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ABSTRACT

A variety of seismic testing techniques rely on the use of seismic sources, detectors, or both, placed at some depth below the ground surface; these are often installed within fluid-filled boreholes. The interpretation of the records obtained in the course of such explorations requires a thorough understanding of how waves propagate in the borehole and its immediate vicinity. Depending on the distance between the source and the receiver as well as the their placement and orientation relative to the axis of the borehole, it is known that very complex wave patterns may arise. In this paper, analytical-numerical solutions are used to study the wave-field elicited by monopole or dipole sources within fluid-filled cylindrical cavity drilled through an unbounded homogeneous elastic medium. This model is used to assess the effects of a source-receiver tool, placed in an off-centered and/or tilted position inside the fluid filled borehole, on the propagation of both axisymmetric and non-axisymmetric wave modes.
INTRODUCTION

Various seismic exploration techniques, such as full waveform acoustic logging, vertical seismic profiling, and cross-hole surveying, use boreholes drilled into the ground to place seismic sources, detectors, or both at some depth below grade. Often, these boreholes are fluid-filled so as to improve the transmission of acoustic energy into the formation i.e. into the surrounding medium. One of the principal obstacles in the interpretation of the recorded signatures is the complexity of the wave patterns that may develop in the borehole environment, particularly when the assembly of source and receivers — the tool — is placed in off-axis or tilted positions. In the case of Acoustic Logs, the position of the tool relative to the axis of the borehole has a crucial effect on the amplitude and times of first arrival of the signals. While the tool is supposed to be held in place on the axis of the borehole by means of bow-type springs and rubber fingers pressing against the formation, these mechanisms may often fail to maintain the tool in perfect alignment. This problem is more pronounced in the case of an inclined or curved borehole, when it is almost impossible to align the sources and receivers along the axis.

A rigorous and detailed examination of the problem described poses formidable computational challenges, which persist even in the case of purely numerical solutions. Thus, to make progress in the analysis, it is necessary to consider idealized conditions that, while still containing the essential features of the full scale problem, are amenable to computation. This paper describes the results obtained with such a model, namely an axisymmetric, fluid-filled cylindrical borehole of infinite length drilled into a homogeneous elastic medium, and subjected to dilatational point sources placed at some point in the fluid. Given the two-dimensional geometry of the model and the three-dimensional characteristics of the source, this problem is commonly said to constitute a two and a half dimensional problem (or 2½-D for short).

The complexity of the wave patterns recorded at the receivers depends on the relative participation of the many wave propagation modes, or normal modes, that may have been excited by the source. This contribution is a function of the distance from the source, the dominant frequency of the pulse, the material characteristics of the formation, and very importantly, the position of the tool relative to the axis of the borehole. When the source is positioned centered on the axis of the borehole, only the axisymmetric normal modes are excited. By contrast, if the source is placed off the borehole axis, then additional normal modes having some azimuthal variation are also excited. However, these non-axisymmetric modes do not contribute to the motions that develop along the axis. Some modes are only excited if the frequency of excitation of the source exceeds certain threshold values referred to as the cutoff frequencies. In addition, the ratio between the shear velocity $\beta_s$ of the solid medium and the dilatational wave velocity $\alpha_f$ of the fluid defines two very distinct behaviors for wave propagation — when $\beta_s > \alpha_f$ the medium is said to be a fast formation; otherwise it is called a slow formation.

The interaction of elastic waves with fluid-filled or air-filled cylinders is a problem that has received considerable attention in the literature. Much of the work published before the last two decades, which focused mainly on dynamic stresses and wave dispersion characteristics and less so on particle motions at a point, was documented in an excellent monograph by Pao and Mow (1973).

The propagation of waves along a borehole boundary was studied nearly half a century ago by Biot (1952), who derived the dispersion equation for guided waves in a borehole, and used it to find the phase and group velocities for these waves. More recently, a number of investigators have addressed the propagation of waves along fluid-filled boreholes from sources aligned with the borehole axis, because of its importance to acoustic
logging. Numerical methods have also been used to study the influence on wave amplitude and attenuation by the material characteristics of the formation, by the type of fluid in the borehole, and by the source (e.g. Roever et al., 1974; Tsang and Rader, 1979; Cheng and Toksöz, 1981; Kurkjian, 1985; Kurkjian and Chang, 1986; Siggins and Stokes, 1987). The inverse problem of estimating the mechanical properties of the formation has also been the object of research (e.g. Cheng at al., 1987; Paillet and White, 1982), which was later extended to consider the state of fracturing and the presence of damaged zones around the borehole (e.g. Chan and Tsang, 1983; Baker, 1984; Schmitt and Bouchon, 1985; Baker and Winbow, 1988), the effects of spatial variations in the diameter of the borehole (Bouchon and Schmitt, 1989; Randall, 1991a), the presence of anisotropy (e.g. Chan and Tsang, 1983; Schmitt, 1989), and the use of multipole sources (e.g. Kurkjian et al., 1986; Schmitt, 1989). Randall (1991b) also studied the multipole acoustic log in the non-axisymmetric borehole using 2-½-D finite difference method.

