# Practical Data-Dependent Metric Compression with Provable Guarantees 
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Starting point of many algorithms


Real-world objects (images, text, etc.)

## QuadSketch:

 Algorithm Description
## Construction

## - Step 1: Randomly shifted grids

Enclose points in hypercube
Refine into sub-cubes by halving each dimension.
Repeat refinement for $L$ levels.
Shift grids by a uniformly random vector.


- Step 2: Quadtree

Construct high-dimensional quadtree from grids:

- The root is the enclosing hypercube.
- For every non-empty sub-hypercube, add child node.
- Step 3: Pruning

For every tree path longer than $\Lambda$ :
Replace the path after the top $\Lambda$ nodes with a long edge.

## The compressed representation is the pruned quadtree.

## Recovery

To recover the approximation $\widetilde{x}$ of a point $x$ :

- Follow path from root to leaf containing $x$
- In each dimension, concatenate bits along edges in path.
- If long edge, concatenate zeros instead.
$\tilde{\tilde{z}}=(11,01)$

Theoretical Results

## Parameters:

$n$ - num. points; $d$ - dimension; $\Phi$ - ratio of maximum to minimum distance (captures numerical range)

Theorem: Given $\epsilon, \delta>0$, set

$$
\Lambda=\log \left(\frac{16 \cdot d^{1.5} \cdot \log \Phi}{\epsilon \cdot \delta}\right) \text { and } L=\Lambda+\log \Phi
$$

QuadSketch guarantees: For every point $\boldsymbol{x}$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\forall \boldsymbol{y}\|\widetilde{x}-\widetilde{y}\| \in(1 \pm \epsilon)\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}\|]>1-\delta
$$

- In particular, $(1+\epsilon)$-approximate nearest neighbors are preserved with probability $1-\delta$.
- Construction time: $\widetilde{O}(n d L)$.
- Compressed size: $O(n d \Lambda+n \log n)$ bits.

Comparison with prior work:
For $d=\Theta\left(\epsilon^{-2} \log n\right)$ by dimension reduction, and $\Phi=\operatorname{poly}(n)$

| Reference | Bits per coordinate | Construction time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vanilla bound | $O(\log n)$ | -- |
| (Indyk, Wagner <br> 2017) | $O(\log (1 / \epsilon))$ | $\tilde{O}\left(n^{1+\alpha}+\epsilon^{-2} n\right)$ |
| for $\alpha \in(0,1]$ |  |  |$|$| This work | $O(\log \log n+\log (1 / \epsilon))$ | $\tilde{O}\left(\epsilon^{-2} n\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Our algorithm:

- Simple to describe and implement
- Provable pointwise guarantees
- Matches or outperforms state-of-the-art in the highprecision regime

Previous work: Either heuristic or impractical.

## Heuristic algorithms:

- Lack provable guarantees -
may be unsuitable for non-standard datasets
- Optimize for average accuracy -
may perform undesirably on individual queries
- Solve a global optimization problem on the dataset (e.g. k-means) -
slow or infeasible in high precision regime


## Theoretical algorithms:

Unsuitable for implementation despite asymptotic guarantees, due to large hidden constants, underlying combinatorial complexity, etc.


