z_1 \le z_k = 0 \le z_n$ .

rescale z such that  $z_1^2 + z_n^2 = 1$ . Note that  $z_1 \le z_k = 0 \le z_n$ . We claim that we still have  $\frac{z^T L z}{z^T D z} \le \frac{y^T L y}{y^T D y}$ . We prove this by calculating  $\frac{z^T L z}{z^T D z}$ .

$$z^{T}Dz = (y - y_{k}\mathbb{1})^{T}D(y - y_{k}\mathbb{1})$$
$$= y^{T}Dy - 2y_{k}\mathbb{1}^{T}Dy + y_{k}^{2}\mathbb{1}^{T}D\mathbb{1}$$
$$\geq y^{T}Dy$$

The last inequality holds because in the second term  $\mathbb{1}^T Dy = d^T y = 0$  as  $y \perp d$ , and the third term is non-negative.

$$z^T L z = (y - y_k \mathbb{1})^T L (y - y_k \mathbb{1}) = y^T L y$$

This is because  $L\mathbb{1} = \mathbf{0}$  so the second term and the third term are both 0.  $\frac{z^T Lz}{z^T Dz}$  has a bigger denominator while the numerator is the same, so it is smaller.

For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , define  $S_t := \{i \in V | z_i \leq t\}$ . We are going to prove that there exists a number  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(S_t) \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2}$ . Then we will get  $\phi(G) \leq \phi(S_t) \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2}$ . As the choice of t is not obvious, we randomly pick t from a distribution given by the pdf p, where p(t) = 2|t| if  $t \in [z_1, z_n]$ , and p(t) = 0 otherwise. We verify that p is a pdf, as follows:

$$\int_{z_1}^{z_n} p(t)dt = \int_{z_1}^0 -2tdt + \int_0^{z_n} 2tdt = z_1^2 + z_n^2 = 1$$

So this is a valid distribution. If we can prove that  $0 \leq \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{2\mu_2}\min(vol(S), vol(\bar{S})) - |\partial S_t|]$ , then we know there must be some t for which the expression inside the expectation is non-negative. This implies that for that  $t, \phi(S_t) = \frac{|\partial S_t|}{\min(vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t))} \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2}$ , and hence completes the proof of the upper bound.

Lemma 4.  $\mathbb{E}_t[|\partial S_t|] \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2} \mathbb{E}_t[\min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t\}].$ 

Before proving the lemma, we show that Lemma 4 implies Theorem 3.

$$0 \le \sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot \mathbb{E}_t[\min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t)\}] - \mathbb{E}_t[|\partial S_t|]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_t[\sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot \min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t)\} - |\partial S_t|]$$

Now, by the probabilistic method, there exists  $t \in [z_1, z_n]$  such that

$$0 \le \sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot \min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t)\} - |\partial S_t|$$
  
So, 
$$\frac{|\partial S_t|}{\min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t)\}} \le \sqrt{2\mu_2}$$

Now, we prove the lemma. To do that, we prove two claims that separately bound the left and right sides of the inequality.

Claim 5.  $\mathbb{E}_t[|\partial S_t|] \leq (z^T L z)^{1/2} \cdot \sqrt{2z^T D z} \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot z^T D z.$ 

*Proof.* The second inequality is immediate since

$$\left(z^T L z\right)^{1/2} \cdot \sqrt{2z^T D z} = \left(\frac{z^T L z}{z^T D z}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \sqrt{2} z^T D z \le \sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot z^T D z$$

Now, we prove the first inequality. Define the sign function

$$sgn(x) := \begin{cases} +1, & x > 0\\ -1, & x < 0\\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Fix an edge  $(i, j) \in E$ . We assume  $z_i \leq z_j$  w.l.o.g, as we can interchange *i* and *j* if this does not hold. Then it can be shown, by considering various cases depending on the signs of  $z_i$  and  $z_j$ , that  $Pr[z_i \leq t \leq z_j] = |sgn(z_j)z_j^2 - sgn(z_i)z_i^2|$ . So, we have

$$Pr[(i, j) \in Cut] = Pr[z_i \leq t \leq z_j]$$
  
=  $|sgn(z_j)z_j^2 - sgn(z_i)z_i^2|$   
=  $\begin{cases} |z_i^2 - z_j^2|, \quad sgn(z_i) = sgn(z_j) \\ z_i^2 + z_j^2, \quad sgn(z_i) \neq sgn(z_j) \end{cases}$   
 $\leq \begin{cases} |z_i - z_j|(|z_i| + |z_j|), \quad sgn(z_i) = sgn(z_j) \\ (z_i - z_j)^2, \quad sgn(z_i) \neq sgn(z_j) \end{cases}$   
 $\leq |z_i - z_j|(|z_i| + |z_j|)$ 