The interaction of elastic plane waves incident upon a fluid or an air-filled borehole has been investigated in the context of vertical profiling and cross-hole surveying. Blair (1984) considered the case of a P-wave normally incident to the borehole axis, while Schoenberg (1986) studied the problem of plane compressional or shear waves impinging at arbitrary angles on the fluid borehole. He provided explicit formulas that are valid only for low frequencies. This problem was taken up again by Lovell & Hornby (1990), who developed expressions valid over all frequencies and incidence angles. However, both Schoenberg and Lovell & Hornby provided results for the pressure only at the center of the fluid-filled borehole. A simplifying feature of the pressure at points on the axis is that this quantity depends only on the axisymmetric part of the solution. By contrast, the influence of an off-centered or tilted tool has received comparatively little attention. Simple approximate modeling techniques were used by Willis et al (1983) to estimate the sensitivity of the full acoustic waveforms to tool positioning.

In the remainder of this paper, we address this issue once more and compute the wavefield and motions elicited by monopole or dipole sources placed in off-centered positions. We first compute the solution for a wide range of frequencies and wavenumbers, which we then use to obtain time series by means of (fast) inverse Fourier transforms into space-time. Finally, we present a set of synthetic waveforms for monopole and dipole sources placed in off-centered positions in a fast formation.

Because of the cylindrical geometry of this problem, we can use separation of variables and express the solution at each frequency in terms of waves with varying wavenumber $k_z$ (with $z$ being the borehole axis), which we subsequently Fourier-transform into the spatial domain. We cast this wavenumber transform in discrete form by considering an infinite number of virtual point sources equally spaced along the $z$ axis and at sufficient distance from each other to avoid spatial contamination (Bouchon and Aki, 1977). In addition, we carry out the analysis using complex frequencies, shifting downward—in the complex plane—the frequency axis so as to remove the singularities on (or near) the axis, and to minimize the influence of the neighboring fictitious sources (Phinney, 1965).

**FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM**

Since the methods used for solving wave scattering problem, such as the one considered in this paper, are well known, it suffices to present in the following only a sketch of the formulation.

Consider a spatially uniform elastic medium of infinite extent, having a cylindrical cavity filled with an inviscid fluid (Fig. 1). Decomposing as usual the homogeneous wave equations for elastic media by means of the now classical dilatational potential $\phi$ and shear
potentials $\psi, \chi$, one is led to the three scalar wave equations in these potentials, with associated wave propagation velocities $\alpha, \beta,$ and $\beta$ respectively. For a harmonic dilatational point source at an off-center position $(x_0, 0, 0)$ in the fluid or solid that is oscillating with a frequency $\omega$, the scalar wave equations go over into three Helmholtz equations whose solution can be expressed in terms of the single dilatational potential for the incident waves together with the set of potentials for scattered waves in both media.

**Incident Field (or Free-Field)**

The incident dilatational potential is given by the expression

$$\phi_{inc} = \frac{A e^{i\omega t - \sqrt{\alpha^2 - k_z^2} \sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + y^2 + z^2}}}{\sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

in which the subscript $inc$ denotes the incident field, $A$ is the wave amplitude, $\alpha$ is the acoustic (dilatational) wave velocity of the medium containing the source, and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Defining the effective wavenumbers

$$k_\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\alpha^2} - k_z^2}, \hspace{1cm} \text{Im} k_\alpha < 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$k_\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\omega^2}{\beta^2} - k_z^2}, \hspace{1cm} \text{Im} k_\beta < 0$$

by means of the axial wavenumber $k_z$, the frequency of excitation $\omega$, and the wave velocities $\alpha, \beta$, and Fourier-transforming eq. 1 in the $z$ direction, one obtains

$$\phi_{inc}(\omega, x, y, k_z) = \frac{-i A}{2} H_0^{(2)}(k_\alpha \sqrt{(x-x_0)^2 + y^2})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

in which the $H_0^{(2)}(...)$ are second Hankel functions of order $n$.

