# **SESSION II**

# WHAT TYPE OF NATIONAL LEADERSHIP IS NEEDED TO TRANSCEND CONFESSIONALISM IN LEBANON?

## **Background**

The results of the Lebanese parliamentary elections following the Cedar Revolution of March 2005 are widely believed to have failed the expectations of most Lebanese aspiring for the establishment of a non-confessional State. In fact, many argue that the elections have further showcased the reign of a few confessional leaders over their respective religious communities.

Confessional leadership has prevailed throughout the history of Lebanon. The leaders of one community were rarely trusted by the other communities and often received with great caution. Even Fakhreddine II, for example, while perceived by some communities as a national hero and founder of modern Lebanon, is considered by other communities as a traitor who collaborated with Europe against the Arabs.

Lebanon is yet to witness the emergence of national leaders capable of mobilizing intermixed cross-confessional factions around national fundaments while earning the unflinching respect, trust, and support of all Lebanese.

#### **Questions of Interest**

- 1. What interplay exists between nationalism and non-confessionalism in Lebanon? Is one a prerequisite to the other?
- 2. What makes a certain Lebanese leader (or citizen) perceived as more national or less confessional than another?
- 3. Has a national identity problem manifested differently among different Lebanese made difficult the emergence of national leaders?
- 4. Can national, non-confessional leadership help Lebanon transcend confessionalism? How?
- 5. What general qualities and criteria define national, non-confessional leadership?

### **Objective**

Through studying the politics of various leadership archetypes who have taken center stage in recent Lebanese history, this second session of the Lebanon Think Tank aims to assimilate the set of distinguishing qualities, requirements, and criteria that would delineate the profile of a future national Lebanese leadership.

## Session Programme

The workshop will comprise six 20-minute cases introducing the Lebanese leaders and leadership archetypes listed below. Each case will feature a presentation providing the audience with any necessary historical context and background information on the presented leader. The presenter will then build his/her own case on why and how this specific leader is perceived as non-confessional and national (or not) by the various Lebanese constituencies, while offering the audience his/her own analysis, provocations, and conclusions to take from the presented case. The audience will then be engaged by the moderator to discuss and challenge each case through critically identifying any gaps and/or milestones in national leadership displayed by the presented leader.

Finally, the workshop will end with a comparative discussion consolidating the conclusions drawn from the various leader cases and audience affirmations, potentially culminating in a consensus on the set of qualities, requirements, and criteria that could help define the profile of a future national Lebanese leadership.

Programme Structure (3-3½ hours):

Welcoming Introduction (Loai Naamani)

About LATT and Session II (Youssef Heneine)

Case I: Fakhreddine II (Firas Abi-Nassif)

Case II: Fouad Chehab (Adib Farha)

Case III: Moussa Al-Sadr & Hassan Nasrallah (Bassam Komati & Talal Salman)

– 10min Break –

Case IV: Bachir Gemayel (Della Ata)

Case V: Michel Aoun (Ibrahim Ireige)

Case VI: Rafik Hariri (Adib Farha)

Concluding Discussion (open to all)

\*Concluding Discussion and all Cases will be moderated by members of the LATT Steering Committee (Rabih Zbib, Talal Salman, Youssef Heneine, Bassam Komati, Mark Farha, Firas Abi-Nassif, and Loai Naamani)

#### Guidelines

- The governing purpose of this event is not to criticize or justify the decisions, actions, intentions, and/or personalities of the presented leaders, but to scrupulously assess their politics inasmuch as that relates to their national leadership (or lack thereof) and how that can contribute to the overall consensus on prerequisites for future national, non-confessional leaderships
- Although it may be argued that national leadership qualities and requirements can be easily agreed upon before/without having such an event devoted to the topic, the objective of this session is to go through the exercise together as a think tank and to support whatever conclusions are made with historical facts and incidents drawn from modern Lebanese history
- Deciding to have and start with an event on National Leadership does not originate from an ageold Lebanese obsession with their leaders and leaderships, but from acknowledging this as a problem hindering Lebanon from progressing towards institutionalized national leadership and hoping to address this issue openly and constructively