We have used triangle inequality twice. To get the last inequality when  $sgn(z_i) \neq sgn(z_j)$ , we upper bound one of the terms  $(z_i - z_j)$  by  $(|z_i| + |z_j|)$ . Now, writing the random variable  $|\partial S_t|$  as a sum over indicator random variables denoting whether each edge is in the cut, we observe that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{t}[|\partial S_{t}|] &= \sum_{(i,j)\in E} Pr[(i,j)\in Cut] \\ &\leq \sum_{(i,j)\in E} |z_{i} - z_{j}|(|z_{i}| + |z_{j}|) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{(i,j)\in E} (z_{i} - z_{j})^{2}} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{(i,j)\in E} (|z_{i}| + |z_{j}|)^{2}} \qquad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}) \\ &\leq (z^{T}Lz)^{1/2} \cdot \left(2\sum_{(i,j)\in E} (z_{i}^{2} + z_{j}^{2})\right)^{1/2} \qquad ((a + b)^{2} \leq 2(a^{2} + b^{2})) \\ &= (z^{T}Lz)^{1/2} \cdot \left(2\sum_{i\in V} z_{i}^{2}d_{i}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= (z^{T}Lz)^{1/2} \cdot \sqrt{2z^{T}Dz} \end{split}$$

There are two important parts in the above derivation that deserve further explanation. First, to see the application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, let m = |E| be the number of edges in the graph. Fix some ordering of the edges. Define  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $a_k = |z_i - z_j|$  and  $b_k = |z_i| + |z_j|$  for  $k \in [m]$ , where  $e_k = (i, j)$  is the  $k^{th}$  edge in the order. Then Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$\sum_{(i,j)\in E} |z_i - z_j| (|z_i| + |z_j|) = |a \cdot b| \le ||a||_2 \cdot ||b||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{(i,j)\in E} (z_i - z_j)^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{(i,j)\in E} (|z_i| + |z_j|)^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{(i,j)\in E} (|z_j| + |z_j|)^$$

The second last equality is derived by changing the sum over edges to a sum over vertices. For each edge (i, j), we assumed that  $z_i \leq z_j$ . This implies that we consider each edge only once in the sum. Now, fix a vertex  $i \in V$ . For each edge incident on i, the term  $z_i^2$  appears exactly once. Hence, the total number of times that this term appears is the number of edges that are incident on it, or its degree.

Claim 6.  $\mathbb{E}_t[\min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t)\}] = z^T D z.$ 

*Proof.* This claim will be proved in the next lecture.

Now, combining Claims 5 and 6, we can prove the lemma as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}_t[|\partial S_t|] \le \sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot z^T D z = \sqrt{2\mu_2} \cdot \mathbb{E}_t[\min\{vol(S_t), vol(\bar{S}_t)\}].$$

This proves Theorem 3. However, just it shows the existence of  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the set  $S_t$  has low conductance. We now give an efficient algorithm to compute such a set. Observe that for fixed  $i \in [n]$ ,  $S_{z_i} = S_{\alpha}$  for  $z_i \leq \alpha < z_{i+1}$ , where we define  $z_{n+1} = \infty$  for convenience. So, although there are infinitely many values of t, there are effectively only n values of t that we need to check, namely  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . In other words, we know that there exists  $t \in \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  such that  $\phi(S_t) \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2}$ .

## **Spectral Partitioning Algorithm:**

- Compute  $\phi(S_t)$  for  $t = z_1, \cdots, z_n$ .
- Return the set  $S_t$  with minimum value of  $\phi(S_t)$ .

Clearly, this algorithm can be implemented in time O(nm), where n = |V|, m = |E|. By the discussion preceding the algorithm, the set  $\hat{S}$  returned by the algorithm satisfies  $\phi(\hat{S}) \leq \sqrt{2\mu_2}$ .