Equation 3 poses a difficulty, however, because it expresses the incident field in terms of waves centered at the source point $(x_0, 0, 0)$, and not the axis of the borehole. This difficulty can be overcome by expressing the incident potential in terms of waves centered at the origin, which can be achieved by recourse to Graf’s addition theorem (Watson, 1980, p.361), leading to the expressions (in cylindrical coordinates):

$$\phi_{inc}(\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = -i A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \varepsilon_n H_0^{(2)}(k_\alpha r_0) J_n(k_\alpha r) \cos n\theta \quad \text{when } r < r_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\phi_{inc}(\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = -i A \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \varepsilon_n H_0^{(2)}(k_\alpha r) J_n(k_\alpha r_0) \cos n\theta \quad \text{when } r > r_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

in which $J_n$ are Bessel functions of order $n$, $\theta$ is the azimuth, and
\[ \varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } n \neq 0 \end{cases} \]

\[ r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \text{radial distance to the receiver} \]

\[ r_0 = \sqrt{x_0^2} = |x_0| = \text{radial distance to the source} \]

\[ \cos \theta = x / r, \quad \sin \theta = y / r \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

**Scattered Field in the Exterior Region (Solid):**

In the frequency-axial-wavenumber domain, the scattered field in the exterior region (the solid formation) can be expressed in a form similar to that of the incident field, namely

\[ \phi_{sca}^s (\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n H_n^{(2)} (k_{az} r) \cos n\theta \]

\[ \psi_{sca}^s (\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n H_n^{(2)} (k_{b\theta} r) \sin n\theta \]

\[ \chi_{sca}^s (\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n H_n^{(2)} (k_{b\theta} r) \cos n\theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

In which the subscript \( sca \) denotes the scattered field, the \( A_n, B_n, C_n \) are as yet unknown coefficients, to be determined from appropriate boundary conditions, and the additional index \( s \) indicates that the wave velocities of the solid must be used. Together with an implicit factor \( \exp (\omega t - k_z z) \), the second Hankel functions in equations 7 represent diverging or outgoing cylindrical waves. Because of the symmetry of the source with respect to the \( x-z \) plane, equations 7 involve either the \( \cos n\theta \) or \( \sin n\theta \) terms, but not both simultaneously.

**Scattered Field in the Interior Region (Fluid):**

If the source is outside the borehole (i.e. within the formation), then the scattered (or refracted) field in the fluid consists of standing waves, which can be expressed as

\[ \phi_{sca}^f (\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n J_n (k_{af} r) \cos n\theta \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

With the index \( f \) identifying the fluid.

By contrast, if the source is inside the borehole (i.e. in the fluid), then the scattered field is given by

\[ \phi_{sca}^f (\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n H_n^{(2)} (k_{af} r_0) J_n (k_{af} r) \cos n\theta \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{when } r < r_0 \]

\[ \phi_{sca}^f (\omega, r, \theta, k_z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n H_n^{(2)} (k_{af} r) J_n (k_{af} r_0) \cos n\theta \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{when } r > r_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)
Displacement field

The unknown constants $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$ in equations 7-9 are obtained by imposing the continuity of displacements and stresses at the interface between the solid and the fluid, namely $u_i^s = u_i^f$, $\sigma_{ri}^s = \sigma_{ri}^f$, and $\sigma_{r\theta}^s = \sigma_{r\theta}^f = 0$. Notice that since we have assumed an inviscid fluid, the tangential displacements at the boundary of the solid (i.e. $u_\theta^s, u_z^s$) may be different from those in the fluid (i.e. $u_\theta^f, u_z^f$). The imposition of the four stated boundary conditions for each summation index $n$ leads then to a system of four equations in the four unknowns constants; while this procedure is straightforward, the details are somewhat messy, and are best left out.

Having obtained the constants, we may compute the motions associated with the scattered field by means of the well known equations relating potentials and displacements. In essence, this requires consideration of eqs. 7 as well as 8 or 9 —depending on whether the source is outside or inside the borehole—and taking partial derivatives to go over from potentials to displacements. After carrying out this procedure, one obtains expressions for the scattered field in the solid and fluid of the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_r(\omega, r, \theta, k_z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(r) \cos n\theta \\
  u_\theta(\omega, r, \theta, k_z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g_n(r) \sin n\theta \\
  u_z(\omega, r, \theta, k_z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n(r) \cos n\theta
\end{align*}
\]

in which the functions $f_n, g_n, h_n$ are given by:

**Solid:**
\[
\begin{align*}
  f_n(r) &= \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} H_n^{(2)}(k_{ax} r) - k_{ax} H_n^{(2)}(k_{ax} r) \right] A_n + \frac{\pi}{2} H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) B_n - i k_z \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) - k_{\beta \theta} H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) \right] C_n \\
  g_n(r) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} H_n^{(2)}(k_{ax} r) A_n + \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) - k_{\beta \theta} H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) \right] B_n + i k_z \frac{\pi}{2} H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) C_n \\
  h_n(r) &= -i k_z H_n^{(2)}(k_{ax} r) A_n + k_{\beta \theta}^2 H_n^{(2)}(k_{\beta \theta} r) B_n
\end{align*}
\]

**Fluid:**
\[
\begin{align*}
  f_n(r) &= \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} J_n(k_{ax} r) - k_{ax} J_n(k_{ax} r) \right] D_n \\
  g_n(r) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} J_n(k_{ax} r) D_n \\
  h_n(r) &= -i k_z J_n(k_{ax} r) D_n
\end{align*}
\]

From these equations, it follows that on the axis of the borehole, only the $n=0$ terms survive, and we recover the expressions derived earlier by Lovell and Hornby (1990). On the other hand, at points away from the axis, the displacements are also function of the non-axisymmetric terms with $n>0$. Finally, the incident field —obtained from equation 4 or 5 by partial differentiation— must be added to eqs. 10 for the medium containing the source so as to obtain the total field in the $k_z$ wavenumber domain.
Displacements in space-time

The displacements in the spatial-temporal domain are obtained by a numerical fast Fourier transform in $k_z$ and $\omega$, considering a source whose temporal variation is given by a Ricker wavelet. This wavelet form is chosen because it decays very rapidly in both time and frequency; this not only reduces the computational effort, but it allows easier interpretations of the computed time series and synthetic waveforms.

As stated before, the Fourier transformations are achieved by discrete summations over wavenumbers and frequencies, which is mathematically equivalent to adding periodic sources at spatial intervals $L = 2\pi / \Delta k_z$ (in the $z$-axis) and temporal intervals $T = 2\pi / \Delta \omega$, with $\Delta k_z$ and $\Delta \omega$ being the wavenumber and frequency steps, respectively (Bouchon and Aki, 1977). The spatial separation $L$ must be chosen large enough if one is to avoid contamination of the response by the periodic sources. In other words, the contribution to the response by the fictitious sources must be guaranteed to occur at times later than $T$. This goal can also be aided substantially by slightly shifting the frequency axis downward, that is, by considering complex frequencies with a small imaginary part of the form $\omega = \omega - i\eta$ (with $\eta \approx \Delta \omega$). This technique results in a large attenuation or virtual elimination of the periodic sources (Phinney, 1965). In the time domain, this shift is later taken into account by applying an exponential window $\exp \eta t$ to the response (Kausel, 1991).

Dipole sources

In a fluid medium, a dipole source—and all multipole sources of higher order, such as the quadrupole source—can be built from collections of monopole sources. In particular, a dipole source is constructed from two point sources of opposite sign and weight $1/2$, placed close together in the same horizontal plane (Kraus and Carver, 1973), as shown in Figure 2.

DISPERSION OF WAVES IN A FLUID-FILLED BOREHOLE

Of the various waves propagating along the boundary of a fluid-filled borehole, two are non-dispersive body waves, namely the dilatational (P) and shear (S) waves. When the source is within the borehole, the waves begin as dilatational waves in the fluid. As they reach the borehole boundary, they are critically refracted into the formation as S waves, which in turn refracted back into the fluid as P waves. In addition, there are various types of guided waves—the normal modes—propagating along the interface between the fluid and solid. As stated previously, when the source is positioned on the axis of the borehole, only the axisymmetric modes are excited. By contrast, additional modes with some azimuthal variation are excited when the source is placed away from the axis, but these modes do not contribute to the pressures recorded at receivers positioned on the axis. On the other hand, certain modes are excited only if the frequency of excitation of the source exceeds the cutoff (or resonant) frequencies of the borehole.

The dispersion characteristics of the normal modes can be obtained by solving an eigenvalue problem in the constants $A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n$ in the absence of an incident field (i.e. with $A=0$). The associated eigenvalues $k_z$ lead in turn to the phase and group velocities of the normal modes. While an infinite (but countable) number of modes exist, only those with low modal order contribute significantly to the response.
The normal modes depend strongly on the ratio $\beta_s/\alpha_f$ between the shear wave velocity in the solid medium and the dilatational wave velocity in the fluid. If this ratio is less than one (i.e. a slow formation), then proper normal modes do not exist, because any waves propagating in the fluid will radiate and lose their energy as shear waves in the solid. However, one can still find in this case modes with complex wavenumber — referred to as leaky modes — which attenuate rapidly as they propagate. On the other hand, if the stated ratio is larger than one — a fast formation — then energy may remain trapped in the borehole and normal modes do exist; in addition, there may also be leaky modes. In general, the amplitude of the normal modes in the formation decays exponentially with distance to the borehole. Thus, the phase and group velocities of all normal modes must be smaller than the shear wave velocity in the formation, except of course for the leaky modes.

To focus ideas, let us revisit the example of a fast formation studied by Ellefsen (1990):

$$\alpha_s = 4208 \text{ m/s}$$  
$$\beta_s = 2656 \text{ m/s}$$  
$$\rho_s = 2140 \text{ Kg/m}^3$$  
$$\alpha_f = 1500 \text{ m/s}$$  
$$\rho_f = 1000 \text{ Kg/m}^3$$  
$$a = 0.1016 \text{ m} = \text{radius of borehole}$$

Figures 3 and 4 give, respectively, the phase velocities and group velocities for the lowest order normal modes as calculated by Ellefsen (1990). In these Figures, the modes are identified by a pair of numbers. The first number in the pair is the azimuthal order, which indicates the variation of the mode with the azimuth, while the second is the radial order giving the variation of the mode with radial distance. The first axisymmetric mode is the tube wave or Stoneley wave. The tube wave exists at all frequencies, and is associated in Figures 3 and 4 with the pair (0,0). This wave exhibits a slight dispersion and its energy is usually highest at low frequencies. Its phase and group velocities are below the dilatational velocity of the fluid.

The second axisymmetric mode is the pseudo-Rayleigh wave [(0,1)], which has in this case a cutoff frequency of 8 kHz. At this cutoff frequency, the mode reaches its highest phase velocity, namely the shear wave velocity of the solid. As the frequency of the waves is increased, the phase velocity of the mode approaches asymptotically — from above — the fluid velocity. On the other hand, the group velocity is less than the shear wave velocity of the solid at the low-frequency cutoff. From this point on, it decreases rapidly with frequency, reaches a minimum associated with Airy waves, and approaches thereafter from below the fluid velocity. Thus, the pseudo-Rayleigh wave is highly dispersive.

There is also an infinite number of higher order pseudo-Raleigh waves [(0,2),(0,3)…] which have correspondingly higher cutoff frequencies. Within the fluid cylinder, these waves are oscillatory in nature and decay with distance from the axis. The amplitude of the first mode, for example, has a zero value at a point located at (approximately) 2/3 of the distance between the axis and the borehole wall.

The first mode with azimuthal variation is the flexural wave [(1,0)], which exists at all frequencies. The fluid pressure for this mode varies as the cosine or sine of the azimuthal angle. As can seen in Figs. 3 and 4, this mode is highly dispersive. Higher flexural modes also exist, such as the [(1,1), (1,2)] modes shown.

The second azimuthal modes, which vary as $\cos 2\theta$ or $\sin 2\theta$, are referred to as the screw waves. The fundamental screw wave [2,0] has a cutoff frequency of approximately 6 kHz. Again, an infinite number of higher order modes exist [(2,1),(2,2)…].

Higher order normal modes [(n,m)] for $m,n>2$ which vary as $\cos n\theta$ or $\sin n\theta$ also exist, but these have no common name.
Synthetic Waveforms

We next apply the methodology described to study wave signatures in the borehole considered in the previous section when excited by monopole and dipole sources in the fluid medium placed close to the wall, as depicted in Figure 5. Also illustrated in this figure are the receivers, set at a distance of 20 m from the source in the positions shown.

We perform our computations in the frequency range from zero to 20480 Hz, with a frequency increment of 40 Hz; thus, the total time duration of the analysis is \( T = 1/40 \times 0.025s = 0.025s = 25\text{ms} \). This implies in turn that the spatial period cannot be less than \( L = 2T\alpha_s = 2 \times 0.025 \times 4208 = 210.4\text{m} \). We choose \( \eta = 0.7\Delta\omega \) as imaginary part for the angular frequency, so as to attenuate the wrap-around by a factor of \( \exp 0.7\Delta\omega T = 81 \) (i.e. 38 dB).

The source is a Ricker wavelet pulse with a characteristic frequency of 7500 Hz. In all cases, the computed wave fields refer to pressure when the source is a monopole, and to radial displacements when the source is a dipole. Also, all plots are normalized with respect to their own maxima.

Monopole Source

In a fast formation, the disturbance begins as a dilatational wave in the borehole fluid which is critically refracted into the formation as P and S waves; these are then refracted back into the fluid as a dilatational wave. After the P-wave arrival, the response is marked by a dense ringing called the leaky or PL mode. The arrows in Fig. 6 point to the arrival of the P and S body waves; since at the scale of the plots they cannot be easily identified, we blow-up the early part of the graph in an inset so as to show these arrivals. Notice the ringing of packed leaky mode occurring between the P and S waves.

As we stated before, a source placed off the axis excites both axisymmetric and non-axisymmetric modes, but only the former contribute to the pressure on the axis. Hence, at receiver #1 (Fig. 6.a), only the body waves, the Stoneley waves, and the pseudo-Rayleigh waves are observed. The response there is similar to the one that would be generated by a source on the axis (not shown), except that the amplitudes of the response are now lower, and the importance of the Stoneley wave higher than for centered sources.

If we consider the group velocities of the various modes and the amplitude of the pressures obtained, we can separate visually the modes. For example, Fig. 4 indicates that the group velocity of bending waves is higher than that of screw waves, which in turn is higher than for pseudo-Rayleigh waves.

We also observe that the signatures at receivers 4 and 7 are not affected by flexural waves, because the bending mode has zero amplitude on the neutral axis, which is the horizontal plane through the axis that is perpendicular to the line connecting the center with the source. Hence, after the passage of the Stoneley wave, the signatures on the neutral axis experience a substantial drop in amplitude (Figures 6.d-g). This drop, however, is not observed for receivers 2, 3, 5, 6 located away from the neutral axis (Figures 6.b,c,e,f). Indeed, receivers 5 and 6 that are farthest from the neutral axis exhibit the largest increases in pressure, which are clearly due to the flexural mode.

Trailing behind the flexural waves is a set of pulses associated with the screw mode. We verify that their contribution to the pressure at receivers 3 and 6, which lie in 45 degree planes, is very small, as theory would predict.
After the screw waves follow the first order pseudo-Rayleigh waves. Their contribution to the pressure signatures grows from receivers 4 to 7, 3 to 6, and from 2 to 5, because these waves have near zero amplitude at the 2/3 radial distance, as previously mentioned.

If we inspect closely the response at the receivers, we can also detect some of the higher modes, such as mode (3,0). The pressure associated with this mode varies as either \( \cos 3 \theta \) or \( \sin 3 \theta \), which gives zero on the neutral axis and maximum value at the position of receiver 5. At the same time, the contribution of this mode decreases toward the axis and vanishes there completely.

**Dipole Source**

Figure 7 shows the synthetic waveforms for a dipole source. Once more, since the first passage of the body waves is not obvious in these plots, we have added arrows to indicate their arrival. Some of the features in these plots resemble those for the monopole source. However, the shear mode is now stronger, whereas no Stoneley (or tube) wave is detectable. Note also that the amplitudes of the waves in the fluid oscillate and decay towards the wall of the borehole. On the other hand, the participation of the higher order modes is not very different from before.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have used a method based on discrete integration over wavenumbers and frequencies to compute the wave signatures associated with monopole and dipole dilatational sources placed in off-centered positions in a fluid-filled cylindrical borehole surrounded by a fast formation. Our discretization of the wavenumber-frequency integral transform is mathematically equivalent to a periodic sequence of sources parallel to the axis of the borehole that are also periodic in time. We have removed the effects of these periodicities by recourse to complex frequencies (i.e. by a numerical implementation of the Bromwich transform, or inverse Laplace transform).

The synthetic signatures that we obtained agree well with theoretical considerations based on wave arrival and content.
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Figure 1: Cylindrical cavity in an unbounded medium

Figure 2: Dipole source
Figure 3: Phase velocities for the lower order normal modes

Figure 4: Group velocities for the lower order normal modes
**Figure 5**: Position of sources and receivers
Figure 6: Pressures at receivers #1 through #7
Figure 6: Displacements at receivers #1 through